# Complex Foliations in Generalized Twistor Spaces

M. MIGLIORINI and A. TOMASSINI (\*)

SUMMARY. - We consider a natural almost complex distribution on the associated bundle  $F^{(n)}(M)$  to the principal bundle of the gorthogonal oriented frames on a Riemannian manifold (M,g), with standard fibre  $\frac{SO(2n+k)}{U(n)\times SO(k)}$ : we find necessary and sufficient conditions ensuring that the distribution is an almost complex foliation in  $F^{(n)}(M)$  and we compute the Nijenhuis tensor. Finally, we characterize the local sections of  $F^{(n)}(M)$ .

### 0. Introduction

The study of the Twistor Space Z(M) of a Riemannian manifold M has a fundamental role in differential geometry. For an overview in twistor geometry we refer to [1], [2], [3], [4] while, for some observations on the natural almost complex structures  $\mathbb{J}$  of Z(M) we may see [5], [6].

A natural generalization of the Twistor Space over a Riemannian manifold was introduced by Rawnsley and Salamon (see [7], [8]). They investigated about the holomorphic and harmonic maps into

<sup>(\*)</sup> Authors' addresses: Massimiliano Migliorini, Dipartimento di Matematica "Ulisse Dini", Università di Firenze, Viale Morgagni 67/A, 50134 Firenze, Italy; e-mail: max@alibaba.math.unifi.it

Adriano Tomassini, Dipartimento di Matematica ed Applicazioni, Università di Palermo, Viale delle Scienze, 90128 Palermo, Italy; e-mail: adriano@ipamat.math.unipa.it

This work was supported by MURST "Geometric Properties of Real and Complex Manifolds" and by GNSAGA of CNR.

the generalized Twistor Space. This generalization is obtained as the associated bundle to  $SO_g(M)$ , the principal bundle of the positively oriented orthonormal frames over a 2n + k-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M,g),  $F^{(n)}(M) := SO_g(M) \times_{SO(2n+k)} \frac{SO(2n+k)}{U(n) \times SO(k)}$ . A section of  $F^{(n)}(M)$  gives rise to a *f*-structure in the sense of Yano (see [9]), i. e. a (1, 1) tensor f on M satisfying  $f^3 + f = 0$ .

In this paper we are interested to the existence of complex foliations of  $F^{(n)}(M)$  and to describe sections of  $F^{(n)}(M)$ . In the first Section we start describing the standard fibre  $\frac{\mathrm{SO}(2n+k)}{\mathrm{U}(n)\times\mathrm{SO}(k)}$ , then we recall the construction of  $F^{(n)}(M)$  and its natural *f*-structure, that gives rise to an almost complex distribution of  $F^{(n)}(M)$ ,  $D \oplus Z$ . In Sections 2, 3 we give the explicit conditions to the involutivity of the distribution by the curvature form of the pricipal bundle  $SO_g(M)$ and compute the Nijenhuis tensor of the almost complex structure on the leaves (see Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 3.2). In the last Section we describe the local sections of  $F^{(n)}(M)$  (see Proposition 4.3).

We would like to thank Paolo de Bartolomeis for the useful suggestions and remarks.

## 1. Main construction

Let Z(n,k) be the homogeneous space given by  $\frac{\mathrm{SO}(2n+k)}{\mathrm{U}(n)\times\mathrm{SO}(k)}$  with projection  $p: \mathrm{SO}(2n+k) \to Z(n,k)$ , i. e. p(A) = p(B) if and only if there exists  $C \in \mathrm{U}(n) \times \mathrm{SO}(k)$  such that B = AC. We have a splitting of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(2n+k) = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ , with

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{h} &:= \mathfrak{u}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(k) \\ \mathfrak{m} &:= \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} X & Y \\ -^{t}Y & 0 \end{array} \right) \in \mathfrak{so}(2n+k) \, | \, X \in \mathfrak{s}(n), \, Y \in M_{2n,k}(\mathbb{R}) \right\} \\ \mathfrak{s}(n) &:= \left\{ X \in \mathfrak{so}(2n) \, | \, XJ_n = -J_n X \right\} \\ J_n &= \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & -I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{array} \right). \end{split}$$

Note that  $[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{h}] \subset \mathfrak{m}$  and therefore Z(n, k) is *reductive* of dimension  $n^2 - n + 2nk$ , but not symmetric  $([\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] \not\subset \mathfrak{h})$ .

