

# Change of variables' formula for the integration of the measurable real functions over infinite-dimensional Banach spaces

CLAUDIO ASCI

**ABSTRACT.** *In this paper we study, for any subset  $I$  of  $\mathbf{N}^*$  and for any strictly positive integer  $k$ , the Banach space  $E_I$  of the bounded real sequences  $\{x_n\}_{n \in I}$ , and a measure over  $(\mathbf{R}^I, \mathcal{B}^{(I)})$  that generalizes the  $k$ -dimensional Lebesgue one. Moreover, we recall the main results about the differentiation theory over  $E_I$ . The main result of our paper is a change of variables' formula for the integration of the measurable real functions on  $(\mathbf{R}^I, \mathcal{B}^{(I)})$ . This change of variables is defined by some functions over an open subset of  $E_J$ , with values on  $E_I$ , called  $(m, \sigma)$ -general, with properties that generalize the analogous ones of the finite-dimensional diffeomorphisms.*

**Keywords:** Infinite-dimensional Banach spaces, infinite-dimensional differentiation theory,  $(m, \sigma)$ -general functions, change of variables' formula.  
**MS Classification 2010:** 28A35, 28A15.

## 1. Introduction

In the mathematical literature, some articles introduced infinite-dimensional measures analogue of the Lebesgue one: see for example the pioneering paper of Jessen [10], that one of Léandre [13], in the context of the noncommutative geometry, that one of Tsilevich et al. [19], which studies a family of  $\sigma$ -finite measures in the space of distributions, that one of Baker [7], which defines a measure on  $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}^*}$  that is not  $\sigma$ -finite, that one of Henstock et al. [9], and that one of Tepper et al. [15]. However, the results obtained do not include an infinite-dimensional change of variables' formula for the integration of the measurable real functions, analogous to that which applies in the finite-dimensional case. For example, in the paper of Accardi et al. [1], the authors describe the transformations of generalized measures on locally convex spaces under smooth

transformations of these spaces, but these measures have no connection with the Lebesgue one. The problem that arises is essentially the following. Consider the integration formula with respect to an image measure, that is

$$\int_E f d(\varphi(\mu)) = \int_S f(\varphi) d\mu,$$

where  $(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  and  $(E, \mathcal{E})$  are a measure space and a measurable space, respectively,  $\varphi : (S, \Sigma) \rightarrow (E, \mathcal{E})$  and  $f : (E, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{B})$  are measurable functions. In the particular case in which  $E$  and  $S$  are open sets, suitably constructed, of two infinite-dimensional measurable spaces  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$ , respectively, on which we can define two families  $\mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\mathcal{M}_2$  of measures analogue of the Lebesgue one, and  $\varphi$  has properties that generalize the analogous ones of the standard finite-dimensional diffeomorphisms, we expect existence of two measure  $\lambda_1$  in  $\mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  in  $\mathcal{M}_2$  such that  $\varphi(\mu) = \lambda_1$ , while  $\mu$  has density  $|\det J_\varphi|$  (properly defined) with respect to  $\lambda_2$ .

In order to achieve this result, in the articles [4], [5] and [6], for any subset  $I$  of  $\mathbf{N}^*$ , we define the Banach space  $E_I \subset \mathbf{R}^I$  of the bounded real sequences  $\{x_n\}_{n \in I}$ , the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}_I$  given by the restriction to  $E_I$  of  $\mathcal{B}^{(I)}$  (defined as the product indexed by  $I$  of the same Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $\mathbf{R}$ ), and a class of functions over an open subset of  $E_J$ , with values on  $E_I$ , called  $(m, \sigma)$ -general, with properties similar to those of the finite-dimensional diffeomorphisms. Moreover, for any strictly positive integer  $k$ , we introduce over the measurable space  $(\mathbf{R}^I, \mathcal{B}^{(I)})$  a family of infinite-dimensional measures  $\lambda_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)}$ , dependent on appropriate parameters  $N, a, v$ , that in the case  $I = \{1, \dots, k\}$  coincide with the  $k$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbf{R}^k$ . More precisely, in the paper [4], we define some particular linear functions over  $E_J$ , with values on  $E_I$ , called  $(m, \sigma)$ -standard, while in the article [5] we present some results about the differentiation theory over  $E_I$ , and we remove the assumption of linearity for the  $(m, \sigma)$ -standard functions. In the last two papers, we provide a change of variables' formula for the integration of the measurable real functions on  $(\mathbf{R}^I, \mathcal{B}^{(I)})$ ; this change of variables is defined by some particular  $(m, \sigma)$ -standard functions. In the paper [6], we introduce a class of functions, called  $(m, \sigma)$ -general, that generalizes the set of the  $(m, \sigma)$ -standard functions given in [5]. The main result is the definition of the determinant of a linear  $(m, \sigma)$ -general function, as the limit of a sequence of the determinants of some standard matrices.

In this paper, we prove that the change of variables' formula given by the standard finite-dimensional theory and in the papers [4] and [5] can be extended by using the  $(m, \sigma)$ -general functions. In Section 2, we recall the construction of the infinite-dimensional Banach space  $E_I$ , with its  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}_I$  and its topologies  $\tau_I$  and  $\tau_{\|\cdot\|_I}$ ; moreover, we expose the main results about the differentiation theory over this space. In Section 3, we recall some properties of the  $(m, \sigma)$ -general functions defined in [6], and we expose some additional results

about these functions. In Section 4, we present the main theorem of our paper, that is a change of variables' formula for the integration of the measurable real functions on  $(\mathbf{R}^I, \mathcal{B}^{(I)})$ ; this change of variables is defined by the bijective,  $C^1$  and  $(m, \sigma)$ -general functions, with further properties (Theorem 4.5). In Section 5, we expose some ideas for further study in the probability theory.

## 2. Differentiation theory over infinite-dimensional Banach spaces

Let  $I \neq \emptyset$  be a set and let  $k \in \mathbf{N}^*$ ; indicate by  $\tau$ , by  $\tau^{(k)}$ , by  $\tau^{(I)}$ , by  $\mathcal{B}$ , by  $\mathcal{B}^{(k)}$ , by  $\mathcal{B}^{(I)}$ , by  $Leb$ , and by  $Leb^{(k)}$ , respectively, the euclidean topology on  $\mathbf{R}$ , the euclidean topology on  $\mathbf{R}^k$ , the topology  $\bigotimes_{i \in I} \tau$ , the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra

on  $\mathbf{R}$ , the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\mathbf{R}^k$ , the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\bigotimes_{i \in I} \mathcal{B}$ , the Lebesgue measure

on  $\mathbf{R}$ , and the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbf{R}^k$ . Moreover, for any set  $A \subset \mathbf{R}$ , indicate by  $\mathcal{B}(A)$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra induced by  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $A$ , and by  $\tau(A)$  the topology induced by  $\tau$  on  $A$ ; analogously, for any set  $A \subset \mathbf{R}^I$ , define the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}^{(I)}(A)$  and the topology  $\tau^{(I)}(A)$ . Finally, if  $S = \prod_{i \in I} S_i$  is a Cartesian product, for any

$(x_i : i \in I) \in S$  and for any  $\emptyset \neq H \subset I$ , define  $x_H = (x_i : i \in H) \in \prod_{i \in H} S_i$ , and

define the projection  $\pi_{I,H}$  on  $\prod_{i \in H} S_i$  as the function  $\pi_{I,H} : S \longrightarrow \prod_{i \in H} S_i$  given

by  $\pi_{I,H}(x_I) = x_H$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $I \neq \emptyset$  be a set and, for any  $i \in I$ , let  $(S_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i)$  be a measure space such that  $\mu_i$  is finite. Moreover, suppose that, for some countable set  $J \subset I$ ,  $\mu_i$  is a probability measure for any  $i \in I \setminus J$  and  $\prod_{j \in J} \mu_j(S_j) \in \mathbf{R}^+$ . Then,*

*over the measurable space  $\left( \prod_{i \in I} S_i, \bigotimes_{i \in I} \Sigma_i \right)$ , there is a unique finite measure  $\mu$ ,*

*indicated by  $\bigotimes_{i \in I} \mu_i$ , such that, for any  $H \subset I$  such that  $|H| < +\infty$  and for any*

*$A = \prod_{h \in H} A_h \times \prod_{i \in I \setminus H} S_i \in \bigotimes_{i \in I} \Sigma_i$ , where  $A_h \in \Sigma_h \forall h \in H$ , we have  $\mu(A) =$*

*$\prod_{h \in H} \mu_h(A_h) \prod_{j \in J \setminus H} \mu_j(S_j)$ . In particular, if  $I$  is countable, then  $\mu(A) = \prod_{i \in I} \mu_i(A_i)$*

*for any  $A = \prod_{i \in I} A_i \in \bigotimes_{i \in I} \Sigma_i$ .*

*Proof.* See the proof of Corollary 4 in Asci [4].  $\square$

Henceforth, we will suppose that  $I, J$  are sets such that  $\emptyset \neq I, J \subset \mathbf{N}^*$ ; moreover, for any  $k \in \mathbf{N}^*$ , we will indicate by  $I_k$  the set of the first  $k$  elements of  $I$  (with the natural order and with the convention  $I_k = I$  if  $|I| < k$ ); furthermore, for any  $i \in I$ , set  $|i|_I = |I \cap (0, i]|$ . Analogously, define  $J_k$  and  $|j|_J$ , for any  $k \in \mathbf{N}^*$  and for any  $j \in J$ .

The following theorem generalizes a result proved in Rao [14] (Theorem 3, page 349), and can be considered a generalization of the Tonelli's theorem, in the integration of a function over an infinite-dimensional measure space. The integral of the function is the limit of a sequence of integrals of the same function, with respect to a finite subset of variables.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $(S_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i)$  be a measure space such that  $\mu_i$  is finite, for any  $i \in I$ , and  $\prod_{i \in I} \mu_i(S_i) \in [0, +\infty)$ ; moreover, let  $(S, \Sigma, \mu) = \left( \prod_{i \in I} S_i, \bigotimes_{i \in I} \Sigma_i, \bigotimes_{i \in I} \mu_i \right)$ , let  $f \in L^1(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  and, for any  $H \subset I$  such that  $0 < |H| < +\infty$ , let the measurable function  $f_{H^c} : (S, \Sigma) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{B})$  defined by*

$$f_{H^c}(x) = \int_{S_H} f(\cdot, x_{H^c}) d\mu_H,$$

where  $(S_H, \Sigma_H, \mu_H)$  is the measure space  $\left( \prod_{i \in H} S_i, \bigotimes_{i \in H} \Sigma_i, \bigotimes_{i \in H} \mu_i \right)$ . Then, there exists  $D \in \Sigma$  such that  $\mu(D) = 0$  and such that, for any  $x \in D^c$ , one has  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{S_n} f_{I_n^c}(x) = \int_S f d\mu$ .

*Proof.* See the proof of Corollary 3 in Asci [5].  $\square$

**DEFINITION 2.3.** *For any set  $I \neq \emptyset$ , define the function  $\|\cdot\|_I : \mathbf{R}^I \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  by*

$$\|x\|_I = \sup_{i \in I} |x_i|, \forall x = (x_i : i \in I) \in \mathbf{R}^I,$$

and define the vector space

$$E_I = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^I : \|x\|_I < +\infty\}.$$

Moreover, indicate by  $\mathcal{B}_I$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}^{(I)}(E_I)$ , by  $\tau_I$  the topology  $\tau^{(I)}(E_I)$ , and by  $\tau_{\|\cdot\|_I}$  the topology induced on  $E_I$  by the distance  $d_I : E_I \times E_I \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  defined by  $d_I(x, y) = \|x - y\|_I$ , for any  $x, y \in E_I$ ; furthermore, for any set

$A \subset E_I$ , indicate by  $\tau_{\|\cdot\|_I}(A)$  the topology induced on  $A$  by  $\tau_{\|\cdot\|_I}$ . Finally, for any  $x_0 \in E_I$  and for any  $\delta \in \mathbf{R}^+$ , indicate by  $B_I(x_0, \delta)$  the set  $\{x \in E_I : \|x - x_0\|_I < \delta\}$ .

PROPOSITION 2.4. Let  $H, I$  be sets such that  $\emptyset \neq H \subsetneq I$ , and let  $A \subset E_H$ ,  $B \subset E_{I \setminus H}$ ; then:

1.  $E_I$  is a Banach space, with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_I$ .
2.  $\tau_{\|\cdot\|_I}(A \times B)$  is the product of the topologies  $\tau_{\|\cdot\|_H}(A)$  and  $\tau_{\|\cdot\|_{I \setminus H}}(B)$ .
3. Let  $A = \left( \prod_{i \in I} A_i \right) \cap E_I \neq \emptyset$ , where  $A_i \in \tau$ , for any  $i \in I$ ; then, one has  $A \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_I}$  if and only if there exists  $h \in I$  such that  $A_i = \mathbf{R}$ , for any  $i \in I \setminus I_h$ .
4. One has  $\tau_I \subset \tau_{\|\cdot\|_I}$ ; moreover, if  $|I| = +\infty$ , then  $\tau_I \subsetneq \tau_{\|\cdot\|_I}$ .

*Proof.* 1. See, for example, the proof of Remark 2 in [4].

2. Indicate by  $\tau_{\|\cdot\|_H}(A) \otimes \tau_{\|\cdot\|_{I \setminus H}}(B)$  the product of the topologies  $\tau_{\|\cdot\|_H}(A)$  and  $\tau_{\|\cdot\|_{I \setminus H}}(B)$ ;  $\forall D \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_H}(A)$ , let  $D' \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_H}$  such that  $D = D' \cap A$ ; then,  $\forall x = (x_H, x_{I \setminus H}) \in D' \times E_{I \setminus H}$ , there exists  $\delta \in \mathbf{R}^+$  such that  $x_H \in B_H(x_H, \delta) \subset D'$ ,  $x_{I \setminus H} \in B_{I \setminus H}(x_{I \setminus H}, \delta) \subset E_{I \setminus H}$ , and so  $x \in B_I(x, \delta) \subset D' \times E_{I \setminus H}$ ; then, we have  $D' \times E_{I \setminus H} \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_I}$ , from which  $D \times B = (D' \times E_{I \setminus H}) \cap (A \times B) \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_I}(A \times B)$ ; analogously,  $\forall E \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_{I \setminus H}}(B)$ , we have  $A \times E \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_I}(A \times B)$ , and so  $D \times E = (D \times B) \cap (A \times E) \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_I}(A \times B)$ ; then, we obtain  $\tau_{\|\cdot\|_H}(A) \otimes \tau_{\|\cdot\|_{I \setminus H}}(B) \subset \tau_{\|\cdot\|_I}(A \times B)$ .

Conversely,  $\forall x = (x_H, x_{I \setminus H}) \in E_I$ ,  $\forall \delta \in \mathbf{R}^+$ , we have  $B_I(x, \delta) \cap (A \times B) = (B_H(x_H, \delta) \cap A) \times (B_{I \setminus H}(x_{I \setminus H}, \delta) \cap B) \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_H}(A) \otimes \tau_{\|\cdot\|_{I \setminus H}}(B)$ , from which  $\tau_{\|\cdot\|_I}(A \times B) \subset \tau_{\|\cdot\|_H}(A) \otimes \tau_{\|\cdot\|_{I \setminus H}}(B)$ .

3. We can suppose  $|I| = +\infty$ . If there exists  $h \in I$  such that  $A_i = \mathbf{R}$ , for any  $i \in I \setminus I_h$ , then  $A = \left( \prod_{i \in I_h} A_i \right) \times E_{I \setminus I_h}$ ; thus, since  $\prod_{i \in I_h} A_i \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_{I_h}}$ ,  $E_{I \setminus I_h} \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_{I \setminus I_h}}$ , from point 2 we have  $A \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_I}$ .

Conversely, suppose that there exists  $J \subset I$  such that  $|J| = +\infty$  and such that  $A_j \neq \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\forall j \in J$ ; then, since  $A \neq \emptyset$ , there exists  $x \in A$  such that  $d_I(x, E_I \setminus A) = 0$ , and so  $A \notin \tau_{\|\cdot\|_I}$ .

4. Let

$$\mathcal{E} = \left\{ A = \left( \prod_{i \in I} A_i \right) \cap E_I : A_i \in \tau, \forall i \in I, \right. \\ \left. A_i = \mathbf{R}, \forall i \in I \setminus I_h, \text{ for some } h \in I \right\};$$

as we observed in the proof of point 3, we have  $\mathcal{E} \subset \tau_{\|\cdot\|_I}$ ; moreover, by definition of  $\tau_I$ , we have  $\tau_I = \tau(\mathcal{E}) \subset \tau_{\|\cdot\|_I}$ ; furthermore, if  $|I| = +\infty$ ,  $\forall x \in E_I$ ,  $\forall \delta \in \mathbf{R}^+$ , we have  $B_I(x, \delta) \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_I}$ ,  $B_I(x, \delta) \notin \tau_I$ , and so  $\tau_I \subsetneq \tau_{\|\cdot\|_I}$ . □

**PROPOSITION 2.5.** *Let  $H, I$  be sets such that  $\emptyset \neq H \subset I$ , and let  $\bar{\pi}_{I,H} : E_I \longrightarrow E_H$  be the function given by  $\bar{\pi}_{I,H}(x) = \pi_{I,H}(x)$ , for any  $x \in E_I$ ; then:*

1.  $\bar{\pi}_{I,H} : (E_I, \tau_{\|\cdot\|_I}) \longrightarrow (E_H, \tau_{\|\cdot\|_H})$  is continuous and open.
2.  $\bar{\pi}_{I,H} : (E_I, \tau_I) \longrightarrow (E_H, \tau_H)$  is continuous and open.
3.  $\bar{\pi}_{I,H} : (E_I, \mathcal{B}_I) \longrightarrow (E_H, \mathcal{B}_H)$  is measurable.

