

Discrete inequalities of Jensen type for λ -convex functions on linear spaces

SEVER S. DRAGOMIR

ABSTRACT. *Some discrete inequalities of Jensen type for λ -convex functions defined on convex subsets in real or complex linear spaces are given. Applications for norm inequalities are provided as well.*

Keywords: Convex functions, discrete inequalities, λ -convex functions, Jensen's type inequalities.

MS Classification 2010: 26D15, 25D10.

1. Introduction

We recall here some concepts of convexity that are well known in the literature. Let I be an interval in \mathbb{R} .

DEFINITION 1.1 ([38]). *We say that $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Godunova-Levin function or that f belongs to the class $Q(I)$ if f is non-negative and for all $x, y \in I$ and $t \in (0, 1)$ we have*

$$f(tx + (1-t)y) \leq \frac{1}{t}f(x) + \frac{1}{1-t}f(y). \quad (1)$$

Some further properties of this class of functions can be found in [28, 29, 31, 44, 47, 48]. Among others, it has been noted that non-negative monotone and non-negative convex functions belong to this class of functions.

The above concept can be extended for functions $f : C \subseteq X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ where C is a convex subset of the real or complex linear space X and the inequality (1) is satisfied for any vectors $x, y \in C$ and $t \in (0, 1)$. If the function $f : C \subseteq X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is non-negative and convex, then it is of Godunova-Levin type.

DEFINITION 1.2 ([31]). *We say that a function $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ belongs to the class $P(I)$ if it is non-negative and for all $x, y \in I$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ we have*

$$f(tx + (1-t)y) \leq f(x) + f(y). \quad (2)$$

Obviously $Q(I)$ contains $P(I)$ and for applications it is important to note that also $P(I)$ contains all nonnegative monotone, convex and *quasi convex functions*, i. e. nonnegative functions satisfying

$$f(tx + (1-t)y) \leq \max\{f(x), f(y)\} \quad (3)$$

for all $x, y \in I$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

For some results on P -functions see [31, 45] while for quasi convex functions, the reader can consult [30].

If $f : C \subseteq X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, where C is a convex subset of the real or complex linear space X , then we say that it is of P -type (or quasi-convex) if the inequality (2) (or (3)) holds true for $x, y \in C$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

DEFINITION 1.3 ([7]). *Let s be a real number, $s \in (0, 1]$. A function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be s -convex (in the second sense) or Breckner s -convex if*

$$f(tx + (1-t)y) \leq t^s f(x) + (1-t)^s f(y)$$

for all $x, y \in [0, \infty)$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

For some properties of this class of functions see [1, 2, 7, 8, 26, 27, 39, 41, 50].

The concept of Breckner s -convexity can be similarly extended for functions defined on convex subsets of linear spaces.

It is well known that if $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed linear space, then the function $f(x) = \|x\|^p$, $p \geq 1$ is convex on X . Utilising the elementary inequality $(a+b)^s \leq a^s + b^s$ that holds for any $a, b \geq 0$ and $s \in (0, 1]$, we have for the function $g(x) = \|x\|^s$ that

$$\begin{aligned} g(tx + (1-t)y) &= \|tx + (1-t)y\|^s \leq (t\|x\| + (1-t)\|y\|)^s \\ &\leq (t\|x\|)^s + [(1-t)\|y\|]^s \\ &= t^s g(x) + (1-t)^s g(y) \end{aligned}$$

for any $x, y \in X$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, which shows that g is Breckner s -convex on X .

In order to unify the above concepts for functions of real variable, S. Varošanec introduced the concept of h -convex functions as follows.

Assume that I and J are intervals in \mathbb{R} , $(0, 1) \subseteq J$ and functions h and f are real non-negative functions defined in J and I , respectively.

DEFINITION 1.4 ([53]). *Let $h : J \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with h not identical to 0. We say that $f : I \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is an h -convex function if for all $x, y \in I$ we have*

$$f(tx + (1-t)y) \leq h(t)f(x) + h(1-t)f(y) \quad (4)$$

for all $t \in (0, 1)$.

For some results concerning this class of functions see [53, 6, 42, 51, 49, 52].

This concept can be extended for functions defined on convex subsets of linear spaces in the same way as above replacing the interval I by the corresponding convex subset C of the linear space X .

We can introduce now another class of functions.

DEFINITION 1.5. We say that the function $f : C \subseteq X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is of s -Godunova-Levin type, with $s \in [0, 1]$, if

$$f(tx + (1-t)y) \leq \frac{1}{t^s} f(x) + \frac{1}{(1-t)^s} f(y), \quad (5)$$

for all $t \in (0, 1)$ and $x, y \in C$.

We observe that for $s = 0$ we obtain the class of P -functions while for $s = 1$ we obtain the class of Godunova-Levin. If we denote by $Q_s(C)$ the class of s -Godunova-Levin functions defined on C , then we obviously have

$$P(C) = Q_0(C) \subseteq Q_{s_1}(C) \subseteq Q_{s_2}(C) \subseteq Q_1(C) = Q(C)$$

for $0 \leq s_1 \leq s_2 \leq 1$.

For different inequalities related to these classes of functions, see [1]-[4], [6], [9]-[37], [40]-[42] and [45]-[52].

A function $h : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *supermultiplicative* if

$$h(ts) \geq h(t)h(s) \text{ for any } t, s \in J. \quad (6)$$

If the inequality (6) is reversed, then h is said to be *submultiplicative*. If the equality holds in (6) then h is said to be a multiplicative function on J .

In [53] it has been noted that if $h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $h(t) = (x+c)^{p-1}$, then for $c = 0$ the function h is multiplicative. If $c \geq 1$, then for $p \in (0, 1)$ the function h is supermultiplicative and for $p > 1$ the function is submultiplicative. We observe that, if h, g are nonnegative and supermultiplicative, the same is their product. In particular, if h is supermultiplicative then its product with a power function $\ell_r(t) = t^r$ is also supermultiplicative. The case of h -convex function with h supermultiplicative is of interest due to several Jensen type inequalities one can derive.

The following results were obtained in [53] for functions of a real variable. However, with similar proofs they can be extended to h -convex function defined on convex subsets in linear spaces.

THEOREM 1.6. Let $h : J \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a supermultiplicative function on J . If the function $f : C \subseteq X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is h -convex on the convex subset C of the linear space X , then for any $w_i \geq 0$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $n \geq 2$ with $W_n := \sum_{i=1}^n w_i > 0$ we have

$$f\left(\frac{1}{W_n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n h\left(\frac{w_i}{W_n}\right) f(x_i). \quad (7)$$

In particular, we have the unweighted inequality

$$f\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) \leq h\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i). \quad (8)$$

COROLLARY 1.7 ([27]). *If the function $f : C \subseteq X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is Breckner s -convex on the convex subset C of the linear space X with $s \in (0, 1)$, then for any $x_i \in C$, $w_i \geq 0$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $n \geq 2$ with $W_n := \sum_{i=1}^n w_i > 0$ we have*

$$f\left(\frac{1}{W_n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i\right) \leq \frac{1}{W_n^s} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^s f(x_i). \quad (9)$$

If $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed linear space, then for $s \in (0, 1)$, $x_i \in X$, $w_i \geq 0$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $n \geq 2$ with $W_n := \sum_{i=1}^n w_i > 0$ we have the norm inequality

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i \right\|^s \leq \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^s \|x_i\|^s. \quad (10)$$

COROLLARY 1.8. *If the function $f : C \subseteq X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is of s -Godunova-Levin type, with $s \in [0, 1]$, on the convex subset C of the linear space X , then for any $x_i \in C$, $w_i > 0$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $n \geq 2$ we have*

$$f\left(\frac{1}{W_n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i\right) \leq W_n^s \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{w_i^s} f(x_i). \quad (11)$$

This result generalizes the Jensen type inequality obtained in [44] for $s = 1$.