By considering the natural action

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{SO}(2n+k) \times \frac{\mathrm{SO}(2n+k)}{\mathrm{U}(n) \times \mathrm{SO}(k)} & \to & \frac{\mathrm{SO}(2n+k)}{\mathrm{U}(n) \times \mathrm{SO}(k)} \\ & (A,X) & \mapsto & AX^{t}A \end{array}$$

the isotropy subgroup at  $T = \begin{pmatrix} J_n & 0 \\ 0 & I_k \end{pmatrix}$  is  $U(n) \times SO(k)$ ; then

$$Z(n,k) = \{AT^{t}A \mid A \in \mathrm{SO}(2n+k)\}.$$

We observe that the tangent space at  $P \in Z(n,k)$  is

$$T_P Z(n,k) = \{ XP - PX \mid X \in \mathfrak{so}(2n+k) \}.$$

Any point  $P \in Z(n, k)$  represents an oriented 2*n*-dimensional linear subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+k}$  equipped with a positively oriented orthogonal complex structure.

We have a natural application

$$\mu: Z(n,k) \to G_{2n,k} := \frac{\mathrm{SO}(2n+k)}{\mathrm{SO}(2n) \times \mathrm{SO}(k)}$$

from Z(n,k) to the *Grassmannian* of the oriented 2*n*-dimensional linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+k}$ . If we take  $H = \begin{pmatrix} I_{2n} & 0 \\ 0 & -I_k \end{pmatrix}$ , then the isotropy subgroup at H, for the natural action of SO(2n + k) is  $SO(2n) \times SO(k)$ ; therefore  $G_{2n,k} = \{AH^tA \mid A \in SO(2n+k)\}$  and  $\mu$ is defined by

$$AT^{t}A \stackrel{\mu}{\mapsto} AH^{t}A$$

Then  $\mu$  is a fibration with standard fibre Z(n) := Z(n, 0). Let  $P = AT^{t}A$  be in Z(n, k), the tangent space at P to the fibre is

$$T_P \mu^{-1}(\mu(P)) = \{ XP - PX \mid X \in Ad(A)(\mathfrak{so}(2n)) \};$$

as for the standard fibre of the Twistor Spaces, we introduce a complex structure on  $T_P \mu^{-1}(\mu(P))$ , by setting

$$J[P](X) := PX$$

and so  $\mu$  gives rise to a complex foliation of Z(n,k). Note that Z(n,k) itself can be endowed with a complex structure for which the leaves of the previous foliation are complex submanifolds; in fact, let

 $\mathbf{J}: \mathfrak{m} \to \mathfrak{m}$  be defined as follows: if  $X = \begin{pmatrix} Z & V \\ -{}^t\!V & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{m}$ , set

$$\mathbf{J}X := \begin{pmatrix} J_n Z & J_n V \\ -^t (J_n Y) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

One can check that

- i)  $\mathbf{J}^2 = -id_{\mathfrak{m}};$
- ii) for every  $Y \in \mathfrak{h}$ ,  $ad(Y) \circ \mathbf{J} = \mathbf{J} \circ ad(Y)$ , i. e. for every  $X \in \mathfrak{m}$ ,  $[\mathbf{J}X, Y] = \mathbf{J}[X, Y];$
- iii) for every  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{m}, [\mathbf{J}X, Y] [X, Y] \mathbf{J}[\mathbf{J}X, Y] \mathbf{J}[X, \mathbf{J}Y] \in \mathfrak{h}.$

Therefore, **J** defines an integrable invariant almost complex structure on Z(n,k), that coincides with J on the leaves.

We will use these notions to construct the generalized twistor space. Let (M,g) be an oriented 2n + k-dimensional Riemannian manifold and  $SO_g(M)$  be the principal SO(2n+k)-bundle of oriented g-orthonormal frames on M; define

$$F^{(n)}(M) := \frac{\mathrm{SO}_g(M)}{\mathrm{U}(n) \times \mathrm{SO}(k)};$$

therefore  $F^{(n)}(M)$  is a bundle over M with structure group  $\operatorname{SO}(2n+k)$  and standard fibre Z(n,k). Let  $r: F^{(n)}(M) \to M$  be the bundle projection and  $P \in F^{(n)}(M)$ , with r(P) = x: P represents an oriented 2n-dimensional subspace  $D_x$  of  $T_xM$ , together with a positively oriented  $g_x$ -orthogonal complex structure on it. By the previous considerations,  $F_x^{(n)}(M) := r^{-1}(x) \simeq Z(n,k)$  is complex foliated by Z(n,0).

