

# Kakutani's Splitting Procedure in Higher Dimension

INGRID CARBONE AND ALJOŠA VOLČIČ<sup>(\*)</sup>

*Dedicated to the memory of Fabio Rossi*

SUMMARY. - *In this paper we will generalize to higher dimension the splitting procedure introduced by Kakutani for  $[0, 1]$ . This method will provide a sequence of nodes belonging to  $[0, 1]^d$  which is uniformly distributed. The advantage of this approach is that it is intrinsically  $d$ -dimensional.*

## 1. Introduction

A partition  $\pi$  of  $I = [0, 1]$  is a finite covering of  $I$  by a family of intervals  $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$ , with  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $t_{i-1} < t_i$ , with pairwise disjoint interiors. In 1976 Kakutani introduced the very interesting notion of *uniformly distributed sequence of partitions* of the interval  $[0, 1]$ .

DEFINITION 1.1. *If  $\pi$  is any partition of  $[0, 1]$ , and  $\alpha \in ]0, 1[$ , its Kakutani's  $\alpha$ -refinement  $\alpha\pi$  is obtained by splitting all the intervals of  $\pi$  having maximal length in two parts, having lengths (left and right) proportional to  $\alpha$  and  $\beta = 1 - \alpha$ , respectively.*

Kakutani's sequence of partitions  $\{\kappa_n\}$  is obtained by successive  $\alpha$ -refinements of the trivial partition  $\omega = \{[0, 1]\}$ . For example, if  $\alpha < \beta$ ,  $\kappa_1 = \{[0, \alpha], [\alpha, 1]\}$ ,  $\kappa_2 = \{[0, \alpha], [\alpha, \alpha + \alpha\beta], [\alpha + \alpha\beta, 1]\}$ , and so on.

---

(\*) Authors' address: Ingrid Carbone and Aljoša Volčič, Dipartimento di Matematica, Università della Calabria, 87036 - Arcavacata di Rende (CS), Italy; E-mail: [i.carbone@unical.it](mailto:i.carbone@unical.it), [volcic@unical.it](mailto:volcic@unical.it)

DEFINITION 1.2. *Given a sequence of partitions  $\{\pi_n\}$ , with*

$$\pi_n = \{[t_{i-1}^n, t_i^n], 1 \leq i \leq k(n)\},$$

*we say that it is uniformly distributed, if for any continuous function  $f$  on  $[0, 1]$  we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k(n)} \sum_{i=1}^{k(n)} f(t_i^n) = \int_0^1 f(t) dt.$$

We denote, as usual, by  $\delta_t$  the Dirac measure concentrated in  $t$ .

REMARK 1.3. *It follows from the definition that uniform distribution of the sequence  $\{\pi_n\}$  is equivalent to the weak convergence of the sequence of measures*

$$\frac{1}{k(n)} \sum_{i=1}^{k(n)} \delta_{t_i^n}$$

*to the Lebesgue measure  $\lambda$  on  $[0, 1]$ .*

REMARK 1.4. *It is obvious that the uniform distribution of the sequence of partitions  $\{\pi_n\}$  is equivalent to each of the following two conditions:*

1. *For any choice of points  $\tau_i \in [t_{i-1}^n, t_i^n]$  we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k(n)} \sum_{i=1}^{k(n)} f(\tau_i^n) = \int_0^1 f(t) dt,$$

*for any continuous function  $f$  on  $[0, 1]$ .*

2. *For any choice of points  $\tau_i \in [t_{i-1}^n, t_i^n]$  the sequence of measures*

$$\frac{1}{k(n)} \sum_{i=1}^{k(n)} \delta_{\tau_i^n}$$

*converges weakly to the Lebesgue measure  $\lambda$  on  $[0, 1]$ .*

The following beautiful theorem is the main result of [5]:

**THEOREM 1.5.** *For any  $\alpha \in ]0, 1[$  the sequence of partitions  $\{\kappa_n\}$  is uniformly distributed.*

This result got a considerable attention in the late seventies, when other authors provided different proofs of Kakutani's theorem and also proved its stochastic version [8]. The paper [1] extended the notion to compact metric spaces, and put in connection to a question raised by De Bruijn and Post, which has been addressed also in [7].