Let K be a finite non-empty set of positive integers. We can define the index set function, see also [53],

$$J(K) := \sum_{i \in K} h(w_i) f(x_i) - h(W_K) f\left(\frac{1}{W_K} \sum_{i \in K} w_i x_i\right), \quad (12)$$

where $W_K := \sum_{i \in K} w_i > 0$, $x_i \in C$, $i \in K$.

We notice that if $h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a supermultiplicative function on $[0, \infty)$ and the function $f : C \subseteq X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is h -convex on the convex subset C of the linear space X , then

$$J(K) \geq h(W_K) \left[\sum_{i \in K} h\left(\frac{w_i}{W_K}\right) f(x_i) - f\left(\frac{1}{W_K} \sum_{i \in K} w_i x_i\right) \right] \geq 0. \quad (13)$$

THEOREM 1.9. *Assume that $h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a supermultiplicative function on $[0, \infty)$ and the function $f : C \subseteq X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is h -convex on the convex subset C of the linear space X . Let M and K be finite non-empty sets of positive integers, $w_i > 0$, $x_i \in C$, $i \in K \cup M$. Then*

$$J(K \cup M) \geq J(K) + J(M) \geq 0, \quad (14)$$

i.e., J is a superadditive index set functional.

This results was proved in an equivalent form in [53] for functions of a real variable. The proof is similar for functions defined on convex sets in linear spaces.

COROLLARY 1.10. *With the assumptions of Theorem 1.9 and if we note $M_k := \{1, \dots, k\}$, then*

$$J(M_n) \geq J(M_{n-1}) \geq \dots \geq J(M_2) \geq 0 \quad (15)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & J(M_n) \quad (16) \\ & \geq \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left\{ h(w_i) f(x_i) + h(w_j) f(x_j) - h(w_i + w_j) f\left(\frac{w_i x_i + w_j x_j}{w_i + w_j}\right) \right\} \\ & \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

If we consider the functional

$$J_s(K) := \sum_{i \in K} w_i^s \|x_i\|^s - \left\| \sum_{i \in K} w_i x_i \right\|^s$$

for $s \in (0, 1)$, then we have the norm inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^s \|x_i\|^s - \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i \right\|^s & \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} w_i^s \|x_i\|^s - \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} w_i x_i \right\|^s \quad (17) \\ & \geq \dots \geq \sum_{i=1}^2 w_i^s \|x_i\|^s - \left\| \sum_{i=1}^2 w_i x_i \right\|^s \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^s \|x_i\|^s - \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i \right\|^s \quad (18) \\ & \geq \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \{ w_i^s \|x_i\|^s + w_j^s \|x_j\|^s - \|w_i x_i + w_j x_j\|^s \} \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $w_i \geq 0$, $x_i \in X$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $n \geq 2$.

2. λ -convex functions

We start with the following definition (see also [24]):

DEFINITION 2.1. *Let $\lambda : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function with the property that $\lambda(t) > 0$ for all $t > 0$. A mapping $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined on convex subset C of a linear space X is called λ -convex on C if*

$$f\left(\frac{\alpha x + \beta y}{\alpha + \beta}\right) \leq \frac{\lambda(\alpha) f(x) + \lambda(\beta) f(y)}{\lambda(\alpha + \beta)} \quad (19)$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \beta > 0$ and $x, y \in C$.

We observe that if $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is λ -convex on C , then f is h -convex on C with $h(t) = \frac{\lambda(t)}{\lambda(1)}$, $t \in [0, 1]$. If $f : C \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is h -convex function with h supermultiplicative on $[0, \infty)$, then f is λ -convex with $\lambda = h$.

Indeed, if $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \beta > 0$ and $x, y \in C$ then

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\frac{\alpha x + \beta y}{\alpha + \beta}\right) &\leq h\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}\right) f(x) + h\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}\right) f(y) \\ &\leq \frac{h(\alpha) f(x) + h(\beta) f(y)}{h(\alpha + \beta)}. \end{aligned}$$

The following proposition contain some properties of λ -convex functions [24].

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a λ -convex function on C .*

- (i) *If $\lambda(0) > 0$, then we have $f(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in C$;*
- (ii) *If there exists $x_0 \in C$ so that $f(x_0) > 0$, then*

$$\lambda(\alpha + \beta) \leq \lambda(\alpha) + \lambda(\beta)$$

for all $\alpha, \beta > 0$, i.e. the mapping λ is subadditive on $(0, \infty)$.

- (iii) *If there exists $x_0, y_0 \in C$ with $f(x_0) > 0$ and $f(y_0) < 0$, then*

$$\lambda(\alpha + \beta) = \lambda(\alpha) + \lambda(\beta)$$

for all $\alpha, \beta > 0$, i.e. the mapping λ is additive on $(0, \infty)$.

We have the following result providing many examples of subadditive functions $\lambda : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$.

THEOREM 2.3 ([24]). *Let $h(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ a power series with nonnegative coefficients $a_n \geq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and convergent on the open disk $D(0, R)$ with $R > 0$ or $R = \infty$. If $r \in (0, R)$ then the function $\lambda_r : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ given by*

$$\lambda_r(t) := \ln \left[\frac{h(r)}{h(r \exp(-t))} \right] \quad (20)$$

is nonnegative, increasing and subadditive on $[0, \infty)$.

We have the following fundamental examples of power series with positive coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n = \frac{1}{1-z}, \quad z \in D(0,1) & (21) \\
 h(z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} z^n = \exp(z) \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \\
 h(z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)!} z^{2n} = \cosh z, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}; \\
 h(z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)!} z^{2n+1} = \sinh z, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}; \\
 h(z) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} z^n = \ln \frac{1}{1-z}, \quad z \in D(0,1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Other important examples of functions as power series representations with positive coefficients are:

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(z) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n-1} z^{2n-1} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right), \quad z \in D(0,1); & (22) \\
 h(z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}(2n+1)n!} z^{2n+1} = \sin^{-1}(z), \quad z \in D(0,1); \\
 h(z) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n-1} z^{2n-1} = \tanh^{-1}(z), \quad z \in D(0,1); \\
 h(z) &= {}_2F_1(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha)\Gamma(n+\beta)\Gamma(\gamma)}{n!\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(n+\gamma)} z^n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0, \\
 & \quad z \in D(0,1);
 \end{aligned}$$

where Γ is *Gamma function*.

REMARK 2.4. Now, if we take $h(z) = \frac{1}{1-z}$, $z \in D(0,1)$, then

$$\lambda_r(t) = \ln \left[\frac{1 - r \exp(-t)}{1 - r} \right] \tag{23}$$

is nonnegative, increasing and subadditive on $[0, \infty)$ for any $r \in (0, 1)$.