REMARK 1.1. The standard fibre Z(n,k) parametrizes the complex structures on the 2*n*-dimensional linear subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+k}$ , in fact if

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} J_n & 0\\ 0 & I_k \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } P = \begin{pmatrix} J_n & B\\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}$$

give the same complex structure on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n+k}$ , then there exists  $G = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SO}(2n+k)$  with  $GT^{t}G = P$ . Therefore we have

$$\alpha \in \mathrm{U}(n), \ \beta = 0, \ \gamma = 0, \ \delta \in \mathrm{SO}(k)$$

and then

$$B=0, A=I_k.$$

The Levi Civita connection  $\omega$  on  $SO_g(M)$  induces a splitting on  $T_P F^{(n)}(M) \forall P \in F^{(n)}(M)$ , as

$$T_P F^{(n)}(M) = H_P \oplus W_P$$

into horizontal and vertical parts. By defining  $D_P := r_*^{-1}(D_{r(P)})$ ,  $Z_P = T_P Z(n, 0)$  and taking the natural metric on  $F^{(n)}(M)$  induced by g and  $\omega$ , we have a further splitting of  $H_P$  and  $W_P$ :

i)  $H_P = D_P \oplus ((D_P)^{\perp} \cap H_P)$ 

ii) 
$$W_P = Z_P \oplus ((Z_P)^{\perp} \cap W_P)$$
.

Consequently

$$T_P F^{(n)}(M) = D_P \oplus Z_P \oplus (D_P \oplus Z_P)^{\perp}.$$
(1.1)

Again by the previous considerations  $D_P \oplus Z_P$  is endowed with a natural almost complex structure that we will denote by  $\mathbb{J}$  and so (1.1) defines an almost complex distribution on  $F^{(n)}(M)$ . In the sequel we will denote by  $D \oplus Z$  the set  $\{X \in , (M, TF^{(n)}(M)) :$ 

$$X(P) \in D_P \oplus Z_P \ \forall P \}.$$

# **2.** Foliations in $F^{(n)}(M)$

It is natural to investigate the conditions in order that the distribution  $D \oplus Z$  in  $F^{(n)}(M)$  is integrable and, in such a case, the leaves are holomorphic. In this Section we study the first problem. Concerning this, we recall the

FROBENIUS THEOREM: let P be a  $C^r r \ge 1$  k-plane field defined on M. Then P is completely integrable if and only if it is involutive. Further, if either these conditions hold, the leaf tangent to P is unique.

As specified in (1.1), for  $X \in T_P F^{(n)}(M)$ , we will denote by  $X^{\perp}$ , the component of X orthogonal to  $D_P \oplus Z_P$  with respect to the Riemannian metric induced by g and  $\omega$ . With these notations, the involutivity of the distribution is equivalent to the vanishing of the following map

$$\psi: (D \oplus Z) \times (D \oplus Z) \to (D \oplus Z)^{\perp}$$
$$(X, Y) \mapsto [X, Y]^{\perp}.$$

It is immediate to check that  $\psi$  is tensorial: in fact

$$\psi(fX,Y) := [fX,Y]^{\perp} = f[X,Y]^{\perp} - Y(f)X^{\perp} = f[X,Y]^{\perp} = f\psi(X,Y).$$

REMARK 2.1. Let  $\nabla^{F^{(n)}}$  be the Levi Civita connection on  $F^{(n)}$  and

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \alpha : & D \oplus Z \times D \oplus Z & \to & (D \oplus Z)^{\perp} \\ & & & & \\ & & & & (X,Y) & \mapsto & (\nabla_X^{F^{(n)}}Y)^{\perp} \end{array}$$

Immediately we get that the vanishing of  $\alpha$  implies the vanishing of  $\psi$ , but the converse does not hold.