The aim of this paper is to extend Kakutani's splitting procedure to higher dimension.

It is convenient to introduce for later convenience the useful standard notation for the so called " $\alpha$ -dyadic" intervals. Let  $I(\alpha) = [0, \alpha]$  and  $I(\beta) = [\alpha, 1]$ . If  $I(\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m) = [a, b]$  (with  $\gamma_k \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq m$ ), then

$$I(\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m \alpha) = [a, a + \alpha(b - a)]$$

and

$$I(\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m \beta) = [a + \alpha(b - a), b].$$

Naturally  $\lambda(I(\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m)) = \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m = \alpha^p \beta^q$ , where  $p + q = m$  and  $p$  is the number of occurrences of  $\alpha$  among the  $\gamma_k$ 's, while  $q$  is the number of the occurrences of  $\beta$ .

## 2. Splitting the $d$ -dimensional cube

By  $I^d = [0, 1]^d$  we denote the unit cube of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . By a cartesian  $d$ -rectangle (or simply a rectangle) contained in  $I^d$  we always mean a set of the type  $R = \prod_{j=1}^d [a_j, b_j]$ . We denote by  $v_i = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$  the left endpoint of  $R$ .

A partition of  $I^d$  will always mean in this paper a finite collection of rectangles  $\{R_i, 1 \leq i \leq k\}$  as defined above, with disjoint interiors and which cover  $I^d$ .

The following definition is the natural extension of Kakutani's one-dimensional splitting procedure.

**DEFINITION 2.1.** *Fix  $\alpha \in ]0, 1[$ . If  $\pi = \{R_i, 1 \leq i \leq k\}$  is any partition of  $[0, 1]^d$ , its Kakutani's  $\alpha$ -refinement  $\alpha\pi$  is obtained by splitting all the rectangles of  $\pi$  having maximal  $d$ -dimensional measure  $\lambda_d$  in*

two rectangles, dividing in two segments the longest side such that the lower and upper part have length proportional to  $\alpha$  and  $\beta = 1 - \alpha$ , respectively. If the rectangle  $R$  has several sides with the same length, we split the side with the smallest coordinate index  $j$ .

We define now the generalized Kakutani sequence of partitions  $\{\kappa_n^d\}$  of  $I^d$  as the successive  $\alpha$ -refinements of the trivial partition  $\omega = \{I^d\}$ .

The definition of uniformly distributed sequence of partitions extends naturally to higher dimension.

DEFINITION 2.2. *Given a sequence of partitions  $\{\pi_n\}$ , with  $\pi_n = \{R_i^n, 1 \leq i \leq k(n)\}$ , we say that it is uniformly distributed if for any continuous function  $f$  on  $I^d$ , we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k(n)} \sum_{i=1}^{k(n)} f(v_i^n) = \int_{I^d} f(t) dt.$$

As in the previous section, it is possible to allow, in the above expression, other choices of the points  $\sigma_i^n \in R_i^n$  and to express uniform distribution as the weak convergence of

$$\frac{1}{k(n)} \sum_{i=1}^{k(n)} \delta_{\sigma_i^n},$$

for any choice of  $\sigma_i \in R_i^n$ , to the  $d$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure  $\lambda_d$  on  $[0, 1]$ .

Our aim is to prove that the  $d$ -dimensional Kakutani's sequence of partitions  $\{\kappa_n^d\}$  is uniformly distributed. This will be obtained introducing a convenient notation and proving two preparatory lemmas.