If we take $h(z) = \exp(z)$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$ then

$$\lambda_r(t) = r [1 - \exp(-t)] \tag{24}$$

is nonnegative, increasing and subadditive on $[0, \infty)$ for any $r > 0$.

COROLLARY 2.5 ([24]). Let $h(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ be a power series with nonnegative coefficients $a_n \geq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and convergent on the open disk $D(0, R)$ with $R > 0$ or $R = \infty$ and $r \in (0, R)$. For a mapping $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined on convex subset C of a linear space X , the following statements are equivalent:

(i) The function f is λ_r -convex with $\lambda_r : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$,

$$\lambda_r(t) := \ln \left[\frac{h(r)}{h(r \exp(-t))} \right];$$

(ii) We have the inequality

$$\left[\frac{h(r)}{h(r \exp(-\alpha - \beta))} \right]^{f\left(\frac{\alpha x + \beta y}{\alpha + \beta}\right)} \leq \left[\frac{h(r)}{h(r \exp(-\alpha))} \right]^{f(x)} \left[\frac{h(r)}{h(r \exp(-\beta))} \right]^{f(y)} \quad (25)$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \beta > 0$ and $x, y \in C$.

(iii) We have the inequality

$$\frac{[h(r \exp(-\alpha))]^{f(x)} [h(r \exp(-\beta))]^{f(y)}}{[h(r \exp(-\alpha - \beta))]^{f\left(\frac{\alpha x + \beta y}{\alpha + \beta}\right)}} \leq [h(r)]^{f(x) + f(y) - f\left(\frac{\alpha x + \beta y}{\alpha + \beta}\right)} \quad (26)$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \beta > 0$ and $x, y \in C$.

REMARK 2.6. We observe that, in the case when

$$\lambda_r(t) = r[1 - \exp(-t)], \quad t \geq 0,$$

then the function f is λ_r -convex on convex subset C of a linear space X iff

$$f\left(\frac{\alpha x + \beta y}{\alpha + \beta}\right) \leq \frac{[1 - \exp(-\alpha)] f(x) + [1 - \exp(-\beta)] f(y)}{1 - \exp(-\alpha - \beta)} \quad (27)$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \beta > 0$ and $x, y \in C$.

We observe that this definition is independent of $r > 0$.

The inequality (27) is equivalent with

$$f\left(\frac{\alpha x + \beta y}{\alpha + \beta}\right) \leq \frac{\exp(\beta) [\exp(\alpha) - 1] f(x) + \exp(\alpha) [\exp(\beta) - 1] f(y)}{\exp(\alpha + \beta) - 1} \quad (28)$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \beta > 0$ and $x, y \in C$.

We can give now more examples of subadditive functions that can be used to define λ -convex mappings on linear spaces.

Let $I = (0, \infty)$ or $[0, \infty)$. A function $h : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called *superadditive* (*subadditive*) on I if

(iii) $h(t + s) \geq (\leq) h(t) + h(s)$ for any $t, s \in I$

and *nonnegative (strictly positive)* on I if, obviously, it satisfies

(iv) $h(t) \geq (>) 0$ for each $t \in I$.

The following result holds:

THEOREM 2.7. *If $h : I \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a superadditive (subadditive) function on I and $p \geq 1$ ($0 < p < 1$) then the function*

$$\Psi_p : I \rightarrow [0, \infty), \Psi_p(t) = t^{1-\frac{1}{p}}h(t) \tag{29}$$

is superadditive (subadditive) on I .

Proof. First of all we observe that the following elementary inequality holds:

$$(\alpha + \beta)^p \geq (\leq) \alpha^p + \beta^p \tag{30}$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ and $p \geq 1$ ($0 < p < 1$).

Indeed, if we consider the function $f_p : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f_p(t) = (t + 1)^p - t^p$ we have $f'_p(t) = p[(t + 1)^{p-1} - t^{p-1}]$. Observe that for $p > 1$ and $t > 0$ we have that $f'_p(t) > 0$ showing that f_p is strictly increasing on the interval $[0, \infty)$. Now for $t = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ ($\beta > 0, \alpha \geq 0$) we have $f_p(t) > f_p(0)$ giving that $\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} + 1\right)^p - \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^p > 1$, i.e., the desired inequality (30).

For $p \in (0, 1)$ we have that f_p is strictly decreasing on $[0, \infty)$ which proves the second case in (30).

Now, if h is superadditive (subadditive) and $p \geq 1$ ($0 < p < 1$) then we have by (30) that

$$h^p(t + s) \geq (\leq) [h(t) + h(s)]^p \geq (\leq) h^p(t) + h^p(s) \tag{31}$$

for all $t, s \in I$. Utilising (31) we have for any $t, s \in I$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{h^p(t + s)}{t + s} &\geq (\leq) \frac{h^p(t) + h^p(s)}{t + s} = \frac{t \cdot \frac{h^p(t)}{t} + s \cdot \frac{h^p(s)}{s}}{t + s} \\ &= \frac{t \cdot \left[\frac{h(t)}{t^{1/p}}\right]^p + s \cdot \left[\frac{h(s)}{s^{1/p}}\right]^p}{t + s} =: I. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Since for $p \geq 1$ ($0 < p < 1$) the power function $g(t) = t^p$ is convex (concave), then

$$I \geq (\leq) \left[\frac{t \cdot \frac{h(t)}{t^{1/p}} + s \cdot \frac{h(s)}{s^{1/p}}}{t + s} \right]^p = \left[\frac{h(t)t^{1-1/p} + h(s)s^{1-1/p}}{t + s} \right]^p \tag{33}$$

for any $t, s \in I$.

By combining (32) with (23) we get

$$\frac{h^p(t+s)}{t+s} \geq (\leq) \left[\frac{h(t)t^{1-1/p} + h(s)s^{1-1/p}}{t+s} \right]^p,$$

which is equivalent with

$$\frac{h(t+s)}{(t+s)^{1/p}} \geq (\leq) \frac{h(t)t^{1-1/p} + h(s)s^{1-1/p}}{t+s}$$

i.e., by multiplying with $t+s$,

$$\Psi_p(t+s) \geq (\leq) \Psi_p(t) + \Psi_p(s)$$

for any $t, s \in I$ and the proof is complete. \square

COROLLARY 2.8. *If $h : I \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a superadditive (subadditive) function on I and $p, q \geq 1$ ($0 < p, q < 1$) then the two parameter function*

$$\Psi_{p,q} : I \rightarrow [0, \infty), \Psi_{p,q}(t) = t^{q(1-\frac{1}{p})} h^q(t) \quad (34)$$

is superadditive (subadditive) on I .

Proof. Observe that $\Psi_{p,q}(t) = [\Psi_p(t)]^q$ for $t \in I$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.7 and the inequality (30) for $q \geq 1$ ($0 < q < 1$) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{p,q}(t+s) &= [\Psi_p(t+s)]^q \geq (\leq) [\Psi_p(t) + \Psi_p(s)]^q \\ &\geq (\leq) [\Psi_p(t)]^q + [\Psi_p(s)]^q = \Psi_{p,q}(t) + \Psi_{p,q}(s) \end{aligned}$$

for any $t, s \in I$ and the statement is proved. \square

REMARK 2.9. *If we consider the function $\psi_p(t) := t^{p-1}h^p(t)$ then for $p \geq 1$ ($0 < p < 1$) and $h : I \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ a superadditive (subadditive) function on I , the function ψ_p is also superadditive (subadditive) on I .*

The following result also holds:

THEOREM 2.10. *If $h : I \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a superadditive function on I and $0 < m < 1$, then the function*

$$\Phi_p : I \rightarrow [0, \infty), \Phi_p(t) = \frac{t^{1-\frac{1}{m}}}{h(t)} \quad (35)$$

is subadditive on I .