Then we study the involutivity of  $D \oplus Z$ .

THEOREM 2.2. The complex distribution  $D \oplus Z$  is involutive if and only if, for every  $X, Y \in D$ ,

$$(p_*(\Omega(\hat{X}, \hat{Y})^*))^{\perp} = 0,$$

where  $\hat{X}, \hat{Y}$  are vector fields on  $SO_g(M)$  whose projection, induced by  $p: SO_g(M) \to F^{(n)}(M)$ , is X, Y respectively and  $\Omega$  is the curvature form of  $\omega$ .

*Proof.* We have to consider the following cases: i) let P be in  $F^{(n)}(M)$  and X, Y be in D. Take  $\hat{X}$ ,  $\hat{Y}$  vector fields in  $SO_g(M)$  such that

a)  $\hat{X}, \hat{Y}$  are horizontal with respect to  $\omega$ ;

b) 
$$p_*(\hat{X}) = X, \ p_*(\hat{Y}) = Y.$$

We have

$$\psi[P](X,Y) = [X,Y]^{\perp}(P) = [p_*(\hat{X}), p_*(\hat{Y})]^{\perp}(u)$$
$$= (p_*[u][\hat{X},\hat{Y}])^{\perp}(u) ,$$

where p(u) = P.

Fix  $u_0 \in p^{-1}(P)$ , let  $\xi$ ,  $\eta$  be vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+k}$  such that the standard horizontal vector fields  $B(\xi)$ ,  $B(\eta)$  on  $SO_g(M)$  satisfy  $B(\xi)(u_0) = \hat{X}(u_0)$ ,  $B(\eta)(u_0) = \hat{Y}(u_0)$ . Since the form  $\psi$  is tensorial

Since the form  $\psi$  is tensorial,

$$\psi[P](X,Y) = (p_*[u_0][B(\xi), B(\eta)](u_0))^{\perp} = = -2(p_*[u_0]((\Omega[u_0](B(\xi), B(\eta)))^*(u_0))^{\perp},$$

that ends the first case.

ii) Let X be in D and Y be in Z. By repeating the same arguments, we may suppose that

$$X(P) = p_*[u_0](\hat{X})(u_0) , \ Y(P) = p_*[u_0](A^*)(u_0) ,$$

where  $\hat{X}$ ,  $A^*$  are a horizontal lift of an appropriately choosen vector field on M and a fundamental vertical vector field on  $SO_g(M)$ respectively. Therefore,

$$\psi[u_0](X,Y) = 0.$$

iii) By the characterization of  $T_P Z(n, p)$  we may consider

$$X(P) = [A_X, P] \frac{\partial}{\partial P} := A_X(P)$$

with

$$A_X \in \left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathfrak{so}(2n) & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

X(P) is the fundamental vertical vector field associated to  $A_X$ . Therefore

$$[X,Y](P) = [[A_X,P]\frac{\partial}{\partial P}, [A_Y,P]\frac{\partial}{\partial P}] = -[[A_X,A_Y],P]\frac{\partial}{\partial P}$$

which implies the involutivity of the vertical part of  $D \oplus Z$ .

REMARK 2.3. Let  $x_0$  be a fixed point of M,  $u_0 \in \pi^{-1}(x_0)$ ,  $\pi : SO_g(M) \to M$  being the projection and

$$\{\vartheta_1,\ldots,\vartheta_{2n},\vartheta_{2n+1},\ldots,\vartheta_{2n+k}\}$$

be orthonormal vector fields on M such that  $\vartheta_i(x_0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(x_0)$ , for  $i = 1, \ldots, 2n + k$ , where  $(x_1, \ldots, x_{2n+k})$  are normal coordinates and  $p_*[u_0]\vartheta_1^*, \ldots, p_*[u_0]\vartheta_{2n+k}^*$  span the vector space  $D_{p(u_0)}, \vartheta_i^*$  being the horizontal lifts of  $\vartheta_i$ , for  $i = 1, \ldots, 2n + k$ . From now on, we will assume that the Latin indeces run through  $1, \ldots, 2n$ , the Greek through  $2n, \ldots, 2n + k$  and the capital letters through  $1, \ldots, 2n + k$ . Since the involutivity condition of Theorem 2.2 is tensorial, we may check the integrability condition for  $D \oplus Z$  in  $p(u_0)$  by taking the vector fields  $\tilde{\vartheta}_1, \ldots, \tilde{\vartheta}_{2n+k}$  that coinciding with  $p_*[u_0]\vartheta_1^*, \ldots, p_*[u_0]\vartheta_{2n+k}^*$  at  $p(u_0)$ .

