

## On $|A, \delta|_k$ summability factors

EKREM SAVAŞ (\*)

SUMMARY. - *We obtain sufficient conditions for the series  $\sum a_n \lambda_n$  to be absolutely summable of order  $k$  by a triangular matrix.*

Recently, in [6] and [5] Özarıslan and Ögdük generalized a result of Bor [2] by using a normal matrix. Unfortunately they used an incorrect definition of absolute summability by following Bor's definition. In this paper we consider their results by using correct definition (see, [7]).

Also it should be noted that in [1], an incorrect definition of absolute summability was used. Corollary 2 gives the correct version of Bor's theorem. Let  $\{p_n\}$  be a sequence of positive numbers such that

$$P_n := \sum_{\nu=0}^n p_\nu \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, (P_{-1} = p_{-1} = 0).$$

A series  $\sum a_n$  is said to be summable  $|\bar{N}, p, \delta|_k, k \geq 1$  and  $\delta \geq 0$  if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k + k - 1} |t_n - t_{n-1}|^k < \infty,$$

where

$$t_n = \left(\frac{1}{P_n}\right) \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} p_\nu s_\nu.$$

---

(\*) This research was completed while the author was a TUBITAK (the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey) scholar at Indiana University, Bloomington, IN, U.S.A. during the summer of 2006.

Author's address:

Ekrem Savaş, Department of Mathematics, Yüzüncü Yıl University, Van, Turkey;

E-mail: ekremsavas@yahoo.com

Keywords: Absolute Summability, Weighted Mean Matrix, Cesáro Matrix, Summability Factor.

ASM Subject Classification: Primary: 40G99; Secondary: 40G05, 40D15.

Let  $A$  be a lower triangular matrix,  $\{s_n\}$  a sequence. Then

$$A_n := \sum_{\nu=0}^n a_{n\nu} s_\nu.$$

A series  $\sum a_n$  is said to be summable  $|A|_k, k \geq 1$  if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |A_n - A_{n-1}|^k < \infty. \quad (1)$$

and it is said to be summable  $|A, \delta|_k, k \geq 1$  and  $\delta \geq 0$  if (see,[3])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k + k - 1} |A_n - A_{n-1}|^k < \infty. \quad (2)$$

We may associate with  $A$  two lower triangular matrices  $\bar{A}$  and  $\hat{A}$  defined as follows:

$$\bar{a}_{n\nu} = \sum_{r=\nu}^n a_{nr}, \quad n, \nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

and

$$\hat{a}_{n\nu} = \bar{a}_{n\nu} - \bar{a}_{n-1,\nu}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

A triangle is a lower triangular matrix with all nonzero main diagonal entries. For any matrix entry  $a_{n\nu}$ ,  $\Delta_\nu a_{n\nu} = a_{n\nu} - a_{n,\nu+1}$  and also  $\Delta \lambda_i = \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1}$

**THEOREM 0.1.** *Let  $A$  be a lower triangular matrix with non-negative entries satisfying*

- (i)  $\bar{a}_{n0} = 1, n = 0, 1, \dots,$
- (ii)  $a_{n-1,\nu} \geq a_{n\nu}$  for  $n \geq \nu + 1,$
- (iii)  $na_{nn} = O(1), (n \rightarrow \infty),$
- (iv)  $\sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} |\Delta_\nu \hat{a}_{n\nu}| = O\left(\nu^{\delta k} a_{\nu\nu}\right), (m \rightarrow \infty),$  and

$$(v) \sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} \hat{a}_{n,\nu+1} = O(\nu^{\delta k}), (m \rightarrow \infty).$$

If the sequence  $\{s_n\}$  is bounded and  $(\lambda_n)$  is a sequence such that

$$(vi) \sum_{n=1}^m |\Delta \lambda_n| = O(1), (m \rightarrow \infty),$$

$$(vii) \sum_{n=1}^m n^{\delta k} |\Delta \lambda_n| = O(1), (m \rightarrow \infty), \text{ and}$$

$$(viii) \sum_{n=1}^m n^{\delta k-1} |\lambda_n|^k = O(1), (m \rightarrow \infty),$$

then the series  $\sum a_n \lambda_n$  is summable  $|A, \delta|_k, k \geq 1, 0 \leq \delta < 1/k$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(T_n)$  be the  $n$ th term of the A-transform of  $\sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i a_i$ . Then

$$T_n = \sum_{\nu=0}^n a_{n\nu} \sum_{i=0}^{\nu} a_i \lambda_i = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \lambda_i \sum_{\nu=i}^n a_{n\nu} = \sum_{i=0}^n \bar{a}_{ni} a_i \lambda_i.$$

