Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste Volume 46 (2014), 231–235

# On an inequality from Information Theory

# HORST ALZER

ABSTRACT. We prove that the inequalities

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{q_j (q_j - p_j)^2}{q_j^2 + m_j^{\alpha} M_j^{1-\alpha}} \le \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \log \frac{p_j}{q_j} \le \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{q_j (q_j - p_j)^2}{q_j^2 + m_j^{\beta} M_j^{1-\beta}} \quad (\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}),$$

where

$$m_j = \min(p_j^2, q_j^2)$$
 and  $M_j = \max(p_j^2, q_j^2)$   $(j = 1, ..., n),$ 

hold for all positive real numbers  $p_j, q_j$   $(j = 1, ..., n; n \ge 2)$  with  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_j$  if and only if  $\alpha \le 1/3$  and  $\beta \ge 2/3$ . This refines a result of Halliwell and Mercer, who showed that the inequalities are valid with  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\beta = 1$ .

Keywords: Gibbs' inequality, Kullback-Leibler divergence, information theory, logfunction. MS Classification 2010: 26D15, 94A15.

## 1. Introduction

If  $p_j$  and  $q_j$  (j = 1, ..., n) are positive real numbers with  $\sum_{j=1}^n p_j = \sum_{j=1}^n q_j$ , then

$$0 \le \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \log \frac{p_j}{q_j}.$$
(1)

The sign of equality holds in (1) if and only if  $p_j = q_j$  (j = 1, ..., n). This inequality is known in the literature as Gibbs' inequality, named after the American scientist Josiah Willard Gibbs (1839-1903). A proof of (1) can be found, for instance, in [5, p. 382].

The expression on the right-hand side of (1) is called the Kullback-Leibler divergence. It is a measure of the difference between the probability distributions  $P = \{p_1, ..., p_n\}$  and  $Q = \{q_1, ..., q_n\}$ . Gibbs' inequality has many applications in information theory and also in mathematical statistics. It attracted

## HORST ALZER

the attention of numerous researchers, who discovered remarkable extensions, improvements and related results. For details we refer to [1, 2, 4] and the references therein.

The work on this note has been inspired by an interesting paper published by Halliwell and Mercer [3] in 2004. They presented the following elegant refinement and converse of (1).

PROPOSITION 1.1. Let  $p_j, q_j$  (j = 1, ..., n) be positive real numbers satisfying  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_j$ . Then,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{q_j (q_j - p_j)^2}{q_j^2 + M_j} \le \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \log \frac{p_j}{q_j} \le \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{q_j (q_j - p_j)^2}{q_j^2 + m_j},$$
(2)

where

$$m_j = \min(p_j^2, q_j^2)$$
 and  $M_j = \max(p_j^2, q_j^2)$   $(j = 1, ..., n).$ 

Double-inequality (2) can be written as

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{q_j(q_j - p_j)^2}{q_j^2 + m_j^{\alpha} M_j^{1-\alpha}} \le \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \log \frac{p_j}{q_j} \le \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{q_j(q_j - p_j)^2}{q_j^2 + m_j^{\beta} M_j^{1-\beta}}$$
(3)

with  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\beta = 1$ . With regard to this result it is natural to ask for all real parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that (3) holds. In the next section, we establish that (3) is valid if and only if  $\alpha \leq 1/3$  and  $\beta \geq 2/3$ . In particular, setting  $\alpha = 1/3$  and  $\beta = 2/3$  leads to an improvement of both sides of (2).

#### 2. Result

We need certain upper and lower bounds for the log-function.

LEMMA 2.1. (i) If  $0 < x \le 1$ , then

$$x - 1 - \frac{(x - 1)^2}{x + x^{1/3}} \le \log x \le x - 1 - \frac{(x - 1)^2}{x + 1}$$
(4)

with equality if and only if x = 1.

(ii) If x > 1, then

$$x - 1 - \frac{(x - 1)^2}{x + 1} < \log x < x - 1 - \frac{(x - 1)^2}{x + x^{1/3}}.$$
 (5)

 $f(x) = \log x - x + 1 + \frac{(x-1)^2}{x+1}$  and  $g(x) = -\log x + x - 1 - \frac{(x-1)^2}{x+x^{1/3}}$ .