Therefore, by recalling the expression of the bracket between two horizontal lifts on  $SO_q(M)$ , we get

$$\begin{split} [\bar{\vartheta}_i, \bar{\vartheta}_j]^{\perp}(p(u_0))) &= [p_*\vartheta_i^*, p_*\vartheta_j^*]^{\perp}(p(u_0)) = (p_*[\vartheta_i^*, \vartheta_j^*])^{\perp}(p(u_0)) = \\ &= (p_*(\sum_{k=1}^{2n+k} (, \frac{k}{ij} - , \frac{k}{ji})\vartheta_k - \sum_{s,k,h=1}^{2n+k} R_{kij}^h X_s^k \frac{\partial}{\partial X_s^h}))^{\perp}(p(u_0)) \\ &= (-p_*([R_{\cdot ij}, X])\frac{\partial}{\partial X})^{\perp}(p(u_0)), \end{split}$$

since we have chosen normal coordinates. Then the conditions is

$$(p_*([R_{ij},X])\frac{\partial}{\partial X})^{\perp}(p(u_0)) = 0.$$

# **3.** Complex Foliations in $F^{(n)}(M)$

In this Section we study the conditions ensuring that the almost complex foliation  $D \oplus Z$  is complex, i. e. the leaves are complex submanifolds. Let S be an endomorphism of TM: we will denote by  $\hat{}$ , the operator

$$S\mapsto S\hat{\ }(Q)=[S,Q]rac{\partial}{\partial Q}$$
 .

REMARK 3.1. If  $A_X$  is a fundamental vertical vector field on  $SO_g(M)$  and  $\tilde{\vartheta}_i$  is a horizontal lift on  $SO_g(M)$  as in Remark 2.3, we get

$$\begin{split} [A_X, \tilde{\vartheta}_i] &= [[A_X, P] \frac{\partial}{\partial P}, \vartheta_i - [A_{\Gamma_i}, P] \frac{\partial}{\partial P}] = \\ &= -\vartheta_i([A_X, P]) \frac{\partial}{\partial P} - [A_X, A_{\Gamma_i}] \frac{\partial}{\partial P} = \\ &= -\vartheta_i(A_X) - [A_X, A_{\Gamma_i}] \frac{\partial}{\partial P} \end{split}$$

and, by recalling that  $\mathbb{J}$  is the natural almost complex structure on  $D \oplus Z$ , we have

$$\mathbb{J}[P](A_X(P)) = P(A_X(P)) = P((A_X P - PA_X)\frac{\partial}{\partial P}) = (PA_X P - PPA_X)\frac{\partial}{\partial P} = (PA_X(P), (P)).$$

We have the following

LEMMA 3.2. The Nijenhuis tensor N of the almost complex structure  $\mathbb{J}$  on  $D \oplus Z$  satisfies

$$i) \quad N(P)(X,Y) = 0 \qquad \qquad X,Y \in Z$$

*ii*) 
$$N(P)(X, \eta) = 0$$
  $X \in Z, \eta \in D$ 

*iii*)  $N(P)(\xi, \eta) \in T_P Z(n, k)$   $\xi, \eta \in D$ .

*Proof.* i) For the integrability of the vertical almost complex structure, we have

$$N(X,Y) = 0 \quad \forall X, Y \in Z.$$

ii) For the tensoriality, we may suppose X fundamental vertical, i. e.