Proof. Let $m := -p \in [-1, 0)$. For $m < 0$ we have the following inequality

$$(\alpha + \beta)^m \leq \alpha^m + \beta^m \tag{36}$$

for any $\alpha, \beta > 0$. Indeed, by the convexity of the function $f_s(t) = t^m$ on $(0, \infty)$ with $m < 0$ we have that

$$(\alpha + \beta)^m \leq 2^{m-1}(\alpha^m + \beta^m)$$

for any $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and since, obviously, $2^{m-1}(\alpha^m + \beta^m) \leq \alpha^m + \beta^m$, then (36) holds true.

Taking into account that h is superadditive, then by (36) we have

$$h^m(t + s) \leq [h(t) + h(s)]^m \leq h^m(t) + h^m(s) \tag{37}$$

for any $t, s \in I$. By (36) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{h^m(t + s)}{t + s} &\leq \frac{h^m(t) + h^m(s)}{t + s} \\ &= \frac{t \cdot \left[\frac{h(t)}{t^{1/m}}\right]^m + s \cdot \left[\frac{h(s)}{s^{1/m}}\right]^m}{t + s} \\ &= \frac{t \cdot \left[\frac{t^{1/m}}{h(t)}\right]^{-m} + s \cdot \left[\frac{s^{1/m}}{h(s)}\right]^{-m}}{t + s} =: J. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

By the concavity of the function $g(t) = t^{-m}$ with $m \in [-1, 0)$ we also have

$$J \leq \left[\frac{t \cdot \frac{t^{1/m}}{h(t)} + s \cdot \frac{s^{1/m}}{h(s)}}{t + s} \right]^{-m}. \tag{39}$$

Making use of (38) and (39) we get

$$\frac{h^m(t + s)}{t + s} \leq \left[\frac{t \cdot \frac{t^{1/m}}{h(t)} + s \cdot \frac{s^{1/m}}{h(s)}}{t + s} \right]^{-m}$$

for any $t, s \in I$, which is equivalent to

$$\frac{h^{-1}(t + s)}{(t + s)^{-1/m}} \leq \frac{\frac{t^{1+1/m}}{h(t)} + \frac{s^{1+1/m}}{h(s)}}{t + s}$$

and, with

$$\frac{(t + s)^{1+1/m}}{h(t + s)} \leq \frac{t^{1+1/m}}{h(t)} + \frac{s^{1+1/m}}{h(s)}$$

for any $t, s \in I$.

This completes the proof. □

The following result may be stated as well:

COROLLARY 2.11. *If $h : I \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a superadditive function on I and $0 < p, q < 1$ then the two parameter function*

$$\Phi_{p,q} : I \rightarrow [0, \infty), \Phi_{p,q}(t) = \frac{t^{q(1-\frac{1}{p})}}{h^q(t)} \quad (40)$$

is subadditive on I .

Proof. Observe that $\Phi_{p,q}(t) = [\Phi_p(t)]^q$ for $t \in I$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.10 and the inequality (30) for $0 < q < 1$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{p,q}(t+s) &= [\Phi_p(t+s)]^q \leq [\Phi_p(t) + \Phi_p(s)]^q \\ &\leq [\Phi_p(t)]^q + [\Phi_p(s)]^q = \Phi_{p,q}(t) + \Phi_{p,q}(s) \end{aligned}$$

for any $t, s \in I$ and the statement is proved. \square

REMARK 2.12. *If we consider the function $\varphi_p(t) := \frac{t^{p-1}}{h^p(t)}$ then for $0 < p < 1$ and $h : I \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ a superadditive function on I , the function ψ_p is subadditive on I .*

3. Jensen's type inequalities

The following inequality of Jensen's type holds:

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $\lambda : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function with the property that $\lambda(t) > 0$ for all $t > 0$ and a mapping $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined on convex subset C of a linear space X . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) f is λ -convex on C ;
- (ii) For all $x_i \in C$ and $p_i \geq 0$ with $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $n \geq 2$ so that $P_n > 0$ we have the inequality

$$f\left(\frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i\right) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda(P_n)} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda(p_i) f(x_i). \quad (41)$$

Proof. "(ii) \Rightarrow (i)". Follows for $n = 2$.

"(i) \Rightarrow (ii)". For $n = 2$ the inequality (30) follows by the Definition 2.1.

Assume that the inequality (41) is true for $2, \dots, n-1$ ($n \geq 3$) and let prove it for n .

Let $p_i \geq 0$ with $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $n \geq 3$ so that $P_n > 0$. If $P_{n-1} = 0$, then $p_1 = \dots = p_{n-1} = 0$ and $p_n > 0$ and the inequality (41) becomes

$$f(x_n) \leq \frac{\lambda(0)(f(x_1) + \dots + f(x_{n-1})) + \lambda(p_n)f(x_n)}{\lambda(p_n)},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\lambda(0) (f(x_1) + \dots + f(x_{n-1})) \geq 0. \tag{42}$$

Since f is λ -convex on C then for $\beta > 0$ and $x \in C$ we have

$$f\left(\frac{0x + \beta y}{0 + \beta}\right) \leq \frac{\lambda(0) f(x) + \lambda(\beta) f(y)}{\lambda(\beta)}$$

from where we get

$$\frac{\lambda(0) f(x)}{\lambda(\beta)} \geq 0$$

and since $\lambda(\beta) > 0$ we get $\lambda(0) f(x) \geq 0$. This implies that the inequality (42) is true for any $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \in C$.

Now, let assume that $P_{n-1} > 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i\right) &= f\left(\frac{P_{n-1} \cdot \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_i x_i + p_n x_n}{P_{n-1} + p_n}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda(P_{n-1}) f\left(\frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_i x_i\right) + \lambda(p_n) f(x_n)}{\lambda(P_n)}. \end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis we have

$$f\left(\frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_i x_i\right) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda(P_{n-1})} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda(p_i) f(x_i)$$

and thus, by the above inequality, we can state that

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i\right) &\leq \frac{\lambda(P_{n-1}) \frac{1}{\lambda(P_{n-1})} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda(p_i) f(x_i) + \lambda(p_n) f(x_n)}{\lambda(P_n)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda(P_n)} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda(p_i) f(x_i), \end{aligned}$$

and the theorem is thus proved. □

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a λ -convex function on C and $\alpha_i \in [0, 1]$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$. Then for any $x_i \in C$ with $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we have the inequality*

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i\right) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda(1)} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda(\alpha_i) f(x_i). \tag{43}$$

In particular, we have

$$f\left(\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_n}{n}\right) \leq c(n) \frac{f(x_1) + \dots + f(x_n)}{n} \quad (44)$$

where

$$c(n) := \frac{n\lambda\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)}{\lambda(1)}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

We have the following version of Jensen's inequality:

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a λ -convex function on C and $x_i \in C$ and $p_i \geq 0$ with $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $n \geq 2$ so that $P_n > 0$. Then we have the inequality*

$$f\left(\frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i\right) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda(1)} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda\left(\frac{p_i}{P_n}\right) f(x_i). \quad (45)$$

The proof follows by (43) for $\alpha_i = \frac{p_i}{P_n}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

COROLLARY 3.4. *Let $h(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ a power series with nonnegative coefficients $a_n \geq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and convergent on the open disk $D(0, R)$ with $R > 0$ or $R = \infty$. For a mapping $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined on convex subset C of a linear space X , the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *The function f is λ_r -convex with $\lambda_r : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$*

$$\lambda_r(t) := \ln \left[\frac{h(r)}{h(r \exp(-t))} \right]$$

on C ;

(ii) *We have the inequality*

$$\left[\frac{h(r)}{h(r \exp(-P_n))} \right]^{f\left(\frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i\right)} \leq \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{h(r)}{h(r \exp(-p_i))} \right]^{f(x_i)} \quad (46)$$

for any $x_i \in C$ and $p_i \geq 0$ with $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $n \geq 2$ so that $P_n > 0$.

Now, let define the mapping:

$$J(I, p, x, f) := \sum_{i \in I} \lambda(p_i) f(x_i) - \lambda(P_I) f\left(\frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i x_i\right),$$

where $p := (p_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \geq 0$, $I \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{N}) := \{I \subset \mathbb{N} \mid I \text{ is finite}\}$, $x := (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C$ and $P_I := \sum_{i \in I} p_i > 0$.

THEOREM 3.5. *Assume that $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a λ -convex function on C and p, x are as above. Then*

(i) *For all $I, K \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{N}) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ with $I \cap K = \emptyset$ we have the inequality*

$$J(I \cup K, p, x, f) \geq J(I, p, x, f) + J(K, p, x, f) \geq 0, \tag{47}$$

i.e. the mapping $J(\cdot, p, x, f)$ is superadditive as an index set map on $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{N})$;

(ii) *For all $I, K \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{N}) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ with $K \subsetneq I$ one has the inequality*

$$J(I, p, x, f) \geq J(K, p, x, f) \geq 0, \tag{48}$$

i.e. the mapping $J(\cdot, p, x, f)$ is monotonic nondecreasing as an index set map on $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{N})$.

Proof. (i) Let $I, K \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{N}) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ with $I \cap K = \emptyset$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & J(I \cup K, p, x, f) \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} \lambda(p_i) f(x_i) + \sum_{j \in K} \lambda(p_j) f(x_j) \\ &\quad - \lambda(P_I + P_K) f \left[\frac{1}{P_I + P_K} \left(\sum_{i \in I} p_i x_i + \sum_{j \in K} p_j x_j \right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} \lambda(p_i) f(x_i) + \sum_{j \in K} \lambda(p_j) f(x_j) \\ &\quad - \lambda(P_I + P_K) f \left[\frac{P_I}{P_I + P_K} \left(\frac{\sum_{i \in I} p_i x_i}{P_I} \right) + \frac{P_K}{P_I + P_K} \left(\frac{\sum_{j \in K} p_j x_j}{P_K} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

As f is λ -convex function on C , then

$$\begin{aligned} & f \left[\frac{P_I}{P_I + P_K} \left(\frac{\sum_{i \in I} p_i x_i}{P_I} \right) + \frac{P_K}{P_I + P_K} \left(\frac{\sum_{j \in K} p_j x_j}{P_K} \right) \right] \\ & \leq \frac{\lambda(P_I) f \left(\frac{\sum_{i \in I} p_i x_i}{P_I} \right) + \lambda(P_K) f \left(\frac{\sum_{j \in K} p_j x_j}{P_K} \right)}{\lambda(P_I + P_K)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} J(I \cup K, p, x, f) & \geq \sum_{i \in I} \lambda(p_i) f(x_i) + \sum_{j \in K} \lambda(p_j) f(x_j) \\ & \quad - \lambda(P_I) f \left(\frac{\sum_{i \in I} p_i x_i}{P_I} \right) - \lambda(P_K) f \left(\frac{\sum_{j \in K} p_j x_j}{P_K} \right) \\ & = J(I, p, x, f) + J(K, p, x, f) \end{aligned}$$

and the inequality (47) is proved.

(ii) By the use of the inequality (47) we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(I, p, x, f) &= J(K \cup (I \setminus K), p, x, f) \geq J(K, p, x, f) + J(I \setminus K, p, x, f) \\ &\geq J(K, p, x, f) \end{aligned}$$

since $J(I \setminus K, p, x, f) \geq 0$, and the inequality (48) is proved. \square

With the above assumptions, and if $p := (p_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} > 0$ we can consider the sequence

$$J_n(p, x, f) := \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda(p_i) f(x_i) - \lambda(P_n) f\left(\frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i\right), \quad n \geq 2.$$

COROLLARY 3.6. Assume that $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a λ -convex function on C , then

$$J_n(p, x, f) \geq J_{n-1}(p, x, f) \geq \dots \geq J_2(p, x, f) \geq 0 \quad (49)$$

and we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} &J_n(p, x, f) \quad (50) \\ &\geq \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left\{ \lambda(p_i) f(x_i) + \lambda(p_j) f(x_j) - \lambda(p_i + p_j) f\left(\frac{p_i x_i + p_j x_j}{p_i + p_j}\right) \right\} \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \geq 2$.

For a function f that is λ_r -convex on C with $\lambda_r : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and

$$\lambda_r(t) := \ln \left[\frac{h(r)}{h(r \exp(-t))} \right],$$

we can consider the functional

$$Q(I, p, x, f) := \frac{\prod_{i \in I} \left[\frac{h(r)}{h(r \exp(-p_i))} \right]^{f(x_i)}}{\left[\frac{h(r)}{h(r \exp(-P_I))} \right]^{f\left(\frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i x_i\right)}},$$

where $p := (p_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \geq 0$, $I \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{N}) := \{I \subset \mathbb{N} \mid I \text{ is finite}\}$, $x := (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C$ and $P_I := \sum_{i \in I} p_i > 0$.