Let us begin with the following notation. By  $R(\alpha)$  and  $R(\beta)$  we denote the rectangles  $[0, \alpha] \times [0, 1]^{d-1}$  and  $[\beta, 1] \times [0, 1]^{d-1}$ , respectively. If  $R(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m) = \prod_{i=1}^d [a_i, b_i]$  (with  $\gamma_k \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq m$ ), then we define

$$R(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m \alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} [a_i, b_i] \times [a_j, a_j + \alpha(b_j - a_j)] \times \prod_{i=j+1}^d [a_i, b_i]$$

and

$$R(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m \beta) = \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} [a_i, b_i] \times [a_j + \alpha(b_j - a_j), b_j] \times \prod_{i=j+1}^d [a_i, b_i],$$

if

$$b_j - a_j > b_k - a_k$$

for all  $1 \leq k < j$  and

$$b_j - a_j \geq b_h - a_h$$

for all  $j \leq h \leq d$ .

LEMMA 2.3. *The diameter of the Kakutani partition  $\kappa_n^d$  tends to zero, when  $n$  tends to infinity.*

*Proof.* As in the one-dimensional case, every rectangle of  $\kappa_n^d$  is eventually subdivided in two parts, therefore given any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $n_0$  such that for  $n \geq n_0$  every  $R_i^n$  in  $\kappa_n^d$  results from at least  $md$  splittings. This implies that each side of  $R_i^n$  has length at most  $L^m$ , where  $L = \max\{\alpha, \beta\} < 1$ , and therefore its diameter is smaller than  $L^m \sqrt{d}$ .  $\square$

We have to introduce now in this context a notion which is widely used in the theory of uniformly distributed sequences of points (compare for instance Chapter 3 of [6] or Chapter 1 of [2]).

DEFINITION 2.4. *We say that a class of functions  $\mathcal{F}$  is **determining** for the uniform convergence of partitions whenever, for a given sequence of partitions  $\{\pi_n\}$  ( $\pi_n = \{R_i^n, 1 \leq i \leq k(n)\}$ ), from*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k(n)} \sum_{i=1}^{k(n)} f(v_i^n) = \int_0^1 f(t) dt$$

*for any  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , it follows that  $\{\pi_n\}$  is uniformly distributed.*

By  $\chi_C$  we will denote the characteristic function of  $C$ .

LEMMA 2.5. *Assume  $\{C_n\}$  is a sequence of finite partitions of  $I^d$  whose elements  $C_i^n$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k(n)$ , are rectangles and  $\text{diam } C_n$  tends to zero. Suppose moreover that for each  $C_j^m$  we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k(n)} \sum_{i=1}^{k(n)} \chi_{C_j^m}(v_i^n) = \lambda_d(C_j^m), \tag{1}$$

where  $v_i^n$  is the left endpoint of  $C_i^n$ . Then the family  $\mathcal{F}$  of the characteristic functions of the  $C_i^n$ 's is determining.

*Proof.* It is well known that the family of the characteristic functions of all the rectangles  $R = \prod_{j=1}^d [a_j, b_j]$  is determining. So let  $R \subset I^d$  be a (non degenerate) rectangle and denote by  $B$  the unit ball of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Fix  $\varepsilon \in ]0, 1[$  and let us denote by  $R_\varepsilon = (\cup_{z \in R} (z + \varepsilon B)) \cap I^d$ .

Let  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that for  $n \geq n_0$ ,  $\text{diam } C_n < \varepsilon$ . For such an  $n$ , let  $C_n(R)$  be the collection of all the sets in  $C_n$  intersecting  $R$ , and let us denote by  $C_R$  their union. Then we have  $R \subset C_R \subset R_\varepsilon$  and therefore

$$\lambda_d(R) \leq \lambda_d(C_R) \leq \lambda(R_\varepsilon) \leq \lambda_d(R) + c\varepsilon, \quad (2)$$

where  $c$  is an appropriate constant.