$$X(P) = [A_X, P] \frac{\partial}{\partial P} = A_{X}(P)$$

and

$$\eta = \tilde{\vartheta}_i(P) = p_*[u_0]\vartheta_i^*(u_0) \quad 1 \le i \le 2n$$

where  $u_0 \in p^{-1}(P)$  and the vector fields  $\vartheta_i^*$  are chosen as in Remark 2.3. By the expression of  $\vartheta_i^*$  in the trivialization, we get

$$p_*\vartheta_i^* = \vartheta_i - [A_{\Gamma_i}, P] \frac{\partial}{\partial P}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} N_P(X, \tilde{\vartheta}_i) &= [\mathbb{J}(A_X), \mathbb{J}\tilde{\vartheta}_i] - [(A_X), \tilde{\vartheta}_i] + \\ &-\mathbb{J}[(A_X), \mathbb{J}\tilde{\vartheta}_i] - \mathbb{J}[\mathbb{J}(A_X), \tilde{\vartheta}_i] = \\ &= [((PA_X)), P_i^C \tilde{\vartheta}_C] - [(A_X), \tilde{\vartheta}_i] + \\ &-\mathbb{J}[(A_X), P_i^C \tilde{\vartheta}_C] - \mathbb{J}[(PA_X), \tilde{\vartheta}_i] = , \end{split}$$

since  $\mathbb{J}[P](A_X(P)) = (PA_X)(P),$ 

$$= (PA_X)^{\hat{}}(P_i^l)\tilde{\vartheta}_l - P_i l(\vartheta_l(PA_X) + [, _l, PA_X])^{\hat{}} + \\ + (\vartheta_i(X) + [, _i, X])^{\hat{}} + \mathbb{J}(\vartheta_i(PA_X) + [, _i, PA_X])^{\hat{}} + \\ + \mathbb{J}P_i^l(\vartheta_l(A_X) + [, _l, A_X])^{\hat{}} - \mathbb{J}A_X^{\hat{}}(P_i^l)\tilde{\vartheta}_l.$$

By choosing normal coordinates around  $x = r(P) \in M$  in such a way that the vectors  $\vartheta_i(x)$  coincide with  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(x)$   $i = 1, \ldots, 2n$ , since P defines a complex structure on Span  $\{\tilde{\vartheta}_1(P), \ldots, \tilde{\vartheta}_{2n}(P)\}$ , the last expression vanishes at P.

iii) We observe that

$$\begin{split} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\vartheta}_i, \tilde{\vartheta}_j \end{bmatrix} &= p_* [\vartheta_i^*, \vartheta_j^*] = \\ &= p_* ((, \begin{smallmatrix} C \\ ij - , \begin{smallmatrix} C \\ ji \end{smallmatrix}) \vartheta_C - R_{kij}^h X_s^k \frac{\partial}{\partial X_s^h}) = \\ &= (, \begin{smallmatrix} C \\ ij - , \begin{smallmatrix} C \\ ji \end{smallmatrix}) \tilde{\vartheta}_C - (R_{\cdot ij}^{\cdot})^{\hat{}}. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} N_{P}(\hat{\vartheta}_{i}\hat{\vartheta}_{j}) &= [\mathbb{J}\hat{\vartheta}_{i},\mathbb{J}\hat{\vartheta}_{j}] - [\hat{\vartheta}_{i},\hat{\vartheta}_{j}] + \\ -\mathbb{J}[\tilde{\vartheta}_{i},\mathbb{J}\hat{\vartheta}_{j}] - \mathbb{J}[\mathbb{J}\hat{\vartheta}_{i},\tilde{\vartheta}_{j}] = \\ &= [P_{i}^{C}\hat{\vartheta}_{C},P_{i}^{D}\tilde{\vartheta}_{D}] - ((,\stackrel{C}{ij}-,\stackrel{C}{ji})\tilde{\vartheta}_{C} - (R_{\cdot ij}^{\cdot})^{\hat{}}) \\ -\mathbb{J}[\tilde{\vartheta}_{C},P_{j}^{D}\tilde{\vartheta}_{D}] - \mathbb{J}[P_{i}^{C}\tilde{\vartheta}_{C},\tilde{\vartheta}_{j}] =, \end{split}$$

by taking normal coordinates around r(P) and recalling that any point  $P \in Z(n, p)$  represents an oriented 2*n*-dimensional linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+k}$  equipped with a positively oriented orthogonal com-2n

plex structure, we have  $\mathbb{J}[P]\tilde{\vartheta}_i = \sum_{l=1}^{2n} P_i^l \tilde{\vartheta}_l \forall 1 \le i \le 2n$  and, then