COROLLARY 3.7. Assume that $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a λ_r -convex function on C and p, x are as above. Then

(i) For all $I, K \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{N}) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ with $I \cap K = \emptyset$ we have the inequality

$$Q(I \cup K, p, x, f) \geq Q(I, p, x, f) Q(K, p, x, f), \tag{51}$$

i.e. the mapping $Q(\cdot, p, x, f)$ is supermultiplicative as an index set map on $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{N})$;

(ii) For all $I, K \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{N}) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ with $K \subsetneq I$ one has the inequality

$$Q(I, p, x, f) \geq Q(K, p, x, f) \geq 1. \tag{52}$$

The proof follows by Theorem 3.5 on observing that

$$\ln Q(I, p, x, f) = J(I, p, x, f)$$

for $\lambda = \lambda_r$. In particular, if we consider the sequence

$$Q_n(p, x, f) := \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{h(r)}{h(r \exp(-p_i))} \right]^{f(x_i)}}{\left[\frac{h(r)}{h(r \exp(-P_n))} \right]^{f\left(\frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i\right)}}, \quad n \geq 2$$

then by Corollary 3.6 we have that

$$Q_n(p, x, f) \geq Q_{n-1}(p, x, f) \geq \dots \geq Q_2(p, x, f) \geq 1 \tag{53}$$

and

$$Q_n(p, x, f) \geq \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left\{ \frac{\left[\frac{h(r)}{h(r \exp(-p_i))} \right]^{f(x_i)} \left[\frac{h(r)}{h(r \exp(-p_j))} \right]^{f(x_j)}}{\left[\frac{h(r)}{h(r \exp(-p_i - p_j))} \right]^{f\left(\frac{1}{p_i + p_j} (p_i x_i + p_j x_j)\right)}} \right\} \geq 1. \tag{54}$$

REMARK 3.8. If the function $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a λ -convex function on C with

$$\lambda_r(t) = 1 - \exp(-t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

then for any $x_i \in C$ and $p_i \geq 0$ with $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $n \geq 2$ so that $P_n > 0$ we have the Jensen's type inequality

$$f\left(\frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i\right) \leq \frac{1}{1 - \exp(-P_n)} \sum_{i=1}^n [1 - \exp(-p_i)] f(x_i). \tag{55}$$

If $\alpha_i \in [0, 1]$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$, then for any $x_i \in C$ with $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we also have the inequality

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i\right) \leq \frac{e}{e-1} \sum_{i=1}^n [1 - \exp(-\alpha_i)] f(x_i). \tag{56}$$

Finally, if $p_i \geq 0$ with $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $n \geq 2$ so that $P_n > 0$, then for any $x_i \in C$ with $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we have the inequality:

$$f\left(\frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i\right) \leq \frac{e}{e-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{p_i}{P_n}\right)\right] f(x_i). \quad (57)$$

4. Inequalities for double sums

We have the following result:

THEOREM 4.1. *Let $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a λ -convex function on C and $x_i \in C$ and $p_i \geq 0$ with $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $n \geq 2$ so that $P_n > 0$. For $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \beta > 0$ we have the inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\frac{\lambda(\alpha)}{\lambda(\alpha + \beta)} + \frac{\lambda(\beta)}{\lambda(\alpha + \beta)} \right] \frac{1}{\lambda(P_n)} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda(p_i) f(x_i) \frac{1}{\lambda(P_n)} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda(p_i) \quad (58) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{\lambda^2(P_n)} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda(p_i) \lambda(p_j) f\left(\frac{\alpha x_i + \beta x_j}{\alpha + \beta}\right) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{\lambda(P_n)} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda(p_i) f\left(\frac{\alpha x_i + \beta \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j}{\alpha + \beta}\right) \geq f\left(\frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From the λ -convexity of the function f on C we have

$$\frac{\lambda(\alpha) f(x_i) + \lambda(\beta) f(x_j)}{\lambda(\alpha + \beta)} \geq f\left(\frac{\alpha x_i + \beta x_j}{\alpha + \beta}\right) \quad (59)$$

for any $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. If we multiply (59) by

$$\frac{\lambda(p_i) \lambda(p_j)}{\lambda^2(P_n)} \geq 0, \quad i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

and sum over i and j from 1 to n we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \left[\frac{\lambda(\alpha)}{\lambda(\alpha + \beta)} f(x_i) + \frac{\lambda(\beta)}{\lambda(\alpha + \beta)} f(x_j) \right] \frac{\lambda(p_i) \lambda(p_j)}{\lambda^2(P_n)} \quad (60) \\ & \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\lambda(p_i) \lambda(p_j)}{\lambda^2(P_n)} f\left(\frac{\alpha x_i + \beta x_j}{\alpha + \beta}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \left[\frac{\lambda(\alpha)}{\lambda(\alpha + \beta)} f(x_i) + \frac{\lambda(\beta)}{\lambda(\alpha + \beta)} f(x_j) \right] \frac{\lambda(p_i) \lambda(p_j)}{\lambda^2(P_n)} \\ &= \frac{\lambda(\alpha)}{\lambda(\alpha + \beta)} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\lambda(p_i) \lambda(p_j)}{\lambda^2(P_n)} f(x_i) + \frac{\lambda(\beta)}{\lambda(\alpha + \beta)} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\lambda(p_i) \lambda(p_j)}{\lambda^2(P_n)} f(x_j) \\ &= \frac{\lambda(\alpha)}{\lambda(\alpha + \beta)} \frac{1}{\lambda^2(P_n)} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda(p_i) f(x_i) \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda(p_j) \\ &+ \frac{\lambda(\beta)}{\lambda(\alpha + \beta)} \frac{1}{\lambda^2(P_n)} \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda(p_j) f(x_j) \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda(p_i) \\ &= \left[\frac{\lambda(\alpha)}{\lambda(\alpha + \beta)} + \frac{\lambda(\beta)}{\lambda(\alpha + \beta)} \right] \frac{1}{\lambda(P_n)} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda(p_i) f(x_i) \frac{1}{\lambda(P_n)} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda(p_i), \end{aligned}$$

then by (60) we get the first inequality in (58).

By the Jensen inequality we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\lambda(P_n)} \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda(p_j) f\left(\frac{\alpha x_i + \beta x_j}{\alpha + \beta}\right) &\geq f\left(\frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \left(\frac{\alpha x_i + \beta x_j}{\alpha + \beta}\right)\right) \\ &= f\left(\frac{\alpha x_i + \beta \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j}{\alpha + \beta}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

If we multiply this inequality by $\frac{\lambda(p_i)}{\lambda(P_n)}$ and sum over i from 1 to n we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\lambda^2(P_n)} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda(p_i) \lambda(p_j) f\left(\frac{\alpha x_i + \beta x_j}{\alpha + \beta}\right) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{\lambda(P_n)} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda(p_i) f\left(\frac{\alpha x_i + \beta \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j}{\alpha + \beta}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and the second inequality in (58) is proved.

If we apply Jensen inequality again we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\lambda(P_n)} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda(p_i) f\left(\frac{\alpha x_i + \beta \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j}{\alpha + \beta}\right) \\ & \geq f\left(\frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \left(\frac{\alpha x_i + \beta \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j}{\alpha + \beta}\right)\right) = f\left(\frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i\right) \end{aligned}$$

and the last part of (58) is proved. □

COROLLARY 4.2. Let $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a λ -convex function on C and $x_i \in C$ and $p_i \geq 0$ with $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $n \geq 2$ so that $P_n > 0$. We have the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{\alpha > 0} \left(\frac{2\lambda(\alpha)}{\lambda(2\alpha)} \right) \frac{1}{\lambda(P_n)} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda(p_i) f(x_i) \frac{1}{\lambda(P_n)} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda(p_i) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{\lambda^2(P_n)} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda(p_i) \lambda(p_j) f\left(\frac{x_i + x_j}{2}\right) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{\lambda(P_n)} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda(p_i) f\left(\frac{x_i + \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j}{2}\right) \geq f\left(\frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i\right). \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

We have the following result as well:

THEOREM 4.3. Let $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a λ -convex function on C and $x_i \in C$ and $p_i \geq 0$ with $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $n \geq 2$ so that $P_n > 0$. For $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \beta > 0$ we have the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\frac{\lambda(\alpha)}{\lambda(\alpha + \beta)} + \frac{\lambda(\beta)}{\lambda(\alpha + \beta)} \right] \frac{1}{\lambda(P_n^2)} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda(p_i p_j) f(x_i) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{\lambda(P_n^2)} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda(p_i p_j) f\left(\frac{\alpha x_i + \beta x_j}{\alpha + \beta}\right) \geq f\left(\frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i\right). \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

Proof. From the λ -convexity of the function f on C we have

$$\frac{\lambda(\alpha) f(x_i) + \lambda(\beta) f(x_j)}{\lambda(\alpha + \beta)} \geq f\left(\frac{\alpha x_i + \beta x_j}{\alpha + \beta}\right) \quad (63)$$

for any $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. If we multiply (63) by

$$\frac{\lambda(p_i p_j)}{\lambda(P_n^2)} \geq 0, \quad i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

and sum over i and j from 1 to n we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\lambda(P_n^2)} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda(p_i p_j) \left[\frac{\lambda(\alpha) f(x_i) + \lambda(\beta) f(x_j)}{\lambda(\alpha + \beta)} \right] \\ & \geq \frac{1}{\lambda(P_n^2)} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda(p_i p_j) f\left(\frac{\alpha x_i + \beta x_j}{\alpha + \beta}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda(p_i p_j) \left[\frac{\lambda(\alpha) f(x_i) + \lambda(\beta) f(x_j)}{\lambda(\alpha + \beta)} \right] \\ &= \frac{\lambda(\alpha)}{\lambda(\alpha + \beta)} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda(p_i p_j) f(x_i) + \frac{\lambda(\beta)}{\lambda(\alpha + \beta)} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda(p_i p_j) f(x_j) \end{aligned}$$

and since

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda(p_i p_j) f(x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda(p_i p_j) f(x_j)$$

then we get from (64) the first inequality in (62).

By Jensen's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\lambda\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n p_i p_j\right)} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda(p_i p_j) f\left(\frac{\alpha x_i + \beta x_j}{\alpha + \beta}\right) \\ & \geq f\left(\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n p_i p_j} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n p_i p_j \left(\frac{\alpha x_i + \beta x_j}{\alpha + \beta}\right)\right) \\ & = f\left(\frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i\right) \end{aligned}$$

and the last part of (62) is thus proved. □

COROLLARY 4.4. *Let $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a λ -convex function on C and $x_i \in C$ and $p_i \geq 0$ with $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $n \geq 2$ so that $P_n > 0$. We have the inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{\alpha > 0} \left(\frac{2\lambda(\alpha)}{\lambda(2\alpha)} \right) \frac{1}{\lambda(P_n^2)} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda(p_i p_j) f(x_i) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{\lambda(P_n^2)} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda(p_i p_j) f\left(\frac{x_i + x_j}{2}\right) \geq f\left(\frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i\right). \end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

It is known that if $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed linear space, then the function $f(x) = \|x\|^s$, $s \in (0, 1)$ is Breckner s -convex on X .

If $x_i \in X$ and $p_i \geq 0$ with $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $n \geq 2$ so that $P_n > 0$, then

from (61) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2^{1-s} \frac{1}{P_n^s} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^s \frac{1}{P_n^s} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^s \|x_i\|^s & (66) \\
 & \geq \frac{1}{P_n^{2s}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n p_i^s p_j^s \left\| \frac{x_i + x_j}{2} \right\|^s \\
 & \geq \frac{1}{P_n^s} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^s \left\| \frac{x_i + \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j}{2} \right\|^s \geq \left\| \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \right\|^s.
 \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] M. ALOMARI AND M. DARUS, *The Hadamard's inequality for s -convex function*, Int. J. Math. Anal. (Ruse) **2** (2008), no. 13-16, 639–646.
- [2] M. ALOMARI AND M. DARUS, *Hadamard-type inequalities for s -convex functions*, Int. Math. Forum **3** (2008), no. 37-40, 1965–1975.
- [3] G. A. ANASTASSIOU, *Univariate Ostrowski inequalities, revisited*, Monatsh. Math., **135** (2002), no. 3, 175–189.
- [4] N. S. BARNETT, P. CERONE, S. S. DRAGOMIR, M. R. PINHEIRO AND A. SOFO, *Ostrowski type inequalities for functions whose modulus of the derivatives are convex and applications*, Inequality Theory and Applications, Vol. 2 (Chinju/Masan, 2001), 19–32, Nova Sci. Publ., Hauppauge, NY, 2003. Preprint: RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. **5** (2002), No. 2, Art. 1. Available online: <http://rgmia.org/papers/v5n2/Paperwapp2q.pdf>.
- [5] E. F. BECKENBACH, *Convex functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **54**(1948), 439–460.
- [6] M. BOMBARDELLI AND S. VAROŠANEC, *Properties of h -convex functions related to the Hermite-Hadamard-Fejér inequalities*, Comput. Math. Appl. **58** (2009), no. 9, 1869–1877.
- [7] W. SOMERSET AND W. BRECKNER, *Stetigkeitsaussagen für eine Klasse verallgemeinerter konvexer Funktionen in topologischen linearen Räumen*, (German) Publ. Inst. Math (Beograd) (N.S.) **23(37)** (1978), 13–20.
- [8] W. W. BRECKNER AND G. ORBÁN, *Continuity properties of rationally s -convex mappings with values in an ordered topological linear space*, Universitatea "Babeş-Bolyai", Facultatea de Matematica, Cluj-Napoca, 1978. viii+92 pp.
- [9] P. CERONE AND S. S. DRAGOMIR, *Midpoint-type rules from an inequalities point of view*, Ed. G. A. Anastassiou, Handbook of Analytic-Computational Methods in Applied Mathematics, CRC Press, New York, 135–200.
- [10] P. CERONE AND S. S. DRAGOMIR, *New bounds for the three-point rule involving the Riemann-Stieltjes integrals*, in Advances in Statistics Combinatorics and Related Areas, C. Gulati, et al. (Eds.), World Science Publishing, 2002, 53–62.
- [11] P. CERONE, S. S. DRAGOMIR AND J. ROUMELIOTIS, *Some Ostrowski type inequalities for n -time differentiable mappings and applications*, Demonstratio Mathematica, **32**(2) (1999), 697–712.