The same inclusions imply that, for arbitrarily small  $\varepsilon$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k(n)} \sum_{i=1}^{k(n)} \chi_R(v_i^n) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k(n)} \sum_{i=1}^{k(n)} \chi_{C_R}(v_i^n) = \lambda_d(C_R) \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k(n)} \sum_{i=1}^{k(n)} \chi_R(v_i^n) + c\varepsilon \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k(n)} \sum_{i=1}^{k(n)} \chi_R(v_i^n) + c\varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The equality in the first line follows from (1). It follows now from (2) and (3) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k(n)} \sum_{i=1}^{k(n)} \chi_R(v_i^n) = \lambda_d(R),$$

for any rectangle  $R \subset I^d$ , and the conclusion follows.  $\square$

We are now in position to prove the main result of this paper.

**THEOREM 2.6.** *The sequence of partitions  $\{\kappa_n^d\}$  introduced in Definition 2.1 is uniformly distributed.*

*Proof.* We apply the previous lemma to the sequence of partitions  $\{\kappa_n^d\}$ . Since by Lemma 2.3 its diameter tends to zero, we only have

to prove that given any  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  and any rectangle  $R = R_j^s$  belonging to  $\kappa_s^d$ , we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k(n)} \sum_{i=1}^{k(n)} \chi_R(v_i^n) = \lambda_d(R). \quad (4)$$

But from the previous discussion we know that  $R_j^s = R(\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m)$  for appropriate values  $\gamma_k \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$ . On the other hand there is a one to one correspondence between the rectangles  $R(\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m)$  showing up in the partitions  $\kappa_n^d$  and the intervals  $I(\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m)$  appearing in the one-dimensional partitions  $\kappa_n$ . Since

$$\lambda_d(R(\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m)) = \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m = \lambda(I(\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m)),$$

the rectangle  $R(\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m)$  is split into  $R(\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m \alpha)$  and  $R(\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m \beta)$  exactly when the interval  $I(\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m)$  undergoes the same procedure. Now Kakutani's theorem says that  $I = I(\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m)$  is subdivided the right number of times, so that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k(n)} \sum_{i=1}^{k(n)} \chi_I(t_i^n) = \lambda(I),$$

and therefore the analogous identity (4) holds for  $R = R(\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m)$ .  $\square$

### 3. Conclusions

The interest of this result is that it is intrinsically  $d$ -dimensional and this may be useful in applications to integration in higher dimension, where it is important (and not very easy) to find good sets of nodes.

Given  $\kappa_n^d$ , the centers of gravity of the rectangles  $R_i^n$  seem to be a convenient choice of nodes.

In a subsequent paper we will compare our results, and other intrinsically multidimensional methods we are developing, with methods which are based on the subdivision of the one-dimensional factors of  $I^d$  as proposed in [3] and [4].

## REFERENCES

- [1] F. CHERSI AND A. VOLČIČ,  *$\lambda$ -equidistributed sequences of partitions and a theorem of the De Bruijn-Post type*, *Annali Mat. Pura e Appl.* **162** (1992), 23–32.
- [2] M. DRMOTA AND R.F. TICHY, *Sequences, discrepancies and applications*, *Lect. Notes in Math.* **1651**, Springer (1997).
- [3] J.H. HALTON, *On the efficiency of certain quasi-random sequences of points in evaluating multi-dimensional integrals*, *Numer. Math.* **2** (1960), 84–90 and Erratum, *ibid.* **2** (1960) 196.
- [4] J.M. HAMMERSLEY, *Monte Carlo methods for solving multivariable problems*, *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.* **86** (1960), 844–874.
- [5] S. KAKUTANI, *A problem on equidistribution on the unit interval  $[0, 1]$* , in *Proceedings of the Oberwolfach conference on measure theory* (1975), *Lecture Notes in Math.* **541**, Springer (1976).
- [6] L. KUIPERS AND H. NIEDERREITER, *Uniform distribution of sequences*, Wiley and Sons (1974).
- [7] S. SALVATI AND A. VOLČIČ, *A quantitative version of the De Bruijn-Post theorem*, *Math. Nach.* **229** (2001), 161–173.
- [8] W.R. VAN ZWET, *A proof of Kakutani's conjecture on random subdivisions of longest intervals*, *Ann. of Prob.*, **6** no. 1 (1978), 133–137.

Received June 11, 2007.