$$= P_i^l P_j^m [\tilde{\vartheta}_l, \tilde{\vartheta}_m] + P_i^l \tilde{\vartheta}_l (P_j^m) \tilde{\vartheta}_m + - P_j^m \tilde{\vartheta}_m (P_i^l) \tilde{\vartheta}_l + (R_{\cdot ij})^+ - \mathbb{J} P_j^l [\tilde{\vartheta}_i, \tilde{\vartheta}_l] - \mathbb{J} (\tilde{\vartheta}_i (P_j^l) \tilde{\vartheta}_l) + - \mathbb{J} P_i^l [\tilde{\vartheta}_l, \tilde{\vartheta}_j] + \mathbb{J} (\tilde{\vartheta}_j (P_i^l) \tilde{\vartheta}_l) = = - P_i^l P_j^m (R_{\cdot lm})^+ (R_{\cdot ij})^+ + P P_j^l (R_{\cdot il})^+ P P_i^l (R_{\cdot lj})^.$$

Hence, the Lemma is proved.

#### 4. Holomorphic sections

Let  $\tau : M \to F^{(n)}(M)$  be a section of  $F^{(n)}(M)$ ; from the definition of the generalized Twistor Space,  $\tau$  induces an almost complex 2ndimensional distribution on M. We start with the following

PROPOSITION 4.1. If  $\tau : M \to F^{(n)}(M)$  is a section and the distribution  $D \oplus Z$  in  $F^{(n)}(M)$  is involutive, then the induced distribution, D in M, is also involutive.

*Proof.* Let  $\psi_M$  be the map

$$\psi_M: D \times D \to D^{\perp}$$
$$(X,Y) \mapsto [X,Y]^{\perp}$$

where  $D^{\perp}$  is the orthogonal complement of D with respect to the metric g. As we have remarked in Section 2, the vanishing of the tensor  $\psi_M$  is equivalent to the involutivity of D. Let  $\vartheta_1, \ldots, \vartheta_{2n}$  be a local system of generators of the distribution D in M,  $\vartheta_1, \ldots, \vartheta_{2n}$  the horizontal lifts to  $SO_g(M)$  and  $\tilde{\vartheta}_1, \ldots, \tilde{\vartheta}_{2n}$  be their projection on  $F^{(n)}(M)$ . At the points  $\tau(x)$ , we note that

a)  $\tilde{\vartheta}_1, \ldots, \tilde{\vartheta}_{2n}$  generate the horizontal part of the distribution  $D \oplus Z$ ;

b) 
$$r_*[\tau(x)](\vartheta_i(\tau(x))) = \vartheta_i(x) \ \forall i = 1 \dots, 2n$$

Since  $r_{*|H_P}:H_P\to T_{r(P)}M$  is an isometry, for the vector fields previous defined, we have

$$r_*[\tau(x)]([\tilde{\vartheta}_i, \tilde{\vartheta}_j]^{\perp}(\tau(x))) = [\vartheta_i, \vartheta_j]^{\perp}(x).$$

Therefore the involutivity of  $D \oplus Z$  implies the involutivity of D in M.

In the hypothesis of the last Proposition, M is foliated and the leaves  $\mathcal{D}_x$  are almost complex. We will denote by J the almost complex structure on the leaves.

REMARK 4.2. For any almost complex Riemannian manifold (M, g, J), with Levi Civita connection  $\nabla$ , it is defined a (1,1) tensor field

$$A(X,Y) := (\nabla_{JX}J)Y - J(\nabla_XJ)Y.$$

The tensor field A has the following properties

a) 
$$A(X, Y) - A(Y, X) = N_J(X, Y)$$
  
b)  $N_J = 0$  if and only if  $A = 0$ .

The following statement characterizes the holomorphic sections.

PROPOSITION 4.3. Assume that  $F^{(n)}(M)$  is almost complex foliated and  $\tau: U \to F^{(n)}(M)$  is a local section. The following conditions

69

are equivalent

- i)  $\tau(U \cap \mathcal{D}_x)$  is almost complex;
- ii) J is integrable, i. e. the leaves  $\mathcal{D}_x$  are complex;
- iii)  $\tau(U \cap \mathcal{D}_x)$  is complex.