*Proof.* Points 1 and 2 are proved, for example, in Proposition 6 in [5]; moreover, the proof of point 3 is analogous to the proof of the continuity of the function  $\bar{\pi}_{I,H} : (E_I, \tau_I) \longrightarrow (E_H, \tau_H)$ . □

**REMARK 2.6:** Let  $H, I, J$  be sets such that  $\emptyset \neq H \subsetneq J$ , let  $U = U_1 \times U_2 \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_J}$ , where  $U_1 \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_H}$ ,  $U_2 \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_{J \setminus H}}$ , let  $\psi : U_1 \subset E_H \longrightarrow E_I$  be a function and let  $\varphi : U \subset E_J \longrightarrow E_I$  be the function given by  $\varphi(x) = \psi(x_H)$ , for any  $x = (x_H, x_{J \setminus H}) \in U$ ; then:

1.  $\psi$  is  $(\tau_{\|\cdot\|_H}(U_1), \tau_{\|\cdot\|_I})$ -continuous if and only if  $\varphi$  is  $(\tau_{\|\cdot\|_J}(U), \tau_{\|\cdot\|_I})$ -continuous.
2.  $\psi$  is  $(\tau^{(H)}(U_1), \tau_I)$ -continuous if and only if  $\varphi$  is  $(\tau^{(J)}(U), \tau_I)$ -continuous.
3. If  $\psi$  is  $(\mathcal{B}^{(H)}(U_1), \mathcal{B}_I)$ -measurable, then  $\varphi$  is  $(\mathcal{B}^{(J)}(U), \mathcal{B}_I)$ -measurable.

*Proof.*  $\forall A \subset E_I$ , we have

$$\varphi^{-1}(A) = \left( \bar{\pi}_{J,H}^{-1} \circ \psi^{-1} \right) (A), \psi^{-1}(A) = \left( \bar{\pi}_{J,H} \circ \varphi^{-1} \right) (A);$$

then, from Proposition 2.5, we obtain the statement. □

DEFINITION 2.7. Let  $U \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_J}$ , let  $x_0 \in U$ , let  $l \in E_I$  and let  $\varphi : U \subset E_J \longrightarrow E_I$  be a function; we say that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \varphi(x) = l$  if, for any  $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}^+$ , there exists a neighbourhood  $N \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_J}(U)$  of  $x_0$  such that, for any  $x \in N \setminus \{x_0\}$ , one has  $\|\varphi(x) - l\|_I < \varepsilon$ .

DEFINITION 2.8. Let  $U \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_J}$  and let  $\varphi : U \subset E_J \longrightarrow E_I$  be a function; we say that  $\varphi$  is continuous in  $x_0 \in U$  if  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \varphi(x) = \varphi(x_0)$ , and we say that  $\varphi$  is continuous in  $U$  if, for any  $x \in U$ ,  $\varphi$  is continuous in  $x$ .

REMARK 2.9: Let  $U \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_J}$ , let  $V \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_I}$  and let  $\varphi : U \subset E_J \longrightarrow V \subset E_I$  be a function; then,  $\varphi : (U, \tau_{\|\cdot\|_J}(U)) \longrightarrow (V, \tau_{\|\cdot\|_I}(V))$  is continuous if and only if  $\varphi$  is continuous in  $U$ .

DEFINITION 2.10. Let  $U \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_J}$ , let  $V \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_I}$  and let  $\varphi : U \subset E_J \longrightarrow V \subset E_I$  be a function; we say that  $\varphi$  is a homeomorphism if  $\varphi$  is bijective and the functions  $\varphi : (U, \tau_{\|\cdot\|_J}(U)) \longrightarrow (V, \tau_{\|\cdot\|_I}(V))$  and  $\varphi^{-1} : (V, \tau_{\|\cdot\|_I}(V)) \longrightarrow (U, \tau_{\|\cdot\|_J}(U))$  are continuous.

DEFINITION 2.11. Let  $U \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_J}$ , let  $A \subset U$ , let  $\varphi : U \subset E_J \longrightarrow E_I$  be a functions and let  $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  be a sequence of functions such that  $\varphi_n : U \longrightarrow E_I$ , for any  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ; we say that:

1. The sequence  $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  converges to  $\varphi$  over  $A$  if, for any  $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}^+$  and for any  $x \in A$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$  such that, for any  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $n \geq n_0$ , one has  $\|\varphi_n(x) - \varphi(x)\|_I < \varepsilon$ .
2. The sequence  $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  converges uniformly to  $\varphi$  over  $A$  if, for any  $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}^+$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$  such that, for any  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $n \geq n_0$ , and for any  $x \in A$ , one has  $\|\varphi_n(x) - \varphi(x)\|_I < \varepsilon$ .

The following concept generalizes Definition 6 in [4] (see also the theory in the Lang's book [12] and that in the Weidmann's book [20]).

DEFINITION 2.12. Let  $A = (a_{ij})_{i \in I, j \in J}$  be a real matrix  $I \times J$  (eventually infinite); then, define the linear function  $A = (a_{ij})_{i \in I, j \in J} : E_J \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^I$ , and write  $x \longrightarrow Ax$ , in the following manner:

$$(Ax)_i = \sum_{j \in J} a_{ij} x_j, \quad \forall x \in E_J, \quad \forall i \in I, \quad (1)$$

on condition that, for any  $i \in I$ , the sum in (1) converges to a real number. In

particular, if  $|I| = |J|$ , indicate by  $\mathbf{I}_{I,J} = (\bar{\delta}_{ij})_{i \in I, j \in J}$  the real matrix defined by

$$\bar{\delta}_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |i|_I = |j|_J \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

and call  $\bar{\delta}_{ij}$  generalized Kronecker symbol. Moreover, indicate by  $A^{(L,N)}$  the real matrix  $(a_{ij})_{i \in L, j \in N}$ , for any  $\emptyset \neq L \subset I$ , for any  $\emptyset \neq N \subset J$ , and indicate by  ${}^t A = (b_{ji})_{j \in J, i \in I} : E_I \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^J$  the linear function defined by  $b_{ji} = a_{ij}$ , for any  $j \in J$  and for any  $i \in I$ . Furthermore, if  $I = J$  and  $A = {}^t A$ , we say that  $A$  is a symmetric function. Finally, if  $B = (b_{jk})_{j \in J, k \in K}$  is a real matrix  $J \times K$ , define the  $I \times K$  real matrix  $AB = ((AB)_{ik})_{i \in I, k \in K}$  by

$$(AB)_{ik} = \sum_{j \in J} a_{ij} b_{jk}, \quad (2)$$

on condition that, for any  $i \in I$  and for any  $k \in K$ , the sum in (2) converges to a real number.

PROPOSITION 2.13. Let  $A = (a_{ij})_{i \in I, j \in J}$  be a real matrix  $I \times J$ ; then:

1. The linear function  $A = (a_{ij})_{i \in I, j \in J} : E_J \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^I$  given by (1) is defined if and only if, for any  $i \in I$ ,  $\sum_{j \in J} |a_{ij}| < +\infty$ .
2. One has  $\sup_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} |a_{ij}| < +\infty$  if and only if  $A(E_J) \subset E_I$  and  $A$  is continuous; moreover, if  $A(E_J) \subset E_I$ , then  $\|A\| = \sup_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} |a_{ij}|$ .
3. If  $B = (b_{jk})_{j \in J, k \in K} : E_K \longrightarrow E_J$  is a linear function, then the linear function  $A \circ B : E_K \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^I$  is defined by the real matrix  $AB$ .
4. If  $A(E_J) \subset E_I$ , then, for any  $\emptyset \neq L \subset I$ , for any  $\emptyset \neq N \subset J$ , one has  $A^{(L,N)}(E_N) \subset E_L$ .

*Proof.* The proofs of points 1 and 2 are analogous to the proof of Proposition 7 in [4]. Moreover, the proof of point 3 is analogous to that one true in the particular case  $|I|, |J|, |K| < +\infty$  (see, e.g., the Lang's book [12]). Finally, suppose that  $A(E_J) \subset E_I$ ; let  $\emptyset \neq L \subset I$ , let  $\emptyset \neq N \subset J$ , let  $x = (x_n : n \in N) \in E_N$  and let  $y = (y_j : j \in J) \in E_J$  such that  $y_j = x_j, \forall j \in N$ , and  $y_j = 0$ ,



$\forall j \in J \setminus N$ ; we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{i \in L} \left| \left( A^{(L,N)} x \right)_i \right| &= \sup_{i \in L} \left| \sum_{j \in N} a_{ij}(x_j) \right| = \sup_{i \in L} \left| \sum_{j \in J} a_{ij}(y_j) \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{i \in I} \left| \sum_{j \in J} a_{ij}(y_j) \right| = \sup_{i \in I} |(Ay)_i| < +\infty \quad \Rightarrow \quad A^{(L,N)} x \in E_L; \end{aligned}$$

then, point 4 follows.  $\square$

The following definitions (from Definition 2.14 to Definition 2.18) can be found in [5] and generalize the differentiation theory in the finite case (see, e.g., the Lang's book [11]).

**DEFINITION 2.14.** Let  $U \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_J}$ ; a function  $\varphi : U \subset E_J \longrightarrow E_I$  is called differentiable in  $x_0 \in U$  if there exists a linear and continuous function  $A : E_J \longrightarrow E_I$  defined by a real matrix  $A = (a_{ij})_{i \in I, j \in J}$ , and one has

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|\varphi(x_0 + h) - \varphi(x_0) - Ah\|_I}{\|h\|_J} = 0. \quad (3)$$

If  $\varphi$  is differentiable in  $x_0$  for any  $x_0 \in U$ ,  $\varphi$  is called differentiable in  $U$ . The function  $A$  is called differential of the function  $\varphi$  in  $x_0$ , and it is indicated by the symbol  $d\varphi(x_0)$ .

**DEFINITION 2.15.** Let  $U \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_J}$ , let  $v \in E_J$  such that  $\|v\|_J = 1$  and let a function  $\varphi : U \subset E_J \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^I$ ; for any  $i \in I$ , the function  $\varphi_i$  is called differentiable in  $x_0 \in U$  in the direction  $v$  if there exists the limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi_i(x_0 + tv) - \varphi_i(x_0)}{t}.$$

This limit is indicated by  $\frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial v}(x_0)$ , and it is called derivative of  $\varphi_i$  in  $x_0$  in the direction  $v$ . If, for some  $j \in J$ , one has  $v = e_j$ , where  $(e_j)_k = \delta_{jk}$ , for any  $k \in J$ , indicate  $\frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial v}(x_0)$  by  $\frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial x_j}(x_0)$ , and call it partial derivative of  $\varphi_i$  in  $x_0$ , with respect to  $x_j$ . Moreover, if there exists the linear function defined by the matrix  $J_\varphi(x_0) = \left( (J_\varphi(x_0))_{ij} \right)_{i \in I, j \in J} : E_J \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^I$ , where  $(J_\varphi(x_0))_{ij} = \frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial x_j}(x_0)$ , for any  $i \in I, j \in J$ , then  $J_\varphi(x_0)$  is called Jacobian matrix of the function  $\varphi$  in  $x_0$ . Finally, if, for any  $x \in U$ , there exists  $J_\varphi(x)$ , then the function  $x \longrightarrow J_\varphi(x)$  is indicated by  $J_\varphi$ .

DEFINITION 2.16. Let  $U \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_J}$ , let  $i, j \in J$  and let  $\varphi : U \subset E_J \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a function differentiable in  $x_0 \in U$  with respect to  $x_i$ , such that the function  $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i}$  is differentiable in  $x_0$  with respect to  $x_j$ . Indicate  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} \right) (x_0)$  by  $\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x_j \partial x_i} (x_0)$  and call it second partial derivative of  $\varphi$  in  $x_0$  with respect to  $x_i$  and  $x_j$ . If  $i = j$ , it is indicated by  $\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x_i^2} (x_0)$ . Analogously, for any  $k \in \mathbf{N}^*$  and for any  $j_1, \dots, j_k \in J$ , define  $\frac{\partial^k \varphi}{\partial x_{j_k} \dots \partial x_{j_1}} (x_0)$  and call it  $k$ -th partial derivative of  $\varphi$  in  $x_0$  with respect to  $x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_k}$ .

DEFINITION 2.17. Let  $U \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_J}$  and let  $k \in \mathbf{N}^*$ ; a function  $\varphi : U \subset E_J \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^I$  is called  $C^k$  in  $x_0 \in U$  if, in a neighbourhood  $V \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_J}(U)$  of  $x_0$ , for any  $i \in I$  and for any  $j_1, \dots, j_k \in J$ , there exists the function defined by  $x \longrightarrow \frac{\partial^k \varphi_i}{\partial x_{j_k} \dots \partial x_{j_1}} (x)$ , and this function is continuous in  $x_0$ ;  $\varphi$  is called  $C^k$  in  $U$  if, for any  $x_0 \in U$ ,  $\varphi$  is  $C^k$  in  $x_0$ .

DEFINITION 2.18. Let  $U \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_J}$  and let  $V \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_I}$ ; a function  $\varphi : U \subset E_J \longrightarrow V \subset E_I$  is called diffeomorphism if  $\varphi$  is bijective and  $C^1$  in  $U$ , and the function  $\varphi^{-1} : V \subset E_I \longrightarrow U \subset E_J$  is  $C^1$  in  $V$ .

### 3. Theory of the $(m, \sigma)$ -general functions

The following definition introduces a class of functions, called  $m$ -general, that generalize the linear functions  $(a_{ij})_{i \in I, j \in J} : E_J \longrightarrow E_I$  (see the next Remark 3.15). For example, the equation corresponding to a 1-general function is obtained by formula 1, by substituting the functions  $x_j \longrightarrow a_{ij}x_j$  for some functions  $\varphi_{ij}$ .

DEFINITION 3.1. Let  $m \in \mathbf{N}^*$  and let  $\emptyset \neq U = \left( U^{(m)} \times \prod_{j \in J \setminus J_m} A_j \right) \cap E_J \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_J}$ , where  $U^{(m)} \in \tau^{(m)}$ ,  $A_j \in \tau$ , for any  $j \in J \setminus J_m$ . A function  $\varphi : U \subset E_J \longrightarrow E_I$  is called  $m$ -general if, for any  $i \in I$  and for any  $j \in J \setminus J_m$ , there exist some functions  $\varphi_i^{(I,m)} : U^{(m)} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$  and  $\varphi_{ij} : A_j \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that

$$\varphi_i(x) = \varphi_i^{(I,m)}(x_{J_m}) + \sum_{j \in J \setminus J_m} \varphi_{ij}(x_j), \forall x \in U.$$

Moreover, for any  $\emptyset \neq L \subset I$  and for any  $J_m \subset N \subset J$ , indicate by  $\varphi^{(L,N)}$  the function  $\varphi^{(L,N)} : \pi_{J,N}(U) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^L$  defined by

$$\varphi_i^{(L,N)}(x_N) = \varphi_i^{(I,m)}(x_{J_m}) + \sum_{j \in N \setminus J_m} \varphi_{ij}(x_j), \forall x_N \in \pi_{J,N}(U), \forall i \in L. \quad (4)$$

Furthermore, for any  $\emptyset \neq L \subset I$  and for any  $\emptyset \neq N \subset J \setminus J_m$ , indicate by  $\varphi^{(L,N)}$  the function  $\varphi^{(L,N)} : \pi_{J,N}(U) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^L$  given by

$$\varphi_i^{(L,N)}(x_N) = \sum_{j \in N} \varphi_{ij}(x_j), \quad \forall x_N \in \pi_{J,N}(U), \quad \forall i \in L. \quad (5)$$

In particular, suppose that  $m = 1$ ; then, let  $j \in J$  such that  $\{j\} = J_1$  and indicate  $U^{(1)}$  by  $A_j$  and  $\varphi_i^{(I,1)}$  by  $\varphi_{ij}$ , for any  $i \in I$ ; moreover, for any  $\emptyset \neq L \subset I$  and for any  $\emptyset \neq N \subset J$ , indicate by  $\varphi^{(L,N)}$  the function  $\varphi^{(L,N)} : \pi_{J,N}(U) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^L$  defined by formula (5).

Furthermore, for any  $l, n \in \mathbf{N}^*$ , indicate  $\varphi^{(I_l, N)}$  by  $\varphi^{(l, N)}$ ,  $\varphi^{(L, J_n)}$  by  $\varphi^{(L, n)}$ , and  $\varphi^{(I_l, J_n)}$  by  $\varphi^{(l, n)}$ .

The following definition introduces a class of  $m$ -general functions  $\varphi : U \subset E_J \longrightarrow E_I$ , called  $(m, \sigma)$ -general, that will be used to provide a change of variables' formula for the integration of the measurable real functions over  $(\mathbf{R}^I, \mathcal{B}^{(I)})$ . In fact, the properties of some  $(m, \sigma)$ -general functions generalize the analogous ones of the standard finite-dimensional diffeomorphisms. In particular, if  $A$  is a linear  $(m, \sigma)$ -general function, we can define the determinant of  $A$  (see the next Theorem 3.18 and Definition 3.19): a concept without sense, if  $A$  is an arbitrary matrix  $I \times J$ .

DEFINITION 3.2. Let  $m \in \mathbf{N}^*$ , let  $\emptyset \neq U = \left( U^{(m)} \times \prod_{j \in J \setminus J_m} A_j \right) \cap E_J \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_J}$ , where  $U^{(m)} \in \tau^{(m)}$ ,  $A_j \in \tau$ , for any  $j \in J \setminus J_m$ , and let  $\sigma : I \setminus I_m \longrightarrow J \setminus J_m$  be an increasing function; a function  $\varphi : U \subset E_J \longrightarrow E_I$   $m$ -general and such that  $|J| = |I|$  is called  $(m, \sigma)$ -general if:

1.  $\forall i \in I \setminus I_m, \forall j \in J \setminus (J_m \cup \{\sigma(i)\}), \forall t \in A_j$ , one has  $\varphi_{ij}(t) = 0$ ; moreover

$$\varphi^{(I \setminus I_m, J \setminus J_m)}(\pi_{J, J \setminus J_m}(U)) \subset E_{I \setminus I_m}.$$

2.  $\forall i \in I \setminus I_m, \forall x \in U$ , there exists  $J_{\varphi_i}(x) : E_J \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ ; moreover,  $\forall x_{J_m} \in U^{(m)}$ , one has  $\sum_{i \in I \setminus I_m} \left\| J_{\varphi_i}^{(I, m)}(x_{J_m}) \right\| < +\infty$ .