It is from (i),  $\hat{a}_{n,0} = 0$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} T_n - T_{n-1} &= \sum_{i=0}^n \bar{a}_{ni} a_i \lambda_i - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \bar{a}_{n-1,i} a_i \lambda_i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n \bar{a}_{ni} a_i \lambda_i - \sum_{i=0}^n \bar{a}_{n-1,i} a_i \lambda_i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n (\bar{a}_{ni} - \bar{a}_{n-1,i}) a_i \lambda_i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n \hat{a}_{ni} a_i \lambda_i \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{a}_{ni} \lambda_i (s_i - s_{i-1}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{a}_{ni} \lambda_i s_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{a}_{ni} \lambda_i s_{i-1} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{ni} \lambda_i s_i + a_{nn} \lambda_n s_n - \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{a}_{ni} \lambda_i s_{i-1} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{ni} \lambda_i s_i + a_{nn} \lambda_n s_n - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,i+1} \lambda_{i+1} s_i \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\hat{a}_{ni} \lambda_i - \hat{a}_{n,i+1} \lambda_{i+1}) s_i + a_{nn} \lambda_n s_n.
\end{aligned}$$

We may write

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{a}_{ni} \lambda_i - \hat{a}_{n,i+1} \lambda_{i+1} &= \hat{a}_{ni} \lambda_i - \hat{a}_{n,i+1} \lambda_{i+1} - \hat{a}_{n,i+1} \lambda_i + \hat{a}_{n,i+1} \lambda_i \\
&= (\hat{a}_{ni} - \hat{a}_{n,i+1}) \lambda_i + \hat{a}_{n,i+1} (\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1}) \\
&= \lambda_i \Delta_i \hat{a}_{ni} + \hat{a}_{n,i+1} \Delta \lambda_i.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
T_n - T_{n-1} &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \Delta_i \hat{a}_{ni} \lambda_i s_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,i+1} \Delta \lambda_i s_i + a_{nn} \lambda_n s_n \\
&= T_{n1} + T_{n2} + T_{n3}, \quad \text{say.}
\end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof it is sufficient, by Minkowski's inequality, to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k + k - 1} |T_{nr}|^k < \infty, \quad \text{for } r = 1, 2, 3.$$

From the definition of  $\hat{A}$  and  $\bar{A}$ , and using (i) and (ii);

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{a}_{n,i+1} &= \bar{a}_{n,i+1} - \bar{a}_{n-1,i+1} = \sum_{\nu=i+1}^n a_{n\nu} - \sum_{\nu=i+1}^{n-1} a_{n-1,\nu} = \\
&= 1 - \sum_{\nu=0}^i a_{n\nu} - 1 + \sum_{\nu=0}^i a_{n-1,\nu} = \sum_{\nu=0}^i (a_{n-1,\nu} - a_{n,\nu}) \geq 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Using Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} |T_{n1}|^k &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\Delta_i \hat{a}_{ni}| |\lambda_i| |s_i| \right)^k \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\Delta_i \hat{a}_{ni}| |\lambda_i|^k |s_i|^k \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\Delta_i \hat{a}_{ni}| \right)^{k-1} \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_i \hat{a}_{ni} &= \hat{a}_{ni} - \hat{a}_{n,i+1} = \bar{a}_{ni} - \bar{a}_{n-1,i} - \bar{a}_{n,i+1} + \bar{a}_{n-1,i+1} \\ &= a_{ni} - a_{n-1,i} \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using (i),

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\Delta_i \hat{a}_{ni}| = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (a_{n-1,i} - a_{ni}) = 1 - 1 + a_{nn} = a_{nn}.$$

Using the fact that  $\{s_n\}$  is bounded, (iii), and (viii) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} |T_{n1}|^k &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} (na_{nn})^{k-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\Delta_i \hat{a}_{ni}| |\lambda_i|^k |s_i|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} (na_{nn})^{k-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\Delta_i \hat{a}_{ni}| |\lambda_i|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{i=0}^m |\lambda_i|^k \sum_{n=i+1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} (na_{nn})^{k-1} |\Delta_i \hat{a}_{ni}| \\ &= O(1) \sum_{i=0}^m i^{\delta k-1} |\lambda_i|^k \\ &= O(1), (m \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Again using Hölder's inequality, (iii) and (vi) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} |T_{n2}|^k &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,i+1} s_i \Delta \lambda_i \right|^k \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,i+1} |\Delta \lambda_i| |s_i| \right)^k \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,i+1} |\Delta \lambda_i| |s_i|^k \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,i+1} |\Delta \lambda_i| \right)^{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (i)

$$\hat{a}_{n,i+1} = \sum_{\nu=0}^i (a_{n-1,\nu} - a_{n,\nu}) \leq \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} (a_{n-1,\nu} - a_{n,\nu}) = 1 - 1 + a_{nn}.$$