- [12] G. CRISTESCU, *Hadamard type inequalities for convolution of h -convex functions*, Ann. Tiberiu Popoviciu Semin. Funct. Equ. Approx. Convexity **8** (2010), 3–11.
- [13] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *Ostrowski's inequality for monotonous mappings and applications*, J. KSIAM **3**(1) (1999), 127–135.
- [14] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *The Ostrowski's integral inequality for Lipschitzian mappings and applications*, Comp. Math. Appl. **38** (1999), 33–37.
- [15] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *On the Ostrowski's inequality for Riemann-Stieltjes integral*, Korean J. Appl. Math. **7** (2000), 477–485.
- [16] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *On the Ostrowski's inequality for mappings of bounded variation and applications*, Math. Ineq. Appl. **4**(1) (2001), 33–40.
- [17] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *On the Ostrowski inequality for Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_a^b f(t) du(t)$ where f is of Hölder type and u is of bounded variation and applications*, J. KSIAM, **5**(1) (2001), 35–45.
- [18] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *Ostrowski type inequalities for isotonic linear functionals*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., **3**(5) (2002), Art. 68.
- [19] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *An inequality improving the first Hermite-Hadamard inequality for convex functions defined on linear spaces and applications for semi-inner products*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **3** (2002), No. 2, Article 31.
- [20] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *An inequality improving the second Hermite-Hadamard inequality for convex functions defined on linear spaces and applications for semi-inner products*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **3** (2002), No.3, Article 35.
- [21] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *An Ostrowski like inequality for convex functions and applications*, Revista Math. Complutense, **16**(2) (2003), 373–382.
- [22] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *Operator Inequalities of Ostrowski and Trapezoidal Type*, Springer Briefs in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2012. x+112 pp.
- [23] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *Bounds for the normalised Jensen functional*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **74** (2006), 471–478.
- [24] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *Inequalities of Hermite-Hadamard type for λ -convex functions on linear spaces*, Preprint RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. **17** (2014), Art. 13. Available online: <http://rgmia.org/papers/v17/v17a13.pdf>.
- [25] S. S. DRAGOMIR, P. CERONE, J. ROUMELIOTIS AND S. WANG, *A weighted version of Ostrowski inequality for mappings of Hölder type and applications in numerical analysis*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie **42(90)**(4) (1999), 301–314.
- [26] S.S. DRAGOMIR AND S. FITZPATRICK, *The Hadamard inequalities for s -convex functions in the second sense*, Demonstratio Math. **32** (1999), no. 4, 687–696.
- [27] S.S. DRAGOMIR AND S. FITZPATRICK, *The Jensen inequality for s -Breckner convex functions in linear spaces*. Demonstratio Math. **33** (2000), no. 1, 43–49.
- [28] S. S. DRAGOMIR AND B. MOND, *On Hadamard's inequality for a class of functions of Godunova and Levin*, Indian J. Math. **39** (1997), no. 1, 1–9.
- [29] S. S. DRAGOMIR AND C. E. M. PEARCE, *On Jensen's inequality for a class of functions of Godunova and Levin*, Period. Math. Hungar. **33** (1996), no. 2, 93–100.
- [30] S. S. DRAGOMIR AND C. E. M. PEARCE, *Quasi-convex functions and Hadamard's inequality*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **57** (1998), 377–385.

- [31] S. S. DRAGOMIR, J. PEČARIĆ AND L. PERSSON, *Some inequalities of Hadamard type*, Soochow J. Math. **21** (1995), no. 3, 335–341.
- [32] S. S. DRAGOMIR, J. PEČARIĆ AND L. PERSSON, *Properties of some functionals related to Jensen's inequality*, Acta Math. Hungarica **70** (1996), 129–143.
- [33] S. S. DRAGOMIR AND TH. M. RASSIAS (Eds), *Ostrowski Type Inequalities and Applications in Numerical Integration*, Kluwer Academic Publisher, 2002.
- [34] S. S. DRAGOMIR AND S. WANG, *A new inequality of Ostrowski's type in L_1 -norm and applications to some special means and to some numerical quadrature rules*, Tamkang J. of Math. **28** (1997), 239–244.
- [35] S. S. DRAGOMIR AND S. WANG, *Applications of Ostrowski's inequality to the estimation of error bounds for some special means and some numerical quadrature rules*, Appl. Math. Lett. **11** (1998), 105–109.
- [36] S. S. DRAGOMIR AND S. WANG, *A new inequality of Ostrowski's type in L_p -norm and applications to some special means and to some numerical quadrature rules*, Indian J. of Math. **40**(3) (1998), 245–304.
- [37] A. El Farissi, *Simple proof and refinement of Hermite-Hadamard inequality*, J. Math. Ineq. **4** (2010), No. 3, 365–369.
- [38] E. K. GODUNOVA AND V. I. LEVIN, *Inequalities for functions of a broad class that contains convex, monotone and some other forms of functions*, Numerical mathematics and mathematical physics (Russian), 138–142, 166, Moskov. Gos. Ped. Inst., Moscow, 1985
- [39] H. HUDZIK AND L. MALIGRANDA, *Some remarks on s -convex functions*, Aequationes Math **48** (1994), no. 1, 100–111.
- [40] E. KIKIANTY AND S. S. DRAGOMIR, *Hermite-Hadamard's inequality and the p -HH-norm on the Cartesian product of two copies of a normed space*, Math. Inequal. Appl. (in press).
- [41] U. S. KIRMACI, M. KLARIČIĆ BAKULA, M. E. ÖZDEMİR AND J. PEČARIĆ, *Hadamard-type inequalities for s -convex functions*, Appl. Math. Comput. **193** (2007), no. 1, 26–35.
- [42] M. A. LATIF, *On some inequalities for h -convex functions*, Int. J. Math. Anal. (Ruse) **4** (2010), no. 29-32, 1473–1482.
- [43] D. S. MITRINOVIĆ AND I. B. LACKOVIĆ, *Hermite and convexity*, Aequationes Math. **28** (1985), 229–232.
- [44] D. S. MITRINOVIĆ AND J. E. PEČARIĆ, *Note on a class of functions of Godunova and Levin*, C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada **12** (1990), no. 1, 33–36.
- [45] C. E. M. PEARCE AND A. M. RUBINOV, *P -functions, quasi-convex functions, and Hadamard-type inequalities*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **240** (1999), no. 1, 92–104.
- [46] J. E. PEČARIĆ AND S. S. DRAGOMIR, *On an inequality of Godunova-Levin and some refinements of Jensen integral inequality*, Itinerant Seminar on Functional Equations, Approximation and Convexity (Cluj-Napoca, 1989), 263–268, Preprint, 89-6, Univ. "Babeş-Bolyai", Cluj-Napoca, 1989.
- [47] J. PEČARIĆ AND S. S. DRAGOMIR, *A generalization of Hadamard's inequality for isotonic linear functionals*, Radovi Mat. (Sarajevo) **7** (1991), 103–107.
- [48] M. RADULESCU, S. RADULESCU AND P. ALEXANDRESCU, *On the Godunova-Levin-Schur class of functions*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **12** (2009), no. 4, 853–862.
- [49] M. Z. SARIKAYA, A. SAGLAM AND H. YILDIRIM, *On some Hadamard-type in-*

- equalities for h -convex functions*, J. Math. Inequal. **2** (2008), no. 3, 335–341.
- [50] E. SET, M. E. ÖZDEMİR AND M. Z. SARIKAYA, *New inequalities of Ostrowski's type for s -convex functions in the second sense with applications*, Facta Univ. Ser. Math. Inform. **27** (2012), no. 1, 67–82.
- [51] M. Z. SARIKAYA, E. SET AND M. E. ÖZDEMİR, *On some new inequalities of Hadamard type involving h -convex functions*, Acta Math. Univ. Comenian. (N.S.) **79** (2010), no. 2, 265–272.
- [52] M. TUNÇ, *Ostrowski-type inequalities via h -convex functions with applications to special means*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2013**, 2013:326.
- [53] S. VAROŠANEC, *On h -convexity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **326** (2007), no. 1, 303–311.

Author's address:

Sever S. Dragomir
Mathematics, College of Engineering & Science
Victoria University, PO Box 14428
Melbourne City, MC 8001, Australia
E-mail: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

Received March 10, 2015
Revised June 3, 2015