3.  $\forall i \in I \setminus I_m$ , the function  $\varphi_{i, \sigma(i)} : A_{\sigma(i)} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is constant or injective; moreover,  $\forall x_{\sigma(I \setminus I_m)} \in \prod_{j \in \sigma(I \setminus I_m)} A_j$ , one has  $\sup_{i \in I \setminus I_m} \left| \varphi'_{i, \sigma(i)}(x_{\sigma(i)}) \right| < +\infty$  and  $\inf_{i \in \mathcal{I}_\varphi} \left| \varphi'_{i, \sigma(i)}(x_{\sigma(i)}) \right| > 0$ , where  $\mathcal{I}_\varphi = \{i \in I \setminus I_m : \varphi_{i, \sigma(i)} \text{ is injective}\}$ .

4. If, for some  $h \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $h \geq m$ , one has  $|\sigma(i)|_{J \setminus J_m} = |i|_{I \setminus I_m}$ ,  $\forall i \in I \setminus I_h$ , then,  $\forall x_{\sigma(I \setminus I_m)} \in \prod_{j \in \sigma(I \setminus I_m)} A_j$ , there exists  $\prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}_\varphi} \varphi'_{i, \sigma(i)}(x_{\sigma(i)}) \in \mathbf{R}^*$ .

Moreover, set

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(\varphi) = \left\{ h \in \mathbf{N}, h \geq m : |\sigma(i)|_{J \setminus J_m} = |i|_{I \setminus I_m}, \forall i \in I \setminus I_h \right\}.$$

If the sequence  $\left\{ J_{\varphi_i^{(I, m)}}(x_{J_m}) \right\}_{i \in I \setminus I_m}$  converges uniformly on  $U^{(m)}$  to the matrix  $(0 \dots 0)$  and there exists  $a \in \mathbf{R}$  such that, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $i_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $i_0 \geq m$ , such that, for any  $i \in \mathcal{I}_\varphi \cap (I \setminus I_{i_0})$  and for any  $t \in A_{\sigma(i)}$ , one has  $\left| \varphi'_{i, \sigma(i)}(t) - a \right| < \varepsilon$ , then  $\varphi$  is called strongly  $(m, \sigma)$ -general.

Furthermore, for any  $I_m \subset L \subset I$  and for any  $J_m \subset N \subset J$ , define the function  $\overline{\varphi}^{(L, N)} : U \subset E_J \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^I$  in the following manner:

$$\overline{\varphi}_i^{(L, N)}(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi_i^{(L, N)}(x_N) & \forall i \in I_m, \forall x \in U \\ \varphi_i(x) & \forall i \in L \setminus I_m, \forall x \in U \\ \varphi_{i, \sigma(i)}(x_{\sigma(i)}) & \forall i \in I \setminus L, \forall x \in U \end{cases}.$$

Finally, for any  $l, n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $l, n \geq m$ , indicate  $\overline{\varphi}^{(I, N)}$  by  $\overline{\varphi}^{(l, N)}$ ,  $\overline{\varphi}^{(L, J_n)}$  by  $\overline{\varphi}^{(L, n)}$ ,  $\overline{\varphi}^{(I, J_n)}$  by  $\overline{\varphi}^{(l, n)}$ , and  $\overline{\varphi}^{(m, m)}$  by  $\overline{\varphi}$ .

**DEFINITION 3.3.** A function  $\varphi : U \subset E_J \longrightarrow E_I$   $(m, \sigma)$ -general is called  $(m, \sigma)$ -standard (or  $(m, \sigma)$  of the first type) if, for any  $i \in I \setminus I_m$  and for any  $x_{J_m} \in U^{(m)}$ , one has  $\varphi_i^{(I, m)}(x_{J_m}) = 0$ . Moreover, a function  $\varphi : U \subset E_J \longrightarrow E_I$   $(m, \sigma)$ -standard and strongly  $(m, \sigma)$ -general is called strongly  $(m, \sigma)$ -standard (see also Definition 28 in [5]).

**REMARK 3.4:** Let  $\varphi : U \subset E_J \longrightarrow E_I$  be a  $(m, \sigma)$ -general function; then:

1.  $\sigma$  is injective if and only if, for any  $i_1, i_2 \in I \setminus I_m$  such that  $i_1 < i_2$ , one has  $\sigma(i_1) < \sigma(i_2)$ .
2.  $\sigma$  is bijective if and only if, for any  $i \in I \setminus I_m$ , one has  $|\sigma(i)|_{J \setminus J_m} = |i|_{I \setminus I_m}$ .
3. There exists  $m_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $m_0 \geq m$ , such that  $A_j = \mathbf{R}$ , for any  $j \in J \setminus J_{m_0}$ .

*Proof.* The statement follows from Definition 3.2 and point 3 of Proposition 2.4.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 3.5. Let  $I_m \subset L \subset I$ , let  $J_m \subset N \subset J$  and let  $\varphi : U \subset E_J \longrightarrow E_I$  be a  $(m, \sigma)$ -general function; then, one has  $\overline{\varphi}^{(L, N)}(U) \subset E_I$ , and the function  $\overline{\varphi}^{(L, N)} : U \subset E_J \longrightarrow E_I$  is  $(m, \sigma)$ -general. Moreover, suppose that, for any  $j \in J \setminus J_m$ , for any  $t \in A_j$ , one has  $\sum_{i \in I \setminus I_m} |\varphi'_{i,j}(t)| < +\infty$ ; then, for any  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $n \geq m$ ,  $\overline{\varphi}^{(L, N)}$  is  $(n, \tau)$ -general, where the function  $\tau : I \setminus I_n \longrightarrow J \setminus J_n$  is defined by

$$\tau(i) = \begin{cases} \sigma(i) & \text{if } \sigma(i) \in J \setminus J_n \\ \min(J \setminus J_n) & \text{if } \sigma(i) \notin J \setminus J_n \end{cases}, \forall i \in I \setminus I_n. \quad (6)$$

*Proof.* Since  $I_m \subset L \subset I$  and  $J_m \subset N \subset J$ ,  $\forall i \in I \setminus I_m$ ,  $\forall x \in U$ , we have

$$\left| \overline{\varphi}_i^{(L, N)}(x) \right| \leq \left| \varphi_i^{(I, m)}(x_{J_m}) \right| + \left| \varphi_{i, \sigma(i)}(x_{\sigma(i)}) \right|,$$

and so  $\sup_{i \in I \setminus I_m} \left| \overline{\varphi}_i^{(L, N)}(x) \right| < +\infty$ ; then,  $\overline{\varphi}^{(L, N)}(U) \subset E_I$ . Moreover, from the definition of  $\overline{\varphi}^{(L, N)}$ , the function  $\overline{\varphi}^{(L, N)} : U \subset E_J \longrightarrow E_I$  is  $(m, \sigma)$ -general. Furthermore, suppose that, for any  $j \in J \setminus J_m$ , for any  $t \in A_j$ , one has  $\sum_{i \in I \setminus I_m} |\varphi'_{i,j}(t)| < +\infty$ ;  $\forall n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $n \geq m$ , and  $\forall x_{J_n} \in \pi_{J, J_n}(U)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in I \setminus I_n} \left\| J_{(\overline{\varphi}^{(L, N)})_i}^{(I, J_n)}(x_{J_n}) \right\| &\leq \sum_{i \in I \setminus I_n} \left\| J_{\varphi_i}^{(I, J_n)}(x_{J_n}) \right\| \\ &= \sum_{i \in I \setminus I_n} \left\| J_{\varphi_i}^{(I, m)}(x_{J_m}) \right\| + \sum_{j \in J_n \setminus J_m} \left( \sum_{i \in I \setminus I_n} |\varphi'_{i,j}(x_j)| \right) < +\infty; \end{aligned}$$

then,  $\overline{\varphi}^{(L, N)}$  is  $(n, \tau)$ -general, where the function  $\tau : I \setminus I_n \longrightarrow J \setminus J_n$  is defined by formula (6).  $\square$

PROPOSITION 3.6. Let  $\emptyset \neq L \subset I$ , let  $\emptyset \neq N \subset J$  such that  $J_m \subset N$  or  $N \subset J \setminus J_m$ , and let  $\varphi : U \subset E_J \longrightarrow E_I$  be a  $(m, \sigma)$ -general function; then:

1. For any  $x \in U$ , there exists the function  $J_{\varphi}^{(L, N)}(x) : E_N \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^L$  if and only if, for any  $i \in L \cap I_m$  and for any  $j \in N$ , there exists the partial derivative  $\frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial x_j}(x)$ , and for any  $i \in L \cap I_m$  one has  $\sum_{j \in N} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial x_j}(x) \right| < +\infty$ ; moreover, in this case one has  $J_{\varphi}^{(L, N)}(x)(E_N) \subset E_L$ , and  $J_{\varphi}^{(L, N)}(x)$  is continuous.
2. For any  $x \in U$ , there exists the function  $J_{\varphi}^{(I \setminus I_m, J)}(x) : E_J \longrightarrow E_{I \setminus I_m}$ , and it is continuous.

3. Suppose that  $I_m \subset L$  and  $J_m \subset N$ , and let  $x \in U$ ; then, there exists the function  $J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(L,N)}}(x) : E_J \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^I$  if and only if, for any  $i \in I_m$  and for any  $j \in N$ , there exists the partial derivative  $\frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial x_j}(x)$ , and for any  $i \in I_m$  one has  $\sum_{j \in N} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial x_j}(x) \right| < +\infty$ ; moreover, in this case one has  $J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(L,N)}}(x)(E_J) \subset E_I$ , and  $J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(L,N)}}(x)$  is continuous and  $(m, \sigma)$ -general.

*Proof.* 1. From Definition 3.2,  $\forall i \in L \cap (I \setminus I_m)$  and  $\forall j \in N$ , there exists the partial derivative  $\frac{\partial \varphi_i^{(L,N)}}{\partial x_j}(x) = \frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial x_j}(x)$ , and one has

$$\sum_{j \in N} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial x_j}(x) \right| \leq \left\| J_{\varphi_i^{(I,m)}}(x_{J_m}) \right\| + \left| \varphi'_{i,\sigma(i)}(x_{\sigma(i)}) \right| < +\infty, \\ \forall i \in L \cap (I \setminus I_m); \quad (7)$$

then, from Proposition 2.13, there exists the function  $J_{\varphi^{(L,N)}}(x) : E_N \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^L$  if and only if,  $\forall i \in L \cap I_m$  and  $\forall j \in N$ , there exists the partial derivative  $\frac{\partial \varphi_i^{(L,N)}}{\partial x_j}(x) = \frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial x_j}(x)$ , and  $\forall i \in L \cap I_m$  one has  $\sum_{j \in N} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial x_j}(x) \right| < +\infty$ .

Furthermore, since  $\sum_{i \in I \setminus I_m} \left\| J_{\varphi_i^{(I,m)}}(x_{J_m}) \right\| < +\infty$ , we have

$$\sup_{i \in L \cap (I \setminus I_m)} \left\| J_{\varphi_i^{(I,m)}}(x_{J_m}) \right\| < +\infty,$$

and so formula (7) implies

$$\sup_{i \in L \cap (I \setminus I_m)} \sum_{j \in N} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial x_j}(x) \right| \\ \leq \sup_{i \in L \cap (I \setminus I_m)} \left\| J_{\varphi_i^{(I,m)}}(x_{J_m}) \right\| + \sup_{i \in L \cap (I \setminus I_m)} \left| \varphi'_{i,\sigma(i)}(x_{\sigma(i)}) \right| < +\infty;$$

thus, if there exists the function  $J_{\varphi^{(L,N)}}(x)$ , we obtain  $\sup_{i \in L} \sum_{j \in N} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial x_j}(x) \right| < +\infty$ ; then, from Proposition 2.13, we have  $J_{\varphi^{(L,N)}}(x)(E_N) \subset E_L$ , and  $J_{\varphi^{(L,N)}}(x)$  is continuous.

2. The statement follows from point 1.

3. By Definition 3.2,  $\forall i \in I \setminus I_m$  and  $\forall j \in J$ , there exists the partial derivative  $\frac{\partial \bar{\varphi}_i^{(L,N)}}{\partial x_j}(x)$ , and one has

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \in J} \left| \frac{\partial \bar{\varphi}_i^{(L,N)}}{\partial x_j}(x) \right| &\leq \sum_{j \in J} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial x_j}(x) \right| \\ &\leq \left\| J_{\varphi_i^{(I,m)}}(x_{J_m}) \right\| + \left| \varphi'_{i,\sigma(i)}(x_{\sigma(i)}) \right| < +\infty, \quad \forall i \in I \setminus I_m; \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

then, from Proposition 2.13, there exists the function  $J_{\bar{\varphi}^{(L,N)}}(x) : E_J \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^I$  if and only if,  $\forall i \in I_m$  and  $\forall j \in J$ , there exists the partial derivative  $\frac{\partial \bar{\varphi}_i^{(L,N)}}{\partial x_j}(x)$ , and  $\forall i \in I_m$  one has  $\sum_{j \in J} \left| \frac{\partial \bar{\varphi}_i^{(L,N)}}{\partial x_j}(x) \right| < +\infty$ ; thus, this happens if and only if,  $\forall i \in I_m$  and  $\forall j \in N$ , there exists the partial derivative  $\frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial x_j}(x)$ , and  $\forall i \in I_m$  one has  $\sum_{j \in N} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial x_j}(x) \right| < +\infty$ .

Moreover, from formula (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{i \in I \setminus I_m} \sum_{j \in J} \left| \frac{\partial \bar{\varphi}_i^{(L,N)}}{\partial x_j}(x) \right| \\ \leq \sup_{i \in I \setminus I_m} \left\| J_{\varphi_i^{(I,m)}}(x_{J_m}) \right\| + \sup_{i \in I \setminus I_m} \left| \varphi'_{i,\sigma(i)}(x_{\sigma(i)}) \right| < +\infty; \end{aligned}$$

then, if there exists the function  $J_{\bar{\varphi}^{(L,N)}}(x)$ , we obtain

$$\sup_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} \left| \frac{\partial \bar{\varphi}_i^{(L,N)}}{\partial x_j}(x) \right| < +\infty;$$

thus, from Proposition 2.13, we have  $J_{\bar{\varphi}^{(L,N)}}(x)(E_J) \subset E_I$ , and  $J_{\bar{\varphi}^{(L,N)}}(x)$  is continuous; furthermore, by Definition 3.2,  $J_{\bar{\varphi}^{(L,N)}}(x)$  is  $(m, \sigma)$ -general.  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 3.7.** *Let  $\varphi : U \subset E_J \longrightarrow E_I$  be a  $(m, \sigma)$ -standard function; then:*

1. *Suppose that  $\varphi$  is injective,  $\pi_{I,H}(\varphi(U)) \in \tau^{(H)}$ , for any  $H \subset I \setminus I_m$  such that  $0 < |H| \leq 2$ , the function  $\varphi_i : U \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is  $C^1$ , for any  $i \in I_m$ , and  $\det J_{\varphi^{(m,m)}}(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$ , for any  $\mathbf{x} \in U^{(m)}$ ; then the functions  $\varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}$ , for any  $i \in I \setminus I_m$ , and  $\varphi^{(m,m)}$  are injective, and  $\sigma$  is bijective.*
2. *Suppose that  $\varphi$  is bijective, the function  $\varphi_i : U \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is  $C^1$ , for any  $i \in I_m$ , and  $\det J_{\varphi^{(m,m)}}(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$ , for any  $\mathbf{x} \in U^{(m)}$ ; then the functions  $\varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}$ , for any  $i \in I \setminus I_m$ ,  $\varphi^{(m,m)}$  and  $\sigma$  are bijective.*

3. Suppose that  $\varphi_{ij}(x_j) = 0$ , for any  $i \in I_m$ , for any  $j \in J \setminus J_m$ , for any  $x_j \in A_j$ ,  $\varphi$  is injective, and  $\pi_{I,H}(\varphi(U)) \in \tau^{(H)}$ , for any  $H \subset I \setminus I_m$  such that  $0 < |H| \leq 2$ ; then the functions  $\varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}$ , for any  $i \in I \setminus I_m$ , and  $\varphi^{(m,m)}$  are injective, and  $\sigma$  is bijective.
4. Suppose that  $\varphi_{ij}(x_j) = 0$ , for any  $i \in I_m$ , for any  $j \in J \setminus J_m$ , for any  $x_j \in A_j$ , and  $\varphi$  is bijective; then the functions  $\varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}$ , for any  $i \in I \setminus I_m$ ,  $\varphi^{(m,m)}$  and  $\sigma$  are bijective.
5. If the functions  $\varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}$ , for any  $i \in I \setminus I_m$ , and  $\varphi^{(m,m)}$  are injective, and  $\sigma$  is bijective, then  $\varphi$  is injective.
6. If the functions  $\varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}$ , for any  $i \in I \setminus I_m$ ,  $\varphi^{(m,m)}$  and  $\sigma$  are bijective, then  $\varphi$  is bijective.

*Proof.* The statement follows from Proposition 31, Proposition 32 and Remark 33 in [5].  $\square$

COROLLARY 3.8. Let  $\varphi : U \subset E_J \longrightarrow E_I$  be a  $(m, \sigma)$ -general function; then:

1. If  $\bar{\varphi}$  is injective and  $\pi_{I,H}(\bar{\varphi}(U)) \in \tau^{(H)}$ , for any  $H \subset I \setminus I_m$  such that  $0 < |H| \leq 2$ , then the functions  $\varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}$ , for any  $i \in I \setminus I_m$ , and  $\varphi^{(m,m)}$  are injective, and  $\sigma$  is bijective.
2. If  $\bar{\varphi}$  is bijective, then the functions  $\varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}$ , for any  $i \in I \setminus I_m$ ,  $\varphi^{(m,m)}$  and  $\sigma$  are bijective.