Therefore from (iii),(v) and (vii)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} |T_{n2}|^k &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} (na_{nn})^{k-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,i+1}| |\Delta\lambda_i| |s_i|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{i=1}^m |\Delta\lambda_i| \sum_{n=i+1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} (na_{nn})^{k-1} |\hat{a}_{n,i+1}| \\ &= O(1) \sum_{i=1}^m i^{\delta k} |\Delta\lambda_i|, \\ &= O(1), \quad (m \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Finally we have, using (iii), (viii) and the boundedness of  $(s_n)$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} |T_{n3}|^k &= \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} |a_{nn} \lambda_n s_n|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m n^{\delta k} (na_{nn})^{k-1} a_{nn} |\lambda_n|^k |s_n|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m n^{\delta k-1} |\lambda_n|^k \\ &= O(1), \quad (m \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

□

Setting  $\delta = 0$  in the theorem yields the following corollary:

**COROLLARY 0.2.** *Let  $A$  be a lower triangular matrix with non-negative entries satisfying*

$$(i) \quad \bar{a}_{n0} = 1, n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

$$(ii) \quad a_{n-1,\nu} \geq a_{n\nu} \quad \text{for } n \geq \nu + 1, \text{ and}$$

(iii)  $na_{nn} = O(1), (n \rightarrow \infty)$ .

If the sequence  $\{s_n\}$  is bounded and  $(\lambda_n)$  is a sequence such that

(iv)  $\sum_{n=1}^m |\Delta\lambda_n| = O(1), (m \rightarrow \infty)$ , and

(v)  $\sum_{n=1}^m \frac{1}{n} |\lambda_n|^k = O(1), (m \rightarrow \infty)$

then the series  $\sum a_n \lambda_n$  is summable  $|A|_k, k \geq 1$ .

**COROLLARY 0.3.** Let  $\{p_n\}$  be a positive sequence such that  $P_n := \sum_{k=0}^n p_k \rightarrow \infty$ , and satisfies

(i)  $np_n = O(P_n), (n \rightarrow \infty)$ ,

(ii)  $\sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} \left| \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \right| = O\left(\frac{\nu^{\delta k}}{P_\nu}\right), (m \rightarrow \infty)$ .

If the sequence  $\{s_n\}$  is bounded and  $(\lambda_n)$  is a sequence such that

(iii)  $\sum_{n=1}^m |\Delta\lambda_n| = O(1), (m \rightarrow \infty)$ ,

(iv)  $\sum_{n=1}^m n^{\delta k} |\Delta\lambda_n| = O(1), (m \rightarrow \infty)$ ,

(v)  $\sum_{n=1}^m n^{\delta k - 1} |\lambda_n|^k = O(1), (m \rightarrow \infty)$ ,

then the series  $\sum a_n \lambda_n$  is summable  $|\bar{N}, p, \delta|_k, k \geq 1$  for  $0 \leq \delta < 1/k$ .

*Proof.* Conditions (iii)- (v) of Corollary 2 are, respectively, conditions (vi)-(viii) of Theorem 1. Conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1 are automatically satisfied for any weighted mean method. Condition (iii) of Theorem 1 becomes condition (i) of Corollary 2, and conditions (iv) and (v) of Theorem 1 become condition (ii) of Corollary 2.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** The author wish to thank the referee for his constructive comments and suggestions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. BOR, *On the local property of factored Fourier series*, J. Anal. and its Appl. **16** (1997), 769-773.
- [2] H. BOR, *A note on  $|\overline{N}, p_n|_k$  summability factors*, Rend. Mat. Appl. Serie VII **12** (1992), 937-942.
- [3] T. M. FLEET, *On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **3** n. 7 (1957), 113-141.
- [4] S. N. LAL, *On the absolute summability factors of infinite series*, Matematički Vesnik **23** (1971), 109-112.
- [5] H. S. ÖZARSLAN AND H. N. ÖGDÜK, *On absolute matrix summability methods*, IJMMS **16** (2005), 2517-2522.
- [6] H. S. ÖZARSLAN AND H. N. ÖGDÜK, *Generalization of two theorems on absolute summability methods*, AJMAA **1** (2004), 1-7.
- [7] B. E. RHOADES, *Inclusion theorems for absolute matrix summability methods*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **238** (1999), 82-90.
- [8] E. SAVAŞ AND B. E. RHOADES, *A note on  $|A|_k$  summability factors*, Nonlinear Anal. (Preprint).

Received July 17, 2006.