*Proof.*  $i) \Rightarrow ii$ ). Let  $x \in U$  and  $\mathcal{D}_x$  be the leaf through x. We observe that  $\tau(U \cap \mathcal{D}_x)$  is an almost complex submanifold of  $(\mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{Z})_{\tau(x)}$  if and only if  $\mathbb{J} \circ \tau_* = \tau_* \circ J$  on  $\mathcal{D}_x$ . Let  $(x^1, \ldots, x^{2n+k})$  be normal system of coordinates around x such that  $x^{2n+1} = 0, \ldots, x^{2n+k} = 0$  define  $\mathcal{D}_x$  and  $\vartheta_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ . We have

$$A(\vartheta_{i},\vartheta_{j}) = (\nabla_{J\vartheta_{i}}J)\vartheta_{j} - J(\nabla_{\vartheta_{i}}J)\vartheta_{j} = ,$$
  
by a straightforward computation,  
$$= \sum_{l,r=1}^{2n} \tau_{i}^{l}\vartheta_{l}(\tau_{j}^{r})\vartheta_{r} - \sum_{s,r=1}^{2n} \vartheta_{i}(\tau_{j}^{s})\tau_{s}^{r}\vartheta_{r} .$$
(4.1)

Since

$$(\mathbb{J} \circ \tau_*)\vartheta_i = \vartheta_i(\tau^s)\tau^{\cdot}_s \tag{4.2}$$

$$(\tau_* \circ \mathbb{J})\vartheta_i = \tau_i^l \vartheta_l(\tau_{\cdot}), \qquad (4.3)$$

then  $A(\vartheta_i, \vartheta_j) = 0$  and, by Remark 4.2, it follows that  $N_J = 0$ .  $ii) \Rightarrow i$ . Let  $\Phi_J(X, Y) = g(X, JY)$  be the Kähler form of (M, g, J). Then

$$(
abla_X \Phi_J)(Y,Z) = -g((
abla_X J)Y,Z)$$

and

$$g(N_J(X,Y),Z) = -(\nabla_{JX}\Phi_J)(Y,Z) - (\nabla_X\Phi_J)(JY,Z) + +(\nabla_{JY}\Phi_J)(X,Z) + (\nabla_Y\Phi_J)(JX,Z).$$

Therefore

$$g(N_J(X,Y),Z) + g(N_J(Z,Y),X) + g(N_J(Z,X),Y) = -2g(A(X,Y),Z).$$

Hence  $N_J = 0$  implies A = 0. This condition, (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3) give  $ii \rightarrow i$ .

To prove that ii)  $\iff$  iii) it is sufficient to note that  $\mathbb{J}_{|_{\tau(U)}} = (\tau^{-1})^*(\tau_*\mathbb{J})$  and, consequently, we have

$$N_{\mathbb{J}}(\cdot,\cdot) = (\tau^{-1})^* (\tau_* N_J)(\cdot,\cdot) \,.$$

#### References

- M. F ATIYAH AND I. M. HITCHIN, N. J.AND SINGER, Self-duality in four-dimensional Riemannian geometry, Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, A 362 (1978), 425-461.
- [2] A. L. BESSE, *Einstein manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1987.
- [3] R. L. BRYANT, Lie groups and twistor spaces, Duke Math. J. 52 (1985), no. I, 223-261.
- [4] P. DE BARTOLOMEIS, L. MIGLIORINI, AND A. NANNICINI, Propriété globales de l'espace de twisteurs, Rend. Mat. Acc. Lincei, s. 91 (1991).
- [5] MIGLIORINI M., Sottovarietà speciali dello spazio dei twistors, Rend. Ist. Mat. Univ. Trieste XXVI (1994), no. I-II, 239–260.
- [6] M. MIGLIORINI AND A. TOMASSINI, Nijenhuis tensors and Lie algebras, Journal of Geometry and Physics XXII (1997), 245–254.
- [7] JOHN H. RAWNSLEY, f-structures, f-Twistor Spaces and harmonic maps, Lecture Notes in Math. 1164 (1985), 86-159.
- [8] S. SALAMON, Harmonic and Holomorphic maps, Lecture Notes in Math. 1164 (1985), 161-224.
- [9] K. YANO, On a structure defined by a tensor field f of type (1,1) satisfying  $f^3 + f = 0$ , Tensor. 14 (1963), 99–109.

Received October 5, 1997.