*Proof.* Observe that  $\bar{\varphi}$  is  $(m, \sigma)$ -standard, and  $\bar{\varphi}_{ij}(x_j) = 0$ , for any  $i \in I_m$ , for any  $j \in J \setminus J_m$ , for any  $x_j \in A_j$ ; then, from points 3 and 4 of Proposition 3.7, we obtain the statements 1 and 2.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 3.9. Let  $m \in \mathbf{N}^*$ , let  $\emptyset \neq L \subset I$ , let  $\emptyset \neq N \subset J$  such that  $J_m \subset N$  or  $N \subset J \setminus J_m$ , and let  $\varphi : U \subset E_J \longrightarrow E_I$  be a function  $m$ -general and such that, for any  $i \in L$  and for any  $j \in N \setminus J_m$ , the functions  $\varphi_i^{(I,m)} : (U^{(m)}, \mathcal{B}^{(m)}(U^{(m)})) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{B})$  and  $\varphi_{ij} : (A_j, \mathcal{B}(A_j)) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{B})$  are measurable; then:

1. The function

$$\varphi^{(L,N)} : (\pi_{J,N}(U), \mathcal{B}^{(N)}(\pi_{J,N}(U))) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{R}^L, \mathcal{B}^{(L)})$$

is measurable; in particular, suppose that, for any  $i \in I$  and for any  $j \in J \setminus J_m$ ,  $\varphi_i^{(I,m)}$  and  $\varphi_{ij}$  are measurable functions; then,  $\varphi : (U, \mathcal{B}^{(J)}(U)) \longrightarrow (E_I, \mathcal{B}_I)$  is measurable.



2. If  $\varphi$  is  $(m, \sigma)$ -general,  $I_m \subset L$  and  $J_m \subset N$ , then the function  $\overline{\varphi}^{(L, N)} : (U, \mathcal{B}^{(J)}(U)) \longrightarrow (E_I, \mathcal{B}_I)$  is measurable.

*Proof.* 1.  $\forall i \in L$  and  $\forall M \subset N$  such that  $J_m \subset M$  or  $M \subset J \setminus J_m$ , consider the function  $\widehat{\varphi}^{(i, M, N)} : \pi_{J, N}(U) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$  defined by

$$\widehat{\varphi}^{(i, M, N)}(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi^{\{i\}, M}(x_M) & \text{if } M \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{if } M = \emptyset \end{cases}, \forall x \in \pi_{J, N}(U);$$

observe that,  $\forall n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $n \geq m$ , we have

$$\widehat{\varphi}^{(i, N \cap J_n, N)}(x) = \widehat{\varphi}^{(i, N \cap J_m, N)}(x) + \sum_{j \in N \cap (J_n \setminus J_m)} \widehat{\varphi}^{(i, \{j\}, N)}(x), \quad \forall x \in \pi_{J, N}(U); \quad (9)$$

moreover, from Remark 2.6, the functions  $\widehat{\varphi}^{(i, N \cap J_m, N)}$  and  $\widehat{\varphi}^{(i, \{j\}, N)}$ ,  $\forall j \in N \cap (J_n \setminus J_m)$ , are  $(\mathcal{B}^{(N)}(\pi_{J, N}(U)), \mathcal{B})$ -measurable; thus, from formula (9),  $\widehat{\varphi}^{(i, N \cap J_n, N)}$  is  $(\mathcal{B}^{(N)}(\pi_{J, N}(U)), \mathcal{B})$ -measurable; then, since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \widehat{\varphi}^{(i, N \cap J_n, N)} = \varphi_i^{(L, N)},$$

$\varphi_i^{(L, N)}$  is  $(\mathcal{B}^{(N)}(\pi_{J, N}(U)), \mathcal{B})$ -measurable too. Furthermore, let

$$\Sigma(L) = \left\{ B = \prod_{i \in L} B_i : B_i \in \mathcal{B}, \forall i \in L \right\};$$

$\forall B = \prod_{i \in L} B_i \in \Sigma(L)$ , we have

$$\left( \varphi^{(L, N)} \right)^{-1}(B) = \bigcap_{i \in L} \left( \varphi_i^{(L, N)} \right)^{-1}(B_i) \in \mathcal{B}^{(N)}(\pi_{J, N}(U)).$$

Finally, since  $\sigma(\Sigma(L)) = \mathcal{B}^{(L)}$ ,  $\forall B \in \mathcal{B}^{(L)}$ , we obtain  $\left( \varphi^{(L, N)} \right)^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{B}^{(N)}(\pi_{J, N}(U))$ , and so  $\varphi^{(L, N)} : (\pi_{J, N}(U), \mathcal{B}^{(N)}(\pi_{J, N}(U))) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{R}^L, \mathcal{B}^{(L)})$  is measurable. In particular, suppose that,  $\forall i \in I$  and  $\forall j \in J \setminus J_m$ , the functions  $\varphi_i^{(I, m)}$  and  $\varphi_{ij}$  are measurable; then,  $\varphi : (U, \mathcal{B}^{(J)}(U)) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{R}^I, \mathcal{B}^{(I)})$  is measurable; thus, since  $\varphi(U) \subset E_I$ , we obtain that  $\varphi$  is  $(\mathcal{B}^{(J)}(U), \mathcal{B}_I)$ -measurable.

2. If  $\varphi$  is  $(m, \sigma)$ -general,  $I_m \subset L$  and  $J_m \subset N$ , from Proposition 3.5, the function  $\overline{\varphi}^{(L, N)} : U \subset E_J \longrightarrow E_I$  is  $(m, \sigma)$ -general, and so  $m$ -general. Moreover, we have

$$\overline{\varphi}_i^{(L, N)}(x) = \psi_i^{(I, m)}(x_{J_m}) + \sum_{j \in J \setminus J_m} \psi_{ij}(x_j), \quad \forall x \in U, \forall i \in I,$$

where

$$\psi_i^{(I,m)} = \begin{cases} \varphi_i^{(I,m)} & \text{if } i \in L \\ 0 & \text{if } i \in I \setminus L \end{cases},$$

$$\psi_{ij} = \begin{cases} \varphi_{ij} & \text{if } (i,j) \in (I_m \times (N \setminus J_m)) \cup ((I \setminus I_m) \times (J \setminus J_m)) \\ 0 & \text{if } (i,j) \in I_m \times (J \setminus N) \end{cases};$$

furthermore,  $\forall i \in I, \forall j \in J \setminus J_m, \psi_i^{(I,m)} : (U^{(m)}, \mathcal{B}^{(m)}(U^{(m)})) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{B})$  and  $\psi_{ij} : (A_j, \mathcal{B}(A_j)) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{B})$  are measurable functions, and so, from point 1,  $\overline{\varphi}^{(L,N)} : (U, \mathcal{B}^{(J)}(U)) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^I, \mathcal{B}^{(I)})$  is measurable; finally, since  $\overline{\varphi}^{(L,N)}(U) \subset E_I$ , we obtain that  $\overline{\varphi}^{(L,N)}$  is  $(\mathcal{B}^{(J)}(U), \mathcal{B}_I)$ -measurable.  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 3.10.** *Let  $\varphi : U \subset E_J \rightarrow E_I$  be a  $(m, \sigma)$ -general function such that  $\sigma$  is bijective and  $\pi_{I, I \setminus I_m} \circ \overline{\varphi} : (U, \tau_{\|\cdot\|_J}(U)) \rightarrow (E_{I \setminus I_m}, \tau_{\|\cdot\|_{I \setminus I_m}})$  is continuous; then, for any  $n \in \mathbf{N}, n \geq m, \varphi^{(n,n)} : (\pi_{J, J_n}(U), \tau^{(n)}(\pi_{J, J_n}(U))) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^n, \tau^{(n)})$  is continuous if and only if  $\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)} : (U, \tau_{\|\cdot\|_J}(U)) \rightarrow (E_I, \tau_{\|\cdot\|_I})$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $n \in \mathbf{N}, n \geq m$ , and suppose that  $\varphi^{(n,n)}$  is continuous; moreover, let  $B = B_1 \times B_2 \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_I}$ , where  $B_1 \in \tau^{(n)}, B_2 \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_{I \setminus I_n}}$ ; since  $\sigma$  is bijective, we have

$$\left(\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}\right)^{-1}(B) = \left(\varphi^{(n,n)}\right)^{-1}(B_1) \times \pi_{J, J \setminus J_n} \left( \left(\pi_{I, I \setminus I_n} \circ \overline{\varphi}\right)^{-1}(B_2) \right);$$

moreover, since  $\varphi^{(n,n)}$  and  $\pi_{I, I \setminus I_m} \circ \overline{\varphi}$  are continuous, and  $\mathbf{R}^{n-m} \times B_2 \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_{I \setminus I_m}}$ , we have

$$\left(\varphi^{(n,n)}\right)^{-1}(B_1) \in \tau^{(n)}(\pi_{J, J_n}(U)),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\pi_{I, I \setminus I_n} \circ \overline{\varphi}\right)^{-1}(B_2) &= \left(\pi_{I \setminus I_m, I \setminus I_n} \circ (\pi_{I, I \setminus I_m} \circ \overline{\varphi})\right)^{-1}(B_2) \\ &= \left(\pi_{I, I \setminus I_m} \circ \overline{\varphi}\right)^{-1}(\mathbf{R}^{n-m} \times B_2) \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_J}(U), \end{aligned}$$

and so  $\pi_{J, J \setminus J_n} \left( \left(\pi_{I, I \setminus I_n} \circ \overline{\varphi}\right)^{-1}(B_2) \right) \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_{J \setminus J_n}}(\pi_{J, J \setminus J_n}(U))$ , from Proposition 2.5; then, we obtain  $\left(\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}\right)^{-1}(B) \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_J}(U)$ ; finally, from Proposition 2.4,  $\forall B \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_I}$ , we have  $\left(\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}\right)^{-1}(B) \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_J}(U)$ , and so  $\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}$  is continuous.

Conversely, suppose that  $\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}$  is continuous;  $\forall B \in \tau^{(n)}$ , we have  $B \times E_{I \setminus I_n} \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_I}$ , and so  $\left(\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}\right)^{-1}(B \times E_{I \setminus I_n}) \in \tau_{\|\cdot\|_J}(U)$ ; then,  $\left(\varphi^{(n,n)}\right)^{-1}(B) = \pi_{J, J_n} \left( \left(\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}\right)^{-1}(B \times E_{I \setminus I_n}) \right) \in \tau^{(n)}(\pi_{J, J_n}(U))$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 3.11. *Let  $m \in \mathbf{N}^*$ , let  $\emptyset \neq L \subset I$ , let  $\emptyset \neq N \subset J$  such that  $J_m \subset N$  or  $N \subset J \setminus J_m$ , and let  $\varphi : U \subset E_J \rightarrow E_I$  be a function  $m$ -general and  $C^1$  in  $x_0 = (x_{0,j} : j \in J) \in U$ ; then:*

1. *The function  $\varphi^{(L,N)} : \pi_{J,N}(U) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^L$  is  $C^1$  in  $(x_{0,j} : j \in N)$ .*
2. *If  $\varphi$  is  $(m, \sigma)$ -general,  $I_m \subset L$  and  $J_m \subset N$ , then the function  $\bar{\varphi}^{(L,N)} : U \subset E_J \rightarrow E_I$  is  $C^1$  in  $x_0$ .*

*Proof.* See the proof of Proposition 2.28 in [6]. □

PROPOSITION 3.12. *Let  $\varphi : U \subset E_J \rightarrow E_I$  be a  $(m, \sigma)$ -general function such that  $\bar{\varphi} : U \rightarrow \bar{\varphi}(U)$  is a homeomorphism. Then, the functions  $\varphi^{(m,m)} : U^{(m)} \rightarrow \varphi^{(m,m)}(U^{(m)})$  and  $\varphi_{i,\sigma(i)} : A_i \rightarrow \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}(A_i)$ , for any  $i \in I \setminus I_m$ , are homeomorphisms, and  $\sigma$  is bijective.*

*Proof.* From Proposition 37 in [5], the statement is true if  $\varphi$  is  $(m, \sigma)$ -standard; moreover, observe that  $\bar{\varphi}$  is  $(m, \sigma)$ -standard,  $\bar{\varphi} = \overline{(\varphi)}$ ,  $\varphi^{(m,m)} = (\bar{\varphi})^{(m,m)}$ ,  $\varphi_{i,\sigma(i)} = \bar{\varphi}_{i,\sigma(i)}$ ,  $\forall i \in I \setminus I_m$ ; then, the statement is true if  $\varphi$  is  $(m, \sigma)$ -general too. □

PROPOSITION 3.13. *Let  $\varphi : U \subset E_J \rightarrow E_I$  be a  $(m, \sigma)$ -general function. Then,  $\bar{\varphi} : U \rightarrow \bar{\varphi}(U)$  is a diffeomorphism if and only if the functions  $\varphi^{(m,m)} : U^{(m)} \rightarrow \varphi^{(m,m)}(U^{(m)})$  and  $\varphi_{i,\sigma(i)} : A_i \rightarrow \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}(A_i)$ , for any  $i \in I \setminus I_m$ , are diffeomorphisms, and  $\sigma$  is bijective.*

*Proof.* From Proposition 38 in [5], the statement is true if  $\varphi$  is  $(m, \sigma)$ -standard; then, as we observed in the proof of Proposition 3.12, the statement is true if  $\varphi$  is  $(m, \sigma)$ -general too. □

DEFINITION 3.14. *Let  $A = (a_{ij})_{i \in I, j \in J} : E_J \rightarrow E_I$  be a linear  $(m, \sigma)$ -general function;  $\forall i \in I \setminus I_m$ , set  $\lambda_i = \lambda_i(A) = a_{i,\sigma(i)}$ .*

REMARK 3.15: For any  $m \in \mathbf{N}^*$ , a linear function  $A = (a_{ij})_{i \in I, j \in J} : E_J \rightarrow E_I$  is  $m$ -general; moreover, if  $|J| = |I|$  and  $\sigma : I \setminus I_m \rightarrow J \setminus J_m$  is an increasing function,  $A$  is  $(m, \sigma)$ -general if and only if:

1.  $\forall i \in I \setminus I_m, \forall j \in J \setminus (J_m \cup \{\sigma(i)\})$ , one has  $a_{ij} = 0$ .
2.  $\forall j \in J_m, \sum_{i \in I \setminus I_m} |a_{ij}| < +\infty$ ; moreover, one has  $\sup_{i \in I \setminus I_m} |\lambda_i| < +\infty$  and  $\inf_{i \in I \setminus I_m : \lambda_i \neq 0} |\lambda_i| > 0$ .

3. If  $\mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$ , there exists  $\prod_{i \in I \setminus I_m: \lambda_i \neq 0} \lambda_i \in \mathbf{R}^*$ .

Furthermore,  $A$  is strongly  $(m, \sigma)$ -general if and only if  $A$  is  $(m, \sigma)$ -general and there exists  $a \in \mathbf{R}$  such that the sequence  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in I \setminus I_m: \lambda_i \neq 0}$  converges to  $a$ .

Finally,  $A$  is  $(m, \sigma)$ -standard if and only if  $A$  is  $(m, \sigma)$ -general and  $a_{ij} = 0$ , for any  $i \in I \setminus I_m$ , for any  $j \in J_m$ .

**COROLLARY 3.16.** *Let  $m \in \mathbf{N}^*$ , let  $\emptyset \neq L \subset I$ , let  $\emptyset \neq N \subset J$  and let  $A = (a_{ij})_{i \in I, j \in J} : E_J \rightarrow E_I$  be a linear function; then:*

1. The function  $A^{(L, N)} : (E_N, \mathcal{B}_N) \rightarrow (E_L, \mathcal{B}_L)$  is measurable; in particular,  $A : (E_J, \mathcal{B}_J) \rightarrow (E_I, \mathcal{B}_I)$  is measurable.
2. If  $A$  is  $(m, \sigma)$ -general,  $I_m \subset L$  and  $J_m \subset N$ , then the function  $\overline{A}^{(L, N)} : (E_J, \mathcal{B}_J) \rightarrow (E_I, \mathcal{B}_I)$  is measurable.

*Proof.* 1. From Proposition 2.13, we have  $A^{(L, N)}(E_N) \subset E_L$ ; furthermore, from Remark 3.15,  $A$  is 1-general; moreover, we have  $J_1 \subset N$  or  $N \subset J \setminus J_1$ ; then, from Proposition 3.9,  $A^{(L, N)} : (E_N, \mathcal{B}_N) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^L, \mathcal{B}^{(L)})$  is measurable, and so  $A^{(L, N)} : (E_N, \mathcal{B}_N) \rightarrow (E_L, \mathcal{B}_L)$  is measurable; in particular,  $A : (E_J, \mathcal{B}_J) \rightarrow (E_I, \mathcal{B}_I)$  is measurable.

2. The statement follows from Proposition 3.9.

□

Henceforth, we will suppose that  $|I| = +\infty$ . The following definitions and results (from Proposition 3.17 to Proposition 3.21) can be found in [6] and generalize the standard theory of the  $m \times m$  matrices.

**PROPOSITION 3.17.** *Let  $A = (a_{ij})_{i \in I, j \in J} : E_J \rightarrow E_I$  be a linear  $(m, \sigma)$ -general function; then,  $A$  is continuous.*

**THEOREM 3.18.** *Let  $A = (a_{ij})_{i \in I, j \in J} : E_J \rightarrow E_I$  be a linear  $(m, \sigma)$ -general function; then, the sequence  $\{\det A^{(n, n)}\}_{n \geq m}$  converges to a real number. Moreover, if  $\mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$ , by setting  $\overline{m} = \min \mathcal{A}$ , we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \det A^{(n, n)} = \sum_{p \in I \setminus I_{\overline{m}}} \left( \prod_{q \in I \setminus I_{|p|}} \lambda_q \right) \sum_{j \in J_m} a_{p, j} \left( \text{cof} A^{(|p|, |p|)} \right)_{p, j} + \det A^{(\overline{m}, \overline{m})} \left( \prod_{q \in I \setminus I_{\overline{m}}} \lambda_q \right). \quad (10)$$

Conversely, if  $\mathcal{A} = \emptyset$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \det A^{(n,n)} = 0$ .