Then,

$$f'(x) = \frac{(x-1)^2}{x(x+1)^2}$$
 and  $g'(x) = \frac{(t-1)^4(t^2+t+1)}{3t^4(t^2+1)^2}$   $(t=x^{1/3}).$ 

It follows that f and g are strictly increasing on  $(0, \infty)$ . Since f(1) = g(1) = 0, we conclude that (4) and (5) are valid.

We are now in a position to prove the following refinement of (2).

THEOREM 2.2. Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . The inequalities (3) hold for all positive real numbers  $p_j, q_j$   $(j = 1, ..., n; n \ge 2)$  with  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_j$  if and only if  $\alpha \le 1/3$  and  $\beta \ge 2/3$ .

*Proof.* First, we show that if  $\alpha \leq 1/3$  and  $\beta \geq 2/3$ , then (3) is valid for all  $p_j, q_j > 0$  (j = 1, ..., n) with  $\sum_{j=1}^n p_j = \sum_{j=1}^n q_j$ . Since the sums on the left-hand side and on the right-hand side of (3) are increasing with respect to  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , respectively, it suffices to prove (3) for  $\alpha = 1/3$  and  $\beta = 2/3$ .

First, let  $q_j \leq p_j$ . Applying (4) gives

$$\frac{q_j}{p_j} - 1 - \frac{(q_j/p_j - 1)^2}{q_j/p_j + (q_j/p_j)^{1/3}} \le \log \frac{q_j}{p_j} \le \frac{q_j}{p_j} - 1 - \frac{(q_j/p_j - 1)^2}{q_j/p_j + 1}$$

We multiply by  $p_j$  and sum up. This yields

$$\sum_{q_{j} \leq p_{j}} q_{j} - \sum_{q_{j} \leq p_{j}} p_{j} - \sum_{q_{j} \leq p_{j}} \frac{q_{j}(q_{j} - p_{j})^{2}}{q_{j}^{2} + m_{j}^{2/3}M_{j}^{1/3}}$$

$$= \sum_{q_{j} \leq p_{j}} q_{j} - \sum_{q_{j} \leq p_{j}} p_{j} - \sum_{q_{j} \leq p_{j}} \frac{p_{j}(q_{j}/p_{j} - 1)^{2}}{q_{j}/p_{j} + (q_{j}/p_{j})^{1/3}}$$

$$\leq \sum_{q_{j} \leq p_{j}} p_{j} \log \frac{q_{j}}{p_{j}}$$

$$\leq \sum_{q_{j} \leq p_{j}} q_{j} - \sum_{q_{j} \leq p_{j}} p_{j} - \sum_{q_{j} \leq p_{j}} \frac{p_{j}(q_{j}/p_{j} - 1)^{2}}{q_{j}/p_{j} + 1}$$

$$\leq \sum_{q_{j} \leq p_{j}} q_{j} - \sum_{q_{j} \leq p_{j}} p_{j} - \sum_{q_{j} \leq p_{j}} \frac{q_{j}(q_{j} - p_{j})^{2}}{q_{j}^{2} + m_{j}^{1/3}M_{j}^{2/3}}.$$
(6)

Next, let  $q_j > p_j$ . Using (5) leads to

$$\frac{q_j}{p_j} - 1 - \frac{(q_j/p_j - 1)^2}{q_j/p_j + 1} < \log \frac{q_j}{p_j} < \frac{q_j}{p_j} - 1 - \frac{(q_j/p_j - 1)^2}{q_j/p_j + (q_j/p_j)^{1/3}}$$

Again we multiply by  $p_j$  and sum up. Then we obtain

$$\sum_{q_{j} > p_{j}} q_{j} - \sum_{q_{j} > p_{j}} p_{j} - \sum_{q_{j} > p_{j}} \frac{q_{j}(q_{j} - p_{j})^{2}}{q_{j}^{2} + m_{j}^{2/3}M_{j}^{1/3}}$$

$$< \sum_{q_{j} > p_{j}} q_{j} - \sum_{q_{j} > p_{j}} p_{j} - \sum_{q_{j} > p_{j}} \frac{p_{j}(q_{j}/p_{j} - 1)^{2}}{q_{j}/p_{j} + 1}$$