DEFINITION 3.19. Let  $A = (a_{ij})_{i \in I, j \in J} : E_J \rightarrow E_I$  be a linear  $(m, \sigma)$ -general function; define the determinant of  $A$ , and call it  $\det A$ , the real number

$$\det A = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \det A^{(n,n)}.$$

COROLLARY 3.20. Let  $A = (a_{ij})_{i \in I, j \in J} : E_J \rightarrow E_I$  be a linear  $(m, \sigma)$ -general function such that  $a_{ij} = 0, \forall i \in I_m, \forall j \in J \setminus J_m$ , or  $A$  is  $(m, \sigma)$ -standard. Then, if  $\sigma$  is bijective, we have

$$\det A = \det A^{(m,m)} \prod_{i \in I \setminus I_m} \lambda_i.$$

Conversely, if  $\sigma$  is not bijective, we have  $\det A = 0$ . In particular, if  $A = \mathbf{I}_{I,J}$ , we have  $\det A = 1$ .

PROPOSITION 3.21. Let  $\varphi : U \subset E_J \rightarrow E_I$  be a  $(m, \sigma)$ -general function and let  $x_0 = (x_{0,j} : j \in J) \in U$  such that there exists the function  $J_\varphi(x_0) : E_J \rightarrow E_I$ ; then,  $J_\varphi(x_0)$  is  $(m, \sigma)$ -general; moreover, for any  $n \in \mathbf{N}, n \geq m$ , there exists the linear  $(m, \sigma)$ -general function  $J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}}(x_0) : E_J \rightarrow E_I$ , and one has

$$\det J_\varphi(x_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \det J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}}(x_0).$$

PROPOSITION 3.22. Let  $m \in \mathbf{N}^*$ , let  $n \in \mathbf{N}, n \geq m$ , and let  $\varphi : U \subset E_J \rightarrow E_I$  be a function  $m$ -general such that, for any  $i \in I_n$ , for any  $j_1 \in J_m$  and for any  $j_2 \in J_n \setminus J_m$ , there exist the functions  $\frac{\partial \varphi_i^{(i,m)}}{\partial x_{j_1}} : (U^{(m)}, \mathcal{B}^{(m)}(U^{(m)})) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{B})$  and  $\frac{\partial \varphi_{ij_2}}{\partial x_{j_2}} : (A_{j_2}, \mathcal{B}(A_{j_2})) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{B})$ , and they are measurable; then:

1. The function  $\det J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}} : (\pi_{J, J_n}(U), \mathcal{B}^{(n)}(\pi_{J, J_n}(U))) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{B})$  is measurable.
2. Suppose that  $\varphi$  is  $(m, \sigma)$ -general and, for any  $i \in I \setminus I_m$ , the function

$$\varphi'_{i, \sigma(i)} : (A_{\sigma(i)}, \mathcal{B}(A_{\sigma(i)})) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{B})$$

is measurable; then, for any  $x \in U$ , there exists the function  $J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}}(x) : E_J \rightarrow E_I$ , and it is  $(m, \sigma)$ -general; moreover, the function  $\det J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}} : (U, \mathcal{B}^{(J)}(U)) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{B})$  is measurable.

3. Suppose that  $\varphi$  is  $(m, \sigma)$ -general and, for any  $x \in U$ , there exists the function  $J_\varphi(x) : E_J \rightarrow E_I$ ; moreover, suppose that, for any  $i \in I$ , for any  $j_1 \in J_m$  and for any  $j_2 \in J \setminus J_m$ , the functions

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_i^{(I,m)}}{\partial x_{j_1}} : \left( U^{(m)}, \mathcal{B}^{(m)}(U^{(m)}) \right) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{B})$$

and  $\frac{\partial \varphi_{ij_2}}{\partial x_{j_2}} : (A_{j_2}, \mathcal{B}(A_{j_2})) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{B})$  are measurable; then the function  $\det J_\varphi : (U, \mathcal{B}^{(J)}(U)) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{B})$  is measurable.

*Proof.* 1. From Remark 2.6,  $\forall i \in I_n, \forall j \in J_n$ , the function

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_i^{(I,n)}}{\partial x_j} : \left( \pi_{J, J_n}(U), \mathcal{B}^{(n)}(\pi_{J, J_n}(U)) \right) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{B})$$

is measurable; moreover, we have

$$\left( J_{\varphi^{(n,n)}}(x) \right)_{ij} = \frac{\partial \varphi_i^{(I,n)}}{\partial x_j}(x), \forall x \in \pi_{J, J_n}(U);$$

then, by definition of determinant, the function

$$\det J_{\varphi^{(n,n)}} : \left( \pi_{J, J_n}(U), \mathcal{B}^{(n)}(\pi_{J, J_n}(U)) \right) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{B})$$

is measurable too.

2. If  $\varphi$  is  $(m, \sigma)$ -general, from Proposition 3.5,  $\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}$  is  $(m, \sigma)$ -general too; then, from Proposition 2.13,  $\forall x \in U$ , there exists the function  $J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}}(x) : E_J \rightarrow E_I$ , and it is  $(m, \sigma)$ -general, from Remark 3.15.

If  $\mathcal{A}(\varphi) = \emptyset, \forall x \in U$ , we have  $\mathcal{A}(J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}}(x)) = \emptyset$ , and so  $\det J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}}(x) = 0$ ; then, the function  $\det J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}} : (U, \mathcal{B}^{(J)}(U)) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{B})$  is measurable.

Conversely, if  $\mathcal{A}(\varphi) \neq \emptyset$ , set  $\overline{m} = \min \mathcal{A}(\varphi), \widehat{m} = \max\{n, \overline{m}\}$ ; observe that  $\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}$  is  $(\widehat{m}, \rho)$ -standard, where the bijective increasing function  $\rho : I \setminus I_{\widehat{m}} \rightarrow J \setminus J_{\widehat{m}}$  is defined by  $\rho(i) = \sigma(i), \forall i \in I \setminus I_{\widehat{m}}$ ; thus,  $\forall x \in U, J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}}(x)$  is  $(\widehat{m}, \rho)$ -standard too, and so Corollary 3.20 implies

$$\det J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}}(x) = \det \left( J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}} \right)^{(\widehat{m}, \widehat{m})} (x_{J_{\widehat{m}}}) \prod_{i \in I \setminus I_{\widehat{m}}} \varphi'_{i, \sigma(i)}(x_{\sigma(i)}), \forall x \in U. \quad (11)$$

If  $\widehat{m} > n$ , we have  $\det \left( J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}} \right)^{(\widehat{m}, \widehat{m})} (x_{J_{\widehat{m}}}) = 0$ , and so  $\det J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}}(x) = 0, \forall x \in U$ ; then,  $\det J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}} : (U, \mathcal{B}^{(J)}(U)) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{B})$  is measurable. Finally, if  $\widehat{m} = n$ , from formula (11), we have

$$\det J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}}(x) = \det J_{\varphi^{(n,n)}}(x_{J_n}) \prod_{i \in I \setminus I_n} \varphi'_{i, \sigma(i)}(x_{\sigma(i)}), \forall x \in U;$$

moreover, from point 1, the function

$$\det J_{\varphi^{(n,n)}} : \left( \pi_{J,J_n}(U), \mathcal{B}^{(n)}(\pi_{J,J_n}(U)) \right) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{B})$$

is measurable, and so it is  $(\mathcal{B}^{(J)}(U), \mathcal{B})$ -measurable, from Remark 2.6; analogously,  $\forall i \in I \setminus I_n$ ,  $\varphi'_{i,\sigma(i)} : (U, \mathcal{B}^{(J)}(U)) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{B})$  is measurable; then,  $\forall h \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $h \geq n$ , the function  $f_h : (U, \mathcal{B}^{(J)}(U)) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{B})$  defined by

$$f_h(x) = \det J_{\varphi^{(n,n)}}(x_{J_n}) \prod_{i \in I_h \setminus I_n} \varphi'_{i,\sigma(i)}(x_{\sigma(i)}), \quad \forall x \in U,$$

is measurable; furthermore, we have  $\det J_{\varphi^{(n,n)}}(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow +\infty} f_h(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in U$ , and so  $\det J_{\varphi^{(n,n)}} : (U, \mathcal{B}^{(J)}(U)) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{B})$  is measurable too.

3. By assumption and from point 2,  $\forall n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $n \geq m$ , there exists the function  $\det J_{\varphi^{(n,n)}} : (U, \mathcal{B}^{(J)}(U)) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{B})$ , and it is measurable; moreover, from Proposition 3.21, we have  $\det J_{\varphi}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \det J_{\varphi^{(n,n)}}(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in U$ , and so  $\det J_{\varphi} : (U, \mathcal{B}^{(J)}(U)) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{B})$  is measurable.  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 3.23.** *Let  $m \in \mathbf{N}^*$ , let  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $n \geq m$ , and let  $\varphi : U \subset E_J \longrightarrow E_I$  be a function  $m$ -general such that  $\varphi^{(n,n)}$  is  $C^1$ ; then, the function  $\det J_{\varphi^{(n,n)}} : (\pi_{J,J_n}(U), \tau^{(n)}(\pi_{J,J_n}(U))) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{R}, \tau)$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* Since  $\varphi^{(n,n)}$  is  $C^1$ ,  $\forall i \in I_n$ ,  $\forall j \in J_n$ , the function

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_i^{(n,n)}}{\partial x_j} : \left( \pi_{J,J_n}(U), \tau^{(n)}(\pi_{J,J_n}(U)) \right) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{R}, \tau)$$

is continuous; then, by definition of determinant, the function  $\det J_{\varphi^{(n,n)}} : (\pi_{J,J_n}(U), \tau^{(n)}(\pi_{J,J_n}(U))) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{R}, \tau)$  is continuous too.  $\square$

#### 4. Change of variables' formula

**DEFINITION 4.1.** *Let  $k \in \mathbf{N}^*$ , let  $M, N \in \mathbf{R}^+$ , let  $a = (a_i : i \in I) \in [0, +\infty)^I$  such that  $\prod_{i \in I: a_i \neq 0} a_i \in \mathbf{R}^+$ , and let  $v = (v_i : i \in I) \in E_I$ ; define the following sets in  $\mathcal{B}_I$ :*

$$E_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} = \mathbf{R}^k \times \prod_{i \in I \setminus I_k} \left[ v_i - \frac{N}{2} a_i, v_i + \frac{N}{2} a_i \right];$$

$$E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)} = \prod_{h \in I_k} [v_h - M, v_h + M] \times \prod_{i \in I \setminus I_k} \left[ v_i - \frac{N}{2} a_i, v_i + \frac{N}{2} a_i \right].$$

Moreover, define the  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\lambda_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)}$  over  $(\mathbf{R}^I, \mathcal{B}^{(I)})$  in the following manner:

$$\lambda_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} = \text{Leb}^{(k)} \otimes \left( \bigotimes_{i \in I \setminus I_k} \frac{1}{N} \text{Leb} \left( \cdot \cap \left[ v_i - \frac{N}{2} a_i, v_i + \frac{N}{2} a_i \right] \right) \right).$$

LEMMA 4.2. Let  $k \in \mathbf{N}^*$ , let  $N \in \mathbf{R}^+$ , let  $a = (a_i : i \in I) \in [0, +\infty)^I$  such that  $\prod_{i \in I : a_i \neq 0} a_i \in \mathbf{R}^+$ , and let  $v = (v_i : i \in I) \in E_I$ ; then, for any measurable function  $f : (\mathbf{R}^I, \mathcal{B}^{(I)}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{B})$  such that  $f^+$  (or  $f^-$ ) is  $\lambda_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)}$ -integrable, one has

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^I} f d\lambda_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} = \int_{E_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)}} f d\lambda_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)}.$$

*Proof.* See the proof of Lemma 46 in [5].  $\square$

PROPOSITION 4.3. Let  $\varphi : U \subset E_J \rightarrow E_I$  be a  $(m, \sigma)$ -general function such that the function  $\bar{\varphi}$  is bijective, and suppose that there exists  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_i : i \in I \setminus I_m) \in [0, +\infty)^{I \setminus I_m}$  such that  $|\varphi_i^{(I,m)}(x_{J_m})| \leq \varepsilon_i$ , for any  $i \in I \setminus I_m$ , for any  $x_{J_m} \in U^{(m)}$ , and such that  $\prod_{i \in I \setminus I_m} (1 + 2\varepsilon_i) \in \mathbf{R}^+$ ; moreover, let  $N \in [1, +\infty)$ , let  $a = (a_i : i \in I) \in [0, +\infty)^I$  such that  $\prod_{i \in I : a_i \neq 0} a_i \in \mathbf{R}^+$ , and let  $v \in E_I$ ; then:

1. There exist  $b = (b_j : j \in J) \in [0, +\infty)^J$  and  $z \in E_J$  such that  $\prod_{j \in J : b_j \neq 0} b_j \in \mathbf{R}^+$  and such that, for any  $l, n, k \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $l, n, k \geq m$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^{-1} \left( E_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \right) &\subset E_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)}, \\ \left( \bar{\varphi}^{(l,n)} \right)^{-1} \left( E_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \right) &\subset E_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if  $\varphi$  is  $(m, \sigma)$ -standard, the statement is true for any  $N \in \mathbf{R}^+$ , and one has

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^{-1} \left( E_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \right) &= \left( \bar{\varphi}^{(l,n)} \right)^{-1} \left( E_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \right) = E_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)}, \\ \varphi^{-1} \left( E_{N,a,v}^{\circ(k,I)} \right) &= \left( \bar{\varphi}^{(l,n)} \right)^{-1} \left( E_{N,a,v}^{\circ(k,I)} \right) = E_{N,b,z}^{\circ(k,J)}. \end{aligned}$$



2. Suppose that the function  $\varphi_{ij}$  is continuous, for any  $i \in I_m$ , for any  $j \in J \setminus J_m$ , and the function  $\varphi^{(m,m)} : (U^{(m)}, \tau^{(m)}(U^{(m)})) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{R}^m, \tau^{(m)})$  is open; then, for any  $M \in \mathbf{R}^+$ , there exists  $O \in \mathbf{R}^+$  such that, for any  $l, n, k \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $l, n, k \geq m$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^{-1} \left( E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \right) &\subset E_{O,N,b,z}^{(k,J)}, \\ \left( \bar{\varphi}^{(l,n)} \right)^{-1} \left( E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \right) &\subset E_{O,N,b,z}^{(k,J)}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if  $\varphi$  is  $(m, \sigma)$ -standard, the statement is true for any  $N \in \mathbf{R}^+$ .

*Proof.* 1. Since  $\bar{\varphi}$  is bijective, from Corollary 3.8, the functions  $\varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}$ ,  $\forall i \in I \setminus I_m$ , and  $\sigma$  are bijective.

Let  $N \in [1, +\infty)$ , let  $a = (a_i : i \in I) \in [0, +\infty)^I$  such that  $\prod_{i \in I: a_i \neq 0} a_i \in \mathbf{R}^+$ , let  $v \in E_I$ , and let  $\bar{a} = (\bar{a}_i : i \in I \setminus I_m) \in [0, +\infty)^{I \setminus I_m}$ , where

$$\bar{a}_i = \begin{cases} \max\{1, a_i\} & \text{if } \varepsilon_i > 0 \\ a_i & \text{if } \varepsilon_i = 0 \end{cases}, \forall i \in I \setminus I_m;$$

define  $b = (b_j : j \in J) \in [0, +\infty)^J$  and  $z = (z_j : j \in J) \in [0, +\infty)^J$  such that  $b_j = z_j = 1$ ,  $\forall j \in J_m$ ; moreover,  $\forall i \in I \setminus I_m$ , set

$$\begin{aligned} b_{\sigma(i)} &= \frac{\left| \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1} \left( v_i + \frac{N}{2} \bar{a}_i (1 + 2\varepsilon_i) \right) - \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1} \left( v_i - \frac{N}{2} \bar{a}_i (1 + 2\varepsilon_i) \right) \right|}{N}, \\ z_{\sigma(i)} &= \frac{\varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1} \left( v_i - \frac{N}{2} \bar{a}_i (1 + 2\varepsilon_i) \right) + \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1} \left( v_i + \frac{N}{2} \bar{a}_i (1 + 2\varepsilon_i) \right)}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Observe that,  $\forall i \in I \setminus I_m$ , we have  $b_{\sigma(i)} \neq 0$  if and only if  $\bar{a}_i \neq 0$ ; then, since  $\sigma(I \setminus I_m) = J \setminus J_m$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j \in J: b_j \neq 0} b_j &= \prod_{j \in J \setminus J_m: b_j \neq 0} b_j = \prod_{i \in I \setminus I_m: \bar{a}_i \neq 0} b_{\sigma(i)} \\ &= \left( \prod_{i \in I \setminus I_m: \bar{a}_i \neq 0} \frac{\left| \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1} \left( v_i + \frac{N}{2} \bar{a}_i (1 + 2\varepsilon_i) \right) - \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1} \left( v_i - \frac{N}{2} \bar{a}_i (1 + 2\varepsilon_i) \right) \right|}{N \bar{a}_i (1 + 2\varepsilon_i)} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \left( \prod_{i \in I \setminus I_m: \bar{a}_i \neq 0} \bar{a}_i \right) \left( \prod_{i \in I \setminus I_m: \bar{a}_i \neq 0} (1 + 2\varepsilon_i) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Moreover,  $\forall i \in I \setminus I_m$  the function  $\varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1}$  is derivable on  $\mathbf{R}$ ; then, if  $\bar{a}_i \neq 0$ , the Lagrange theorem implies that, for some  $\xi_i \in (v_i - \frac{N}{2}\bar{a}_i(1 + 2\varepsilon_i), v_i + \frac{N}{2}\bar{a}_i(1 + 2\varepsilon_i))$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1}(v_i + \frac{N}{2}\bar{a}_i(1 + 2\varepsilon_i)) - \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1}(v_i - \frac{N}{2}\bar{a}_i(1 + 2\varepsilon_i))}{N\bar{a}_i(1 + 2\varepsilon_i)} \right| \\ &= \left| \left( \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1} \right)'(\xi_i) \right| = \frac{1}{\left| \varphi'_{i,\sigma(i)}(\varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1}(\xi_i)) \right|}; \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

furthermore,  $\forall i \in I \setminus I_m$ ,  $\varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}$  is injective, and so  $\mathcal{I}_\varphi = I \setminus I_m$ ; then

$$\prod_{i \in I \setminus I_m: \bar{a}_i \neq 0} \left| \varphi'_{i,\sigma(i)}(\varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1}(\xi_i)) \right| = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}_\varphi: \bar{a}_i \neq 0} \left| \varphi'_{i,\sigma(i)}(\varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1}(\xi_i)) \right| \in \mathbf{R}^+, \quad (15)$$

from Definition 3.2. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i \in I \setminus I_m: \bar{a}_i \neq 0} \bar{a}_i &= \left( \prod_{i \in I \setminus I_m: a_i > 1, \varepsilon_i > 0} a_i \right) \left( \prod_{i \in I \setminus I_m: a_i \neq 0, \varepsilon_i = 0} a_i \right) \in \mathbf{R}^+, \\ &\prod_{i \in I \setminus I_m: \bar{a}_i \neq 0} (1 + 2\varepsilon_i) \in \mathbf{R}^+; \end{aligned}$$

then, from formulas (13), (14) and (15), we obtain  $\prod_{j \in J: b_j \neq 0} b_j \in \mathbf{R}^+$ .

Moreover, let  $x_0 = (x_{0,j} : j \in J) \in U$ ;  $\forall i \in I \setminus I_m$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1} \left( v_i - \frac{N}{2}\bar{a}_i(1 + 2\varepsilon_i) \right) \right| \\ &= \left| \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1} \left( v_i - \frac{N}{2}\bar{a}_i(1 + 2\varepsilon_i) \right) - x_{0,\sigma(i)} + x_{0,\sigma(i)} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1} \left( v_i - \frac{N}{2}\bar{a}_i(1 + 2\varepsilon_i) \right) - \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1}(\varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}(x_{0,\sigma(i)})) \right| + |x_{0,\sigma(i)}|; \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

furthermore, from the Lagrange theorem, there exists  $\zeta_i \in (\rho_i, \tau_i)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_i &= \min \left\{ v_i - \frac{N}{2}\bar{a}_i(1 + 2\varepsilon_i), \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}(x_{0,\sigma(i)}) \right\}, \\ \tau_i &= \max \left\{ v_i - \frac{N}{2}\bar{a}_i(1 + 2\varepsilon_i), \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}(x_{0,\sigma(i)}) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1} \left( v_i - \frac{N}{2} \bar{a}_i (1 + 2\varepsilon_i) \right) - \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1} (\varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}(x_{0,\sigma(i)})) \right| \\ &= \left| \left( \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1} \right)' (\zeta_i) \right| \left| v_i - \frac{N}{2} \bar{a}_i (1 + 2\varepsilon_i) - \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}(x_{0,\sigma(i)}) \right| \\ &= \frac{\left| v_i - \frac{N}{2} \bar{a}_i (1 + 2\varepsilon_i) - \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}(x_{0,\sigma(i)}) \right|}{\left| \varphi'_{i,\sigma(i)}(\varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1}(\zeta_i)) \right|}, \end{aligned}$$

thus, from (16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1} \left( v_i - \frac{N}{2} \bar{a}_i (1 + 2\varepsilon_i) \right) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{\left| v_i - \frac{N}{2} \bar{a}_i (1 + 2\varepsilon_i) - \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}(x_{0,\sigma(i)}) \right|}{\left| \varphi'_{i,\sigma(i)}(\varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1}(\zeta_i)) \right|} + |x_{0,\sigma(i)}|. \quad (17) \end{aligned}$$

We have  $\sup_{i \in I \setminus I_m} \left| v_i - \frac{N}{2} \bar{a}_i (1 + 2\varepsilon_i) \right| \leq \|v\|_I + \frac{N}{2} \|\bar{a}\|_I (1 + 2\|\varepsilon\|_I) < +\infty$ ;  
 moreover, from Definition 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{i \in I \setminus I_m} |\varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}(x_{0,\sigma(i)})| &= \sup_{i \in I \setminus I_m} \left| \varphi_i^{(I \setminus I_m, J \setminus J_m)} \left( (x_0)_{J \setminus J_m} \right) \right| < +\infty, \\ \inf_{i \in I \setminus I_m} \left| \varphi'_{i,\sigma(i)}(\varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1}(\zeta_i)) \right| &= \inf_{i \in \mathcal{I}_\varphi} \left| \varphi'_{i,\sigma(i)}(\varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1}(\zeta_i)) \right| > 0; \end{aligned}$$

then, there exists  $c \in \mathbf{R}^+$  such that  $\sup_{i \in I \setminus I_m} \left| \varphi'_{i,\sigma(i)}(\varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1}(\zeta_i)) \right|^{-1} \leq c$ , and  
 so formula (17) implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{i \in I \setminus I_m} \left| \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1} \left( v_i - \frac{N}{2} \bar{a}_i (1 + 2\varepsilon_i) \right) \right| \\ & \leq c \left( \sup_{i \in I \setminus I_m} \left| v_i - \frac{N}{2} \bar{a}_i (1 + 2\varepsilon_i) \right| + \sup_{i \in I \setminus I_m} |\varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}(x_{0,\sigma(i)})| \right) \\ & \quad + \|x_0\|_J < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, we have

$$\sup_{i \in I \setminus I_m} \left| \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1} \left( v_i + \frac{N}{2} \bar{a}_i (1 + 2\varepsilon_i) \right) \right| < +\infty;$$

then, from formula (12), we obtain that  $\sup_{i \in I \setminus I_m} |z_{\sigma(i)}| < +\infty$ , and so  
 $z \in E_J$ .

Moreover, let  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $k \geq m$ , and let  $x = (x_j : j \in J) \in \varphi^{-1} \left( E_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \right)$ ;  
 $\forall i \in I \setminus I_k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i^{(I,m)}(x_{J_m}) + \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}(x_{\sigma(i)}) &= \varphi_i(x) \in \left[ v_i - \frac{N}{2}a_i, v_i + \frac{N}{2}a_i \right] \\ \Rightarrow \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}(x_{\sigma(i)}) &\in \left[ v_i - \frac{N}{2}a_i - \varphi_i^{(I,m)}(x_{J_m}), v_i + \frac{N}{2}a_i - \varphi_i^{(I,m)}(x_{J_m}) \right] \\ &\subset \left[ v_i - \frac{N}{2}\bar{a}_i - \varepsilon_i, v_i + \frac{N}{2}\bar{a}_i + \varepsilon_i \right]; \end{aligned}$$

moreover, since  $N \geq 1$ , we have  $\frac{N}{2}\bar{a}_i + \varepsilon_i \leq \frac{N}{2}\bar{a}_i(1 + 2\varepsilon_i)$ , and so  $x_{\sigma(i)} \in [\alpha_i, \beta_i]$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i &= \min \left\{ \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1} \left( v_i - \frac{N}{2}\bar{a}_i(1 + 2\varepsilon_i) \right), \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1} \left( v_i + \frac{N}{2}\bar{a}_i(1 + 2\varepsilon_i) \right) \right\}, \\ \beta_i &= \max \left\{ \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1} \left( v_i - \frac{N}{2}\bar{a}_i(1 + 2\varepsilon_i) \right), \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1} \left( v_i + \frac{N}{2}\bar{a}_i(1 + 2\varepsilon_i) \right) \right\}; \end{aligned}$$

thus, formula (12) implies

$$x_{\sigma(i)} \in \left[ z_{\sigma(i)} - \frac{N}{2}b_{\sigma(i)}, z_{\sigma(i)} + \frac{N}{2}b_{\sigma(i)} \right]; \quad (18)$$

finally, since  $\sigma(I \setminus I_k) = J \setminus J_k$ , we obtain  $\varphi^{-1} \left( E_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \right) \subset E_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)}$ .

Furthermore, let  $l, n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $l, n \geq m$ , and let

$$x = (x_j : j \in J) \in \left( \bar{\varphi}^{(l,n)} \right)^{-1} \left( E_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \right);$$

$\forall i \in I_l \setminus I_k$ , since  $\varphi_i(x) = \bar{\varphi}_i^{(l,n)}(x)$ , by repeating the previous arguments, we have formula (18); conversely,  $\forall i \in I \setminus I_l$ , we have

$$\varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}(x_{\sigma(i)}) = \varphi_i(x) \in \left[ v_i - \frac{N}{2}a_i, v_i + \frac{N}{2}a_i \right],$$

and so  $x_{\sigma(i)} \in [\gamma_i, \delta_i]$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_i &= \min \left\{ \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1} \left( v_i - \frac{N}{2}a_i \right), \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1} \left( v_i + \frac{N}{2}a_i \right) \right\}, \\ \delta_i &= \max \left\{ \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1} \left( v_i - \frac{N}{2}a_i \right), \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1} \left( v_i + \frac{N}{2}a_i \right) \right\}; \quad (19) \end{aligned}$$

then, since  $[\gamma_i, \delta_i] \subset [\alpha_i, \beta_i]$ , we obtain formula (18) again; thus, we have  $(\bar{\varphi}^{(l,n)})^{-1} \left( E_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \right) \subset E_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)}$ .

In particular, if  $\varphi$  is  $(m, \sigma)$ -standard,  $\forall i \in I \setminus I_m$ , we have  $\varepsilon_i = 0$ , and so  $\bar{a}_i = a_i$ ; then,  $\forall N \in \mathbf{R}^+$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1} \left( \left[ v_i - \frac{N}{2}a_i, v_i + \frac{N}{2}a_i \right] \right) &= [\gamma_i, \delta_i] \\ &= \left[ z_{\sigma(i)} - \frac{N}{2}b_{\sigma(i)}, z_{\sigma(i)} + \frac{N}{2}b_{\sigma(i)} \right]; \quad (20) \end{aligned}$$

thus,  $\forall k \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $k \geq m$ , we obtain  $\varphi^{-1} \left( E_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \right) = E_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)}$ ,  $\varphi^{-1} \left( E_{N,a,v}^{\circ(k,I)} \right) = E_{N,b,z}^{\circ(k,J)}$ ; analogously,  $\forall l, n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $l, n \geq m$ , from formula (20), we have  $(\bar{\varphi}^{(l,n)})^{-1} \left( E_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \right) = E_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)}$ ,  $(\bar{\varphi}^{(l,n)})^{-1} \left( E_{N,a,v}^{\circ(k,I)} \right) = E_{N,b,z}^{\circ(k,J)}$ .

2. Suppose that the function  $\varphi_{ij}$  is continuous,  $\forall i \in I_m$ ,  $\forall j \in J \setminus J_m$ , and the function  $\varphi^{(m,m)} : (U^{(m)}, \tau^{(m)}(U^{(m)})) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{R}^m, \tau^{(m)})$  is open; since  $\bar{\varphi}$  is bijective, from Corollary 3.8,  $\varphi^{(m,m)}$  is bijective too; moreover,  $\forall M \in \mathbf{R}^+$ , consider the set

$$\bar{E}_{M,N,a,v}^{(I)} = \prod_{i \in I} \left[ v_i - \frac{\bar{N}}{2}\bar{a}_i, v_i + \frac{\bar{N}}{2}\bar{a}_i \right],$$

where  $\bar{N} = \max\{2M, N\} \in [1, +\infty)$ ,  $\bar{a}_i = \max\{1, a_i\}$ ,  $\forall i \in I$ . We have  $\bar{E}_{M,N,a,v}^{(I)} \subset E_{\bar{N},\bar{a},v}^{(m,I)}$ , where  $\bar{a} = (\bar{a}_i : i \in I) \in [1, +\infty)^I$ ; moreover, we have

$$\prod_{i \in I \setminus I_m : \bar{a}_i \neq 0} \bar{a}_i = \prod_{i \in I \setminus I_m : a_i > 1} a_i \in \mathbf{R}^+;$$

then, from point 1, there exist  $\bar{b} = (\bar{b}_j : j \in J) \in [0, +\infty)^J$  and  $\bar{z} \in E_J$  such that  $\prod_{j \in J : \bar{b}_j \neq 0} \bar{b}_j \in \mathbf{R}^+$  and such that

$$\varphi^{-1} \left( \bar{E}_{M,N,a,v}^{(I)} \right) \subset \varphi^{-1} \left( E_{\bar{N},\bar{a},v}^{(m,I)} \right) \subset E_{\bar{N},\bar{b},\bar{z}}^{(m,J)};$$

then,  $\forall x = (x_j : j \in J) \in \varphi^{-1} \left( \bar{E}_{M,N,a,v}^{(I)} \right)$ , we have  $\|x_{J \setminus J_m}\|_{J \setminus J_m} \leq \|\bar{z}\|_{J \setminus J_m} + \frac{\bar{N}}{2} \|\bar{b}\|_{J \setminus J_m} \equiv O_1 \in \mathbf{R}^+$ . Moreover,  $\forall i \in I_m$ , we have

$$\varphi_i(x) = \varphi_i^{(m,m)}(x_{J_m}) + \sum_{j \in J \setminus J_m} \varphi_{ij}(x_j),$$

and so

$$x_{J_m} = \left( \varphi^{(m,m)} \right)^{-1} w_{I_m}, \quad (21)$$

where

$$w_i = \varphi_i(x) - \sum_{j \in J \setminus J_m} \varphi_{ij}(x_j), \quad \forall i \in I_m; \quad (22)$$

furthermore,  $\forall i \in I \setminus I_m$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i^{(I,m)}(x_{J_m}) + \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}(x_{\sigma(i)}) &= \varphi_i(x) \in \left[ v_i - \frac{\overline{N}}{2} \overline{a}_i, v_i + \frac{\overline{N}}{2} \overline{a}_i \right] \\ \Rightarrow \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}(x_{\sigma(i)}) &\in \left[ v_i - \frac{\overline{N}}{2} \overline{a}_i - \varphi_i^{(I,m)}(x_{J_m}), v_i + \frac{\overline{N}}{2} \overline{a}_i - \varphi_i^{(I,m)}(x_{J_m}) \right] \\ &\subset \left[ v_i - \frac{\overline{N}}{2} \overline{a}_i - \varepsilon_i, v_i + \frac{\overline{N}}{2} \overline{a}_i + \varepsilon_i \right], \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$x_{\sigma(i)} \in [\overline{\alpha}_i, \overline{\beta}_i] \subset A_{\sigma(i)}, \quad (23)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\alpha}_i &= \min \left\{ \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1} \left( v_i - \frac{\overline{N}}{2} \overline{a}_i - \varepsilon_i \right), \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1} \left( v_i + \frac{\overline{N}}{2} \overline{a}_i + \varepsilon_i \right) \right\}, \\ \overline{\beta}_i &= \max \left\{ \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1} \left( v_i - \frac{\overline{N}}{2} \overline{a}_i - \varepsilon_i \right), \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1} \left( v_i + \frac{\overline{N}}{2} \overline{a}_i + \varepsilon_i \right) \right\}; \end{aligned}$$

then, since  $\forall i \in I_m, \forall j \in J \setminus J_m$ , the function  $\varphi_{ij}$  is continuous, there exists  $O_2 = O_2(\varphi, M, N, a, v) \in \mathbf{R}^+$  such that

$$\sup_{i \in I_m} \sum_{j \in J \setminus J_m} |\varphi_{ij}(x_j)| \leq O_2,$$

and so  $\|w_{I_m}\|_{I_m} \leq \|v\|_{I_m} + \frac{\overline{N}}{2} \|\overline{a}\|_{I_m} + O_2 \equiv O_3 \in \mathbf{R}^+$ , from (22);

then, since the function  $(\varphi^{(m,m)})^{-1}$  is continuous, from (21), we have  $\|x_{J_m}\|_{J_m} \leq O_4$ , for some  $O_4 = O_4(\varphi, M, N, a, v) \in \mathbf{R}^+$  such that

$$\left( \varphi^{(m,m)} \right)^{-1} ([-O_3, O_3]^m) \subset [-O_4, O_4]^m,$$

and so  $\|x\|_J \leq \max\{O_1, O_4\}$ . Thus, if  $b, z$  are the sequences defined by point 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^{-1} \left( \overline{E}_{M,N,a,v}^{(I)} \right) &\subset \prod_{j \in J} [-\max\{O_1, O_4\}, \max\{O_1, O_4\}] \\ &\subset \prod_{j \in J} [z_j - O, z_j + O], \quad (24) \end{aligned}$$

where  $O \equiv \max\{O_1, O_4\} + \|z\|_J \in \mathbf{R}^+$ ; moreover,  $\forall k \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $k \geq m$ , we have  $E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \subset E_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \cap \bar{E}_{M,N,a,v}^{(I)}$ ; then, from formula (24), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^{-1} \left( E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \right) &\subset \varphi^{-1} \left( E_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \right) \cap \varphi^{-1} \left( \bar{E}_{M,N,a,v}^{(I)} \right) \\ &\subset E_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)} \cap \prod_{j \in J} [z_j - O, z_j + O] \subset E_{O,N,b,z}^{(k,J)}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, let  $l, n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $l, n \geq m$ ; from point 1, we have

$$\left( \bar{\varphi}^{(l,n)} \right)^{-1} \left( \bar{E}_{M,N,a,v}^{(I)} \right) \subset \left( \bar{\varphi}^{(l,n)} \right)^{-1} \left( E_{N,\bar{a},v}^{(m,I)} \right) \subset E_{N,\bar{b},\bar{z}}^{(m,J)};$$

then,  $\forall x = (x_j : j \in J) \in \left( \bar{\varphi}^{(l,n)} \right)^{-1} \left( \bar{E}_{M,N,a,v}^{(I)} \right)$ , we have  $\|x_{J \setminus J_m}\|_{J \setminus J_m} \leq O_1$ . Moreover,  $\forall i \in I_m$ , we have

$$\bar{\varphi}_i^{(l,n)}(x) = \varphi_i^{(m,m)}(x_{J_m}) + \sum_{j \in J_n \setminus J_m} \varphi_{ij}(x_j),$$

and so

$$x_{J_m} = \left( \varphi^{(m,m)} \right)^{-1} \bar{w}_{I_m}, \quad (25)$$

where

$$\bar{w}_i = \bar{\varphi}_i^{(l,n)}(x) - \sum_{j \in J_n \setminus J_m} \varphi_{ij}(x_j), \quad \forall i \in I_m; \quad (26)$$

furthermore,  $\forall i \in I_l \setminus I_m$ , since  $\varphi_i(x) = \bar{\varphi}_i^{(l,n)}(x)$ , we have formula (23).