$$< \sum_{q_{j} > p_{j}} p_{j} \log \frac{q_{j}}{p_{j}}$$

$$< \sum_{q_{j} > p_{j}} q_{j} - \sum_{q_{j} > p_{j}} p_{j} - \sum_{q_{j} > p_{j}} \frac{p_{j}(q_{j}/p_{j} - 1)^{2}}{q_{j}/p_{j} + (q_{j}/p_{j})^{1/3}}$$

$$= \sum_{q_{j} > p_{j}} q_{j} - \sum_{q_{j} > p_{j}} p_{j} - \sum_{q_{j} > p_{j}} \frac{q_{j}(q_{j} - p_{j})^{2}}{q_{j}^{2} + m_{j}^{1/3}M_{j}^{2/3}}.$$
(7)

Combining (6) and (7) gives

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} q_j - \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{q_j (q_j - p_j)^2}{q_j^2 + m_j^{2/3} M_j^{1/3}} \\ \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \log \frac{q_j}{p_j} \le \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_j - \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{q_j (q_j - p_j)^2}{q_j^2 + m_j^{1/3} M_j^{2/3}}.$$
(8)

Since  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_j$ , we conclude from (8) that (3) is valid with  $\alpha = 1/3$  and  $\beta = 2/3$ . It remains to prove that if (3) holds for all  $p_j, q_j > 0$  (j = 1, ..., n) with  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_j$ , then  $\alpha \le 1/3$  and  $\beta \ge 2/3$ .

Let  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$  with 1 < t < s + 1. We set

$$p_1 = \frac{s}{t}, \quad p_2 = \frac{1}{t}, \quad q_1 = \frac{s+1}{t} - 1, \quad q_2 = 1, \quad p_j = q_j \quad (j = 3, ..., n).$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_j, \quad m_1 = q_1^2, \quad M_1 = p_1^2, \quad m_2 = p_2^2, \quad M_2 = q_2^2.$$

A short calculation reveals that (3) is equivalent to

$$F_{\alpha}(s,t) \le s \log \frac{s}{s+1-t} - \log t \le F_{\beta}(s,t),$$

where

$$F_c(s,t) = \frac{(t-1)^2}{s+1-t+s^{2(1-c)}(s+1-t)^{2c-1}} + \frac{(t-1)^2}{t+t^{1-2c}}.$$

We define

$$G_c(s,t) = s \log \frac{s}{s+1-t} - \log t - F_c(s,t).$$

Then,

$$G_c(s,1) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G_c(s,t) \Big|_{t=1} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} G_c(s,t) \Big|_{t=1} = 0$$

and

$$\frac{s^2}{3(s^2+1)}\frac{\partial^3}{\partial t^3}G_c(s,t)\Big|_{t=1} = \frac{s^2+2}{3(s^2+1)} - c.$$

Since

$$\lim_{s \to 0} \frac{s^2 + 2}{3(s^2 + 1)} = \frac{2}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{s^2 + 2}{3(s^2 + 1)} = \frac{1}{3},$$

we conclude from  $G_{\alpha}(s,t) \geq 0$  that  $\alpha \leq 1/3$  and from  $G_{\beta}(s,t) \leq 0$  that  $\beta \geq 2/3$ .

REMARK 2.3. The proof of the Theorem reveals that if  $\alpha \leq 1/3$  and  $\beta \geq 2/3$ , then the sign of equality holds in each inequality of (3) if and only if  $p_j = q_j$  (j = 1, ..., n).

#### Acknowledgements

I thank the referee for helpful comments.

#### References

- A. CLIM AND P. COZMA, A new inequality of Shannon type, Proc. Rom. Acad. Ser A 11 (2010), 294–302.
- [2] T. M. COVER AND J. A. THOMAS, Elements of Information Theory, Wiley, 2006.
  [3] G. T. HALLIWELL AND P. R. MERCER, A refinement of an inequality from in-
- formation theory, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 5(1) (2004), article 3, 3 pages. [4] PL. KANNAPPAN AND P. K. SAHOO, On a generalization of the Shannon func-
- tional inequality, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 140 (1989), 341–350.
- [5] D. S. MITRINOVIĆ, Analytic Inequalities, Springer, New York, 1970.

Author's address:

Horst Alzer Morsbacher Str. 10 51545 Waldbröl, Germany E-mail: h.alzer@gmx.de

> Received September 26, 2014 Revised October 14, 2014