Finally,  $\forall i \in I \setminus I_l$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}(x_{\sigma(i)}) &= \bar{\varphi}_i^{(l,n)}(x) \in \left[ v_i - \frac{\bar{N}}{2} \bar{a}_i, v_i + \frac{\bar{N}}{2} \bar{a}_i \right] \\ &\Rightarrow x_{\sigma(i)} \in [\bar{\gamma}_i, \bar{\delta}_i], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\gamma}_i &= \min \left\{ \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1} \left( v_i - \frac{\bar{N}}{2} \bar{a}_i \right), \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1} \left( v_i + \frac{\bar{N}}{2} \bar{a}_i \right) \right\}, \\ \bar{\delta}_i &= \max \left\{ \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1} \left( v_i - \frac{\bar{N}}{2} \bar{a}_i \right), \varphi_{i,\sigma(i)}^{-1} \left( v_i + \frac{\bar{N}}{2} \bar{a}_i \right) \right\}; \end{aligned}$$

then, since  $[\bar{\gamma}_i, \bar{\delta}_i] \subset [\bar{\alpha}_i, \bar{\beta}_i]$ , we obtain formula (23) again, from which

$$\sup_{i \in I_m} \sum_{j \in J_n \setminus J_m} |\varphi_{ij}(x_j)| \leq \sup_{i \in I_m} \sum_{j \in J \setminus J_m} |\varphi_{ij}(x_j)| \leq O_2,$$

and so  $\|\bar{w}_{I_m}\|_{I_m} \leq O_3$ , from (26).

Then, since the function  $(\varphi^{(m,m)})^{-1}$  is continuous, from (25), we have  $\|x_{J_m}\|_{J_m} \leq O_4$ , and so  $\|x\|_J \leq \max\{O_1, O_4\}$ . Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\bar{\varphi}^{(l,n)}\right)^{-1} \left(\bar{E}_{M,N,a,v}^{(I)}\right) &\subset \prod_{j \in J} [-\max\{O_1, O_4\}, \max\{O_1, O_4\}] \\ &\subset \prod_{j \in J} [z_j - O, z_j + O]; \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

finally,  $\forall k \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $k \geq m$ , from point 1 and formula (27), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\bar{\varphi}^{(l,n)}\right)^{-1} \left(E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)}\right) &\subset \left(\bar{\varphi}^{(l,n)}\right)^{-1} \left(E_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)}\right) \cap \left(\bar{\varphi}^{(l,n)}\right)^{-1} \left(\bar{E}_{M,N,a,v}^{(I)}\right) \\ &\subset E_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)} \cap \prod_{j \in J} [z_j - O, z_j + O] \subset E_{O,N,b,z}^{(k,J)}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if  $\varphi$  is  $(m, \sigma)$ -standard,  $\forall N \in \mathbf{R}^+$ ,  $\forall l, n, k \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $l, n, k \geq m$ , from point 1, we have

$$\varphi^{-1} \left(E_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)}\right) = \left(\bar{\varphi}^{(l,n)}\right)^{-1} \left(E_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)}\right) = E_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)};$$

moreover, we have formulas (24) and (27) again, from which

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^{-1} \left(E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)}\right) &\subset E_{O,N,b,z}^{(k,J)}, \\ \left(\bar{\varphi}^{(l,n)}\right)^{-1} \left(E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)}\right) &\subset E_{O,N,b,z}^{(k,J)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**PROPOSITION 4.4.** *Let  $(S, \Sigma)$  be a measurable space, let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a  $\pi$ -system on  $S$ , and let  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  be two measures on  $(S, \Sigma)$ ,  $\sigma$ -finite on  $\mathcal{I}$ ; if  $\sigma(\mathcal{I}) = \Sigma$  and  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  coincide on  $\mathcal{I}$ , then  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  coincide on  $\Sigma$ .*

*Proof.* See, for example, Theorem 10.3 in Billingsley [8].

□

Now, we can prove the main result of our paper, that improves Theorem 47 in [5], and generalizes the change of variables' formula for the integration of a measurable function on  $\mathbf{R}^m$  with values in  $\mathbf{R}$  (see, for example, the Lang's book [11]).



THEOREM 4.5. (*Change of variables' formula*). Let  $\varphi : U \subset E_J \longrightarrow E_I$  be a bijective, continuous and  $(m, \sigma)$ -general function, such that  $\pi_{I, I \setminus I_m} \circ \bar{\varphi}$  is continuous and such that, for any  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $n \geq m$ , the function  $\bar{\varphi}^{(n, n)} : U \longrightarrow E_I$  is a diffeomorphism; moreover, suppose that there exists  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_i : i \in I \setminus I_m) \in (\mathbf{R}^+)^{I \setminus I_m}$  such that  $|\varphi_i^{(I, m)}(x_{J_m})| \leq \varepsilon_i$ , for any  $i \in I \setminus I_m$ , for any  $x_{J_m} \in U^{(m)}$ , and such that  $\prod_{i \in I \setminus I_m} (1 + 2\varepsilon_i) \in \mathbf{R}^+$ ; furthermore, suppose that the sequence  $\left\{ (\bar{\varphi}^{(n, n)})^{-1} \right\}_{n \geq m}$  converges uniformly to  $\varphi^{-1}$  over the closed and bounded subsets of  $E_I$ , and the sequence  $\left\{ \det J_{\bar{\varphi}^{(n, n)}} \right\}_{n \geq m}$  converges uniformly over the closed and bounded subsets of  $U$ ; finally, let  $N \in [1, +\infty)$ , let  $a = (a_i : i \in I) \in [0, +\infty)^I$  such that  $\prod_{i \in I : a_i \neq 0} a_i \in \mathbf{R}^+$ , let  $v \in E_I$ , and let  $b \in [0, +\infty)^J$  and  $z \in E_J$  defined by Proposition 4.3. Then, for any  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $k \geq m$ , for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}^{(I)} \left( E_{N, a, v}^{(k, I)} \right)$  and for any measurable function  $f : (\mathbf{R}^I, \mathcal{B}^{(I)}) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{B})$  such that  $f^+$  (or  $f^-$ ) is  $\lambda_{N, a, v}^{(k, I)}$ -integrable, one has

$$\int_B f d\lambda_{N, a, v}^{(k, I)} = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(B)} f(\varphi) \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\det J_{\bar{\varphi}^{(n, n)}}| d\lambda_{N, b, z}^{(k, J)}.$$

In particular, assume that, for any  $x \in U$ , there exists the function  $J_\varphi(x) : E_J \longrightarrow E_I$ ; then, one has

$$\int_B f d\lambda_{N, a, v}^{(k, I)} = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(B)} f(\varphi) |\det J_\varphi| d\lambda_{N, b, z}^{(k, J)}.$$

*Proof.* The previous assumptions imply that  $\bar{\varphi}$  is bijective,  $\varphi_{ij}$  is continuous,  $\forall i \in I_m, \forall j \in J \setminus J_m$ , and  $\varphi^{(m, m)} : (U^{(m)}, \tau^{(m)}(U^{(m)})) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{R}^m, \tau^{(m)})$  is open; thus,  $\forall M \in \mathbf{R}^+, \forall N \in [1, +\infty), \forall a = (a_i : i \in I) \in [0, +\infty)^I$  such that  $\prod_{i \in I : a_i \neq 0} a_i \in \mathbf{R}^+$ , and  $\forall v \in E_I$ , let  $O \in \mathbf{R}^+$  and let  $b, z$  be the sequences defined by Proposition 4.3. Then,  $\forall n, k \in \mathbf{N}, n \geq k \geq m, \forall B = \prod_{i \in I} B_i \in \mathcal{B}^{(I)} \left( E_{M, N, a, v}^{(k, I)} \right)$  and  $\forall i \in I \setminus I_n$ , we have  $B_i \in \mathcal{B} \left( [v_i - \frac{N}{2}a_i, v_i + \frac{N}{2}a_i] \right)$ ; moreover, since  $(\bar{\varphi}^{(n, n)})^{-1}(B) \subset E_{N, b, z}^{(k, J)}$ , we have

$$\varphi_{i, \sigma(i)}^{-1}(B_i) \in \mathcal{B} \left( \left[ z_{\sigma(i)} - \frac{N}{2}b_{\sigma(i)}, z_{\sigma(i)} + \frac{N}{2}b_{\sigma(i)} \right] \right),$$

from which

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_B d\lambda_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} &= \int_{\prod_{p \in I} B_p} d \left( Leb^{(k)} \otimes \left( \bigotimes_{q \in I \setminus I_k} \frac{1}{N} Leb \Big|_{\mathcal{B}([v_q - \frac{N}{2} a_q, v_q + \frac{N}{2} a_q])} \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{N^{n-k}} \int_{\prod_{p \in I_n} B_p \times \prod_{q \in I \setminus I_n} B_q} d \left( Leb^{(n)} \otimes \left( \bigotimes_{q \in I \setminus I_n} \frac{1}{N} Leb \Big|_{\mathcal{B}([v_q - \frac{N}{2} a_q, v_q + \frac{N}{2} a_q])} \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{N^{n-k}} \int_{\prod_{p \in I_n} B_p} dLeb^{(n)} \cdot \int_{\prod_{q \in I \setminus I_n} B_q} d \left( \bigotimes_{q \in I \setminus I_n} \frac{1}{N} Leb \Big|_{\mathcal{B}([v_q - \frac{N}{2} a_q, v_q + \frac{N}{2} a_q])} \right). \quad (28)
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\prod_{q \in I \setminus I_n} B_q} d \left( \bigotimes_{q \in I \setminus I_n} \frac{1}{N} Leb \Big|_{\mathcal{B}([v_q - \frac{N}{2} a_q, v_q + \frac{N}{2} a_q])} \right) &= \int_{\prod_{q \in I \setminus I_n} B_q} d \left( \bigotimes_{q \in I \setminus I_n} \frac{1}{N} Leb \Big|_{\mathcal{B}(B_q)} \right) \\
&= \lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\prod_{q \in I_p \setminus I_n} B_q} d \left( \bigotimes_{q \in I_p \setminus I_n} \frac{1}{N} Leb \Big|_{\mathcal{B}(B_q)} \right) \quad (\text{by Theorem 2.1}) \\
&= \lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\prod_{q \in I_p \setminus I_n} \varphi_{q,\sigma(q)}^{-1}(B_q)} \prod_{q \in I_p \setminus I_n} |\varphi'_{q,\sigma(q)}| \cdot d \left( \bigotimes_{q \in I_p \setminus I_n} \frac{1}{N} Leb \Big|_{\mathcal{B}(\varphi_{q,\sigma(q)}^{-1}(B_q))} \right) \\
& \quad (\text{since, } \forall q \in I_p \setminus I_n, \varphi_{q,\sigma(q)} \text{ is a diffeomorphism, by Proposition 3.13}) \\
&= \int_{\prod_{q \in I \setminus I_n} \varphi_{q,\sigma(q)}^{-1}(B_q)} \prod_{q \in I \setminus I_n} |\varphi'_{q,\sigma(q)}| \cdot d \left( \bigotimes_{q \in I \setminus I_n} \frac{1}{N} Leb \Big|_{\mathcal{B}(\varphi_{q,\sigma(q)}^{-1}(B_q))} \right) \\
& \quad (\text{by Theorem 2.2}) \\
&= \int_{\prod_{q \in I \setminus I_n} \varphi_{q,\sigma(q)}^{-1}(B_q)} \prod_{q \in I \setminus I_n} |\varphi'_{q,\sigma(q)}| \cdot d \left( \bigotimes_{q \in I \setminus I_n} \frac{1}{N} Leb \Big|_{\mathcal{B}([z_{\sigma(q)} - \frac{N}{2} b_{\sigma(q)}, z_{\sigma(q)} + \frac{N}{2} b_{\sigma(q)}])} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, from Proposition 3.13,  $\varphi^{(n,n)}$  is a diffeomorphism, and so formula

(28) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_B d\lambda_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} &= \frac{1}{N^{n-k}} \int_{(\varphi^{(n,n)})^{-1}\left(\prod_{p \in I_n} B_p\right)} |\det J_{\varphi^{(n,n)}}| dLeb^{(n)} \\
 &\cdot \int_{\prod_{q \in I \setminus I_n} \varphi_{q,\sigma(q)}^{-1}(B_q)} \prod_{q \in I \setminus I_n} |\varphi'_{q,\sigma(q)}| \cdot d \left( \bigotimes_{q \in I \setminus I_n} \frac{1}{N} Leb \Big|_{\mathcal{B}([z_{\sigma(q)} - \frac{N}{2} b_{\sigma(q)}, z_{\sigma(q)} + \frac{N}{2} b_{\sigma(q)}])} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{N^{n-k}} \int_{(\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)})^{-1}(B)} |\det J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}}| d \left( Leb^{(n)} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \otimes \left( \bigotimes_{q \in I \setminus I_n} \frac{1}{N} Leb \Big|_{\mathcal{B}([z_{\sigma(q)} - \frac{N}{2} b_{\sigma(q)}, z_{\sigma(q)} + \frac{N}{2} b_{\sigma(q)}])} \right) \right) \\
 &= \int_{(\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)})^{-1}(B)} |\det J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}}| d \left( Leb^{(k)} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \otimes \left( \bigotimes_{q \in I \setminus I_k} \frac{1}{N} Leb \Big|_{\mathcal{B}([z_{\sigma(q)} - \frac{N}{2} b_{\sigma(q)}, z_{\sigma(q)} + \frac{N}{2} b_{\sigma(q)}])} \right) \right) \\
 &\quad \left( \text{since } (\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)})^{-1}(B) \subset E_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)} \right) \\
 &= \int_{(\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)})^{-1}(B)} |\det J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}}| d\lambda_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)}. \tag{29}
 \end{aligned}$$

Consider the measures  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  on  $\Sigma \equiv \mathcal{B}^{(I)}(E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)})$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu_1(B) &= \int_B d\lambda_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)}, \\
 \mu_2(B) &= \int_{(\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)})^{-1}(B)} |\det J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}}| d\lambda_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)},
 \end{aligned}$$

from (29),  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  coincide on the set

$$\mathcal{I} = \left\{ B \in \Sigma : B = \prod_{i \in I} B_i \right\};$$

moreover, we have  $\mu_1 \left( E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \right) = \mu_2 \left( E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \right) < +\infty$ ,  $E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \in \mathcal{I}$ , and so  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are  $\sigma$ -finite on  $\mathcal{I}$ . Then, since  $\mathcal{I}$  is a  $\pi$ -system on  $E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)}$  such that  $\sigma(\mathcal{I}) = \Sigma$ , from Proposition 4.4,  $\forall B \in \mathcal{B}^{(I)} \left( E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \right)$ , we have

$$\int_B d\lambda_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} = \int_{(\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)})^{-1}(B)} |\det J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}}| d\lambda_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)}. \quad (30)$$

Moreover, since  $E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)}$  is closed and bounded, the sequence  $\left\{ (\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)})^{-1} \right\}_{n \geq k}$  converges uniformly to  $\varphi^{-1}$  over  $E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)}$ ; furthermore, since  $\varphi$  is continuous,  $\varphi^{-1} \left( E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \right)$  is closed; then, there exist  $\bar{n} \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $\bar{n} \geq k$ , and  $\delta \in \mathbf{R}^+$  such that,  $\forall i > \bar{n}$ ,  $(\overline{\varphi}^{(i,i)})^{-1} \left( E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \right) \subset \varphi^{-1} \left( E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \right) + \overline{B_J(0, \delta)} \subset U$ , from which

$$\begin{aligned} (\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)})^{-1} (B) &\subset \bigcup_{h \geq k} (\overline{\varphi}^{(h,h)})^{-1} \left( E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \right) \\ &\subset \left( \bigcup_{h=k}^{\bar{n}} (\overline{\varphi}^{(h,h)})^{-1} \left( E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \right) \right) \cup \left( \varphi^{-1} \left( E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \right) + \overline{B_J(0, \delta)} \right), \\ &\quad \forall n \geq k; \end{aligned}$$

then, from Proposition 4.3,  $\forall n \geq k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)})^{-1} (B) &\subset E_{O,N,b,z}^{(k,J)} \\ &\cap \left( \left( \bigcup_{h=k}^{\bar{n}} (\overline{\varphi}^{(h,h)})^{-1} \left( E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \right) \right) \cup \left( \varphi^{-1} \left( E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \right) + \overline{B_J(0, \delta)} \right) \right) \\ &\equiv E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I,\varphi,\delta)} \subset U, \quad (31) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\int_{E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)}} 1_B d\lambda_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} = \int_{E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I,\varphi,\delta)}} 1_B (\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}) |\det J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}}| d\lambda_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)}. \quad (32)$$

Moreover,  $\forall h \in \{k, \dots, \bar{n}\}$ ,  $\varphi^{(h,h)}$  is continuous, since from Proposition 3.13 it is a diffeomorphism; then, since  $\pi_{I,I \setminus I_m} \circ \overline{\varphi}$  is continuous, from Proposition 3.10,  $\overline{\varphi}^{(h,h)}$  is continuous too, and so formula (31) implies that  $E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I,\varphi,\delta)}$  is a closed

subset of  $U$ ; furthermore, we have  $E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I,\varphi,\delta)} \subset E_{O,N,b,z}^{(k,J)}$ , and so  $E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I,\varphi,\delta)}$  is bounded.

From formula (32), if  $\psi : (\mathbf{R}^I, \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{I})) \longrightarrow ([0, +\infty), \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty)))$  is a simple function such that  $\psi(x) = 0, \forall x \notin E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)}$ , we have

$$\int_{E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)}} \psi d\lambda_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} = \int_{E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I,\varphi,\delta)}} \psi(\bar{\varphi}^{(n,n)}) |\det J_{\bar{\varphi}^{(n,n)}}| d\lambda_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)}.$$

Then, if  $l : (\mathbf{R}^I, \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{I})) \longrightarrow ([0, +\infty), \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty)))$  is a measurable function such that  $l(x) = 0, \forall x \notin E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)}$ , and  $\{\psi_i\}_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$  is a sequence of increasing positive simple functions over  $(\mathbf{R}^I, \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{I}))$  such that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \psi_i = l, \psi_i(x) = 0, \forall x \notin E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)}, \forall i \in \mathbf{N}$ , from Beppo Levi theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)}} l d\lambda_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} &= \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)}} \psi_i d\lambda_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I,\varphi,\delta)}} \psi_i(\bar{\varphi}^{(n,n)}) |\det J_{\bar{\varphi}^{(n,n)}}| d\lambda_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)} \\ &= \int_{E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I,\varphi,\delta)}} l(\bar{\varphi}^{(n,n)}) |\det J_{\bar{\varphi}^{(n,n)}}| d\lambda_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)}, \quad (33) \end{aligned}$$

from which

$$\int_{E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)}} l d\lambda_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I,\varphi,\delta)}} l(\bar{\varphi}^{(n,n)}) |\det J_{\bar{\varphi}^{(n,n)}}| d\lambda_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)}. \quad (34)$$

In particular, formula (34) is true if  $l : \mathbf{R}^I \longrightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is  $(\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{I}), \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty)))$ -measurable,  $(\tau^I, \tau([0, +\infty)))$ -continuous and such that  $l(\mathbf{R}^I) \subset [0, 1], l(x) = 0, \forall x \notin E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)}$ . In this case, let  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq k}$  be the sequence of the measurable functions

$$f_n : \left( E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I,\varphi,\delta)}, \mathcal{B}^J \left( E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I,\varphi,\delta)} \right) \right) \longrightarrow ([0, +\infty), \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty)))$$

given by

$$f_n(x) = l(\bar{\varphi}^{(n,n)}(x)) |\det J_{\bar{\varphi}^{(n,n)}}(x)|, \forall x \in E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I,\varphi,\delta)}, \forall n \geq k;$$

since  $E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I,\varphi,\delta)}$  is closed and bounded, the sequence  $\{|\det J_{\bar{\varphi}^{(n,n)}}|\}_{n \geq k}$  converges uniformly over  $E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I,\varphi,\delta)}$ ; then, there exists  $\hat{n} \in \mathbf{N}, \hat{n} \geq k$ , such that,  $\forall x \in$

$E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I,\varphi,\delta)}$ ,  $\forall n > \widehat{n}$ , we have  $|\det J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}}(x)| \leq |\det J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(\widehat{n},\widehat{n})}}(x)| + 1$ ; thus, since  $l(\mathbf{R}^I) \subset [0, 1]$ ,  $\forall n \geq k$ , we have  $|f_n| \leq |\det J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}}| \leq g$ , where

$$g : \left( E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I,\varphi,\delta)}, \mathcal{B}^{(J)} \left( E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I,\varphi,\delta)} \right) \right) \longrightarrow ([0, +\infty), \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty)))$$

is the measurable function defined by

$$g(x) = \sum_{h=k}^{\widehat{n}} |\det J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(h,h)}}(x)| + |\det J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(\widehat{n},\widehat{n})}}(x)| + 1, \quad \forall x \in E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I,\varphi,\delta)}. \quad (35)$$

Moreover,  $\forall h \in \{k, \dots, \widehat{n}\}$ , we have

$$|\det J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(h,h)}}(x)| = |\det J_{\varphi^{(h,h)}}(x_{J_h})| \prod_{i \in I \setminus I_h} \left| \varphi'_{i,\sigma(i)}(x_{\sigma(i)}) \right|, \quad \forall x \in E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I,\varphi,\delta)}; \quad (36)$$

furthermore, from Proposition 3.23 and Proposition 3.13,  $\forall h \in \{k, \dots, \widehat{n}\}$ ,  $\forall i \in I \setminus I_h$ , the functions  $\det J_{\varphi^{(h,h)}}$  and  $\varphi'_{i,\sigma(i)}$  are continuous; then, since the sets  $\pi_{J,J_h} \left( E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I,\varphi,\delta)} \right)$  and  $\pi_{J,\{\sigma(i)\}} \left( E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I,\varphi,\delta)} \right)$  are closed and bounded, from formulas (35) and (36), there exists  $\beta \in \mathbf{R}^+$  such that  $g(x) \leq \beta$ ,  $\forall x \in E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I,\varphi,\delta)}$ ; thus, by definition of  $E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I,\varphi,\delta)}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I,\varphi,\delta)}} g d\lambda_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)} &\leq \beta \lambda_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)} \left( E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I,\varphi,\delta)} \right) \leq \beta \lambda_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)} \left( E_{O,N,b,z}^{(k,J)} \right) \\ &= \beta \prod_{p \in J_k} \text{Leb}([z_p - O, z_p + O]) \prod_{q \in J \setminus J_k} \frac{1}{N} \text{Leb} \left( \left[ z_q - \frac{N}{2} b_q, z_q + \frac{N}{2} b_q \right] \right) \\ &= \beta (2O)^k \prod_{q \in J \setminus J_k} b_q < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since  $\lim_{i \in I, i \rightarrow +\infty} \varepsilon_i = 0$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)} = \varphi$ , and so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f_n(x) = l(\varphi(x)) \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\det J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}}(x)|, \quad \forall x \in E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I,\varphi,\delta)};$$

then, from the dominated convergence theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I,\varphi,\delta)}} l(\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}) |\det J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}}| d\lambda_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)} \\ = \int_{E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I,\varphi,\delta)}} l(\varphi) \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\det J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}}| d\lambda_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)}; \end{aligned}$$

consequently, from (34), we have

$$\int_{E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)}} l d\lambda_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} = \int_{E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I,\varphi,\delta)}} l(\varphi) \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\det J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}}| d\lambda_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)}. \quad (37)$$

Let  $B = \prod_{i \in I} B_i \in \mathcal{B}^{(I)} \left( E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \right)$ , where  $B_i = (\alpha_i, \beta_i)$ ,  $\forall i \in I$ , and let  $\delta_i = \frac{\beta_i - \alpha_i}{2}$ ,  $\forall i \in I$ ; moreover,  $\forall h \in \mathbf{N}^*$ ,  $\forall t \in [0, 1]$ , consider the set

$$A_{h,t} = \prod_{i \in I} \left( \alpha_i + \frac{t\delta_i}{h}, \beta_i - \frac{t\delta_i}{h} \right),$$

and consider the function  $l_h : \mathbf{R}^I \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  defined by

$$l_h(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A_{h,1}^\circ \\ t & \text{if } x \in \partial A_{h,t} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{R}^I \setminus \overline{A_{h,0}} \end{cases}.$$

Observe that,  $\forall h \in \mathbf{N}^*$ ,  $l_h : \mathbf{R}^I \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is a function such that  $l_h(\mathbf{R}^I) \subset [0, 1]$ ,  $l_h(x) = 0$ ,  $\forall x \notin E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)}$ ; moreover,  $\forall t_1, t_2 \in [0, +\infty)$  such that  $t_1 < t_2$ , we have

$$l_h^{-1}((t_1, t_2)) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } t_1 \geq 1 \\ A_{h,t_1}^\circ & \text{if } t_1 < 1 < t_2 \\ A_{h,t_1}^\circ \setminus \overline{A_{h,t_2}} & \text{if } t_1 < t_2 \leq 1 \end{cases},$$

$$l_h^{-1}([0, t_2)) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{R}^I & \text{if } t_2 > 1 \\ \mathbf{R}^I \setminus \overline{A_{h,t_2}} & \text{if } t_2 \leq 1 \end{cases};$$

thus,  $l_h$  is  $(\mathcal{B}^{(I)}, \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty)))$ -measurable and  $(\tau^{(I)}, \tau([0, +\infty)))$ -continuous. Then, since  $\{l_h\}_{h \in \mathbf{N}^*}$  is an increasing positive sequence such that  $\lim_{h \rightarrow +\infty} l_h =$

$1_B$ , from Beppo Levi theorem and (37), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_B d\lambda_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} &= \int_{E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)}} 1_B d\lambda_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} = \lim_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)}} l_h d\lambda_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \\
&= \lim_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I,\varphi,\delta)}} l_h(\varphi) \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\det J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}}| d\lambda_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)} \\
&= \int_{E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I,\varphi,\delta)}} 1_B(\varphi) \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\det J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}}| d\lambda_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)} \\
&= \int_{\varphi^{-1}(B)} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\det J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}}| d\lambda_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)}. \quad (38)
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, Proposition 4.4 implies that the previous formula (38) is true  $\forall B \in \mathcal{B}^{(I)} \left( E_{M,N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \right)$ . Consider the measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  on  $\left( E_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)}, \mathcal{B}^{(I)} \left( E_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \right) \right)$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(B) &= \int_B d\lambda_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)}, \\
\nu(B) &= \int_{\varphi^{-1}(B)} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\det J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}}| d\lambda_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)},
\end{aligned}$$

and set  $B_l = B \cap E_{l,N,a,v}^{(k,I)}$ ,  $\forall l \in \mathbf{N}^*$ ,  $\forall B \in \mathcal{B}^{(I)} \left( E_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \right)$ . Since  $B_l \subset B_{l+1}$ ,  $\varphi^{-1}(B_l) \subset \varphi^{-1}(B_{l+1})$ ,  $\bigcup_{l \in \mathbf{N}^*} B_l = B$  and  $\bigcup_{l \in \mathbf{N}^*} \varphi^{-1}(B_l) = \varphi^{-1}(B)$ , from the continuity property of  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  and (38), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_B d\lambda_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} &= \lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B_l} d\lambda_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \\
&= \lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\varphi^{-1}(B_l)} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\det J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}}| d\lambda_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)} \\
&= \int_{\varphi^{-1}(B)} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\det J_{\overline{\varphi}^{(n,n)}}| d\lambda_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)}. \quad (39)
\end{aligned}$$



Then, let  $B \in \mathcal{B}^{(I)} \left( E_{N,a,v}^{\circ(k,I)} \right)$  and let  $g : (\mathbf{R}^I, \mathcal{B}^{(I)}) \longrightarrow ([0, +\infty), \mathcal{B}([0, +\infty)))$

be a measurable function;  $\forall x \notin E_{N,a,v}^{\circ(k,I)}$ , we have  $(g1_B)(x) = 0$ ; thus, by proceeding as in the proof of formula (33), formula (39) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B g d\lambda_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^I} 1_B g d\lambda_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} = \int_{\mathbf{R}^J} (1_B g)(\varphi) \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\det J_{\overline{\varphi}(n,n)}| d\lambda_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)} \\ &= \int_{\varphi^{-1}(B)} g(\varphi) \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\det J_{\overline{\varphi}(n,n)}| d\lambda_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for any measurable function  $f : (\mathbf{R}^I, \mathcal{B}^{(I)}) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{B})$  such that  $f^+$  (or  $f^-$ ) is  $\lambda_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)}$ -integrable, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B f d\lambda_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} &= \int_B f^+ d\lambda_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} - \int_B f^- d\lambda_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} \\ &= \int_{\varphi^{-1}(B)} f^+(\varphi) \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\det J_{\overline{\varphi}(n,n)}| d\lambda_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)} \\ &\quad - \int_{\varphi^{-1}(B)} f^-(\varphi) \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\det J_{\overline{\varphi}(n,n)}| d\lambda_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)} \\ &= \int_{\varphi^{-1}(B)} f(\varphi) \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\det J_{\overline{\varphi}(n,n)}| d\lambda_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)}. \quad (40) \end{aligned}$$

In particular, assume that,  $\forall x \in U$ , there exists the function  $J_\varphi(x) : E_J \longrightarrow E_I$ ; from Proposition 3.21, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\det J_{\overline{\varphi}(n,n)}(x)| = \left| \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \det J_{\overline{\varphi}(n,n)}(x) \right| = |\det J_\varphi(x)|, \forall x \in U,$$

and so formula (40) implies

$$\int_B f d\lambda_{N,a,v}^{(k,I)} = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(B)} f(\varphi) |\det J_\varphi| d\lambda_{N,b,z}^{(k,J)}.$$

□

## 5. Problems for further study

A natural application of this paper, in the probabilistic framework, is the development of the theory of the infinite-dimensional continuous random vari-

ables, defined in the paper [4]. In particular, we can prove the formula of the density of such random variables composed with the  $(m, \sigma)$ -general functions, with further properties. Consequently, it is possible to introduce many random variables that generalize the well known continuous random vectors in  $\mathbf{R}^m$  (for example, the Beta random variables in  $E_I$  defined by the  $(m, \sigma)$ -general matrices), and to develop some theoretical results and some applications in the statistical inference. Moreover, we can define a convolution between the laws of two independent and infinite-dimensional continuous random variables, as in the finite case.

Furthermore, in the statistical mechanics, it is possible to describe the systems of smooth hard particles, by using the Boltzmann equation (see, for example, the paper [18]), or the more general Master kinetic equation, described in the papers [17] and [16]. In order to study the evolution of these systems, we can consider the model of countable particles, such that their joint infinite-dimensional density can be determined by composing a particular random variable with a  $(m, \sigma)$ -general function.

Finally, we can generalize the papers [2] and [3] (where we estimate the rate of convergence of some Markov chains on  $[0, p]^k$  to a uniform random vector) by considering the recursion  $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  on  $[0, p]^{\mathbf{N}^*}$  defined by

$$X_{n+1} = AX_n + B_n \pmod{p},$$

where  $X_0 = x_0 \in E_I$ ,  $A$  is a bijective, linear, integer and  $(m, \sigma)$ -general function,  $p \in \mathbf{R}^+$ , and  $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  is a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables with values on  $E_I$ . As noted above, it is possible to determine the density of the random variable  $AX_n$ , for any  $n \in \mathbf{N}^*$ ; consequently, we expect to prove that, with some assumptions on the law of  $B_n$ , the sequence  $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  converges with geometric rate to a random variable with law

$\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbf{N}^*} \left( \frac{1}{p} \text{Leb} \Big|_{\mathcal{B}([0, p])} \right)$ , that is the uniform random variable on  $[0, p]^{\mathbf{N}^*}$ . Moreover, we wish to quantify the rate of convergence in terms of  $A$ ,  $p$ ,  $m$ , and the law of  $B_n$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] L. ACCARDI, O. G. SMOLYANOV, AND M. O. SMOLYANOVA, *Change of variable formulas for infinite-dimensional distributions*, Math. Notes **60** (1996), 212–215.
- [2] C. ASCI, *Generating uniform random vectors in  $\mathbf{Z}_p^k$ : the general case*, J. Theoret. Probab. **22** (2009), 791–809.
- [3] C. ASCI, *Convergence in total variation of an affine random recursion in  $[0, p]^k$  to a uniform random vector*, Markov Process. Related Fields **19** (2013), 125–140.
- [4] C. ASCI, *Integration over an infinite-dimensional Banach space and probabilistic applications*, Int. J. Anal., doi: org/10.1155/2014/404186 (2014).

- [5] C. ASCI, *Differentiation theory over infinite-dimensional Banach spaces*, J. Math., doi: [org/10.1155/2016/2619087](https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/2619087) (2016).
- [6] C. ASCI, *Theory of the  $(m, \sigma)$ -general functions over infinite-dimensional Banach spaces*, Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste **50** (2018), 1–36.
- [7] R. BAKER, “Lebesgue measure” on  $\mathbf{R}^\infty$ , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **113** (1991), 1023–1029.
- [8] P. BILLINGSLEY, *Probability and measure*, 3rd ed., Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1995.
- [9] R. HENSTOCK, P. MULDOWNNEY, AND V. SKVORTSOV, *A partitioning infinite-dimensional spaces for generalized Riemann integration*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **38** (2006), no. 5, 795–803.
- [10] B. JESSEN, *The theory of integration in a space of an infinite number of dimensions*, Acta Math. **63** (1934), no. 1, 249–323.
- [11] S. LANG, *Analysis II*, Addison–Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1969.
- [12] S. LANG, *Linear algebra*, Springer, New York, 1987.
- [13] R. LÉANDRE, *Lebesgue measure in infinite dimension as an infinite-dimensional distribution*, J. Math. Sci. **159** (2009), 833–836.
- [14] M. M. RAO, *Measure theory and integration*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1987.
- [15] L. G. TEPPER AND W. Z. WOODFORD, *Functional analysis and the Feynman operator calculus*, Springer International Publishing, 2016.
- [16] M. TESSAROTTO AND C. ASCI, *Asymptotic orderings and approximations of the Master kinetic equation for large hard spheres systems*, Phys. Lett. A **381** (2017), 1484–1489.
- [17] M. TESSAROTTO, C. CREMASCHINI, C. ASCI, A. SORANZO, AND G. TIRONI, *Global validity of the Master kinetic equation for hard-sphere systems*, Eur. Phys. J. Plus, doi: [org/10.1140/epjp/i2015-15169-2](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjp/i2015-15169-2), **130** (2015).
- [18] M. TESSAROTTO, C. CREMASCHINI, M. MOND, C. ASCI, A. SORANZO, AND G. TIRONI, *On the Boltzmann-Grad limit for smooth hard-sphere systems*, Found. Phys. **48** (2018), 271–294.
- [19] N. TSILEVICH, A. VERSHIC, AND M. YOR, *An infinite-dimensional analogue of the Lebesgue measure and distinguished properties of the Gamma process*, J. Funct. Anal. **185** (2001), 274–296.
- [20] J. WEIDMANN, *Linear operators in Hilbert spaces*, Springer, New York, 1980.

Author’s address:

Claudio Asci  
 Dipartimento di Matematica e Geoscienze  
 Università degli Studi di Trieste  
 Via Valerio 12/1, 34127 Trieste, Italy  
 E-mail: [casci@units.it](mailto:casci@units.it)

Received August 12, 2018  
 Revised May 15, 2019  
 Accepted May 24, 2019