

# The Burkill-Cesari Integral for Riesz Spaces

ANTONIO BOCCUTO and ANNA RITA SAMBUCINI (\*)

**SOMMARIO.** - *Si definisce un integrale del tipo "Burkill-Cesari" per funzioni d'insieme a valori in spazi di Riesz Dedekind completi. Si introduce un concetto di quasi-additività, simile a quello introdotto da Lamberto Cesari in [5]. Si provano alcuni teoremi analoghi a quelli classici, e si confronta l'integrale introdotto con quello di Riemann e con quello monotono di cui in [1].*

**SUMMARY.** - *A definition of "Burkill-Cesari type integral" is given, for set functions, with values in Dedekind complete Riesz spaces. A concept of quasi-additivity is introduced, similar to the one introduced by Lamberto Cesari in [5]. Some theorems analogous to the classical ones are proved. Moreover, we give a comparison with the "Riemann-integral" and the "monotone integral" defined in [1].*

## 1. Introduction

In 1962 ([5]), L. Cesari gave a definition of integral for set functions, with values in a vector space of finite dimension (the *Burkill-Cesari integral*) and introduced the concepts of quasi-additivity and

---

(\*) Indirizzo degli Autori: Dipartimento di Matematica, via Vanvitelli, 1 - 06123 Perugia (Italy), e-mail: tipo@ipguniv.bitnet, matears@ipguniv.unipg.it  
Lavoro svolto nell'ambito dello G.N.A.F.A. del C.N.R.

**A.M.S. Classification:** 28A70.

**Key words:** Riesz spaces, Burkill-Cesari integration, quasi-additivity.

quasi-subadditivity. He proved that several classical integrals can be viewed as particular cases of this integral. Subsequently, Warner ([11]) extended this integral to the case of set functions with values in a locally convex topological vector space (lctvs). Several authors investigated this type of integration and its related topics: we mention here [9], [10], [3].

Recently, in [7] a theory of integration was developed for real-valued functions, with respect to finitely additive measures, taking values in a lctvs. Moreover, it was proved that this integral can be interpreted as the Burkill-Cesari integral of a suitable set function. Furthermore, in [4] a “Riemann-Stieltjes”-type integral was investigated for Dedekind complete Riesz-space-valued set functions.

In this paper, we introduce a “Burkill-Cesari”-type integral for set functions, taking values in a Dedekind complete Riesz space  $R$ , and a concept of quasi-additivity and quasi-subadditivity, similar to the ones in [5]. Moreover, we prove some “main” theorems for this type of integral, similar to the classical ones of Cesari ([5]) and Breckenridge ([3]). In particular we prove that, if we introduce a “natural mesh” for a suitable class of intervals, then a bounded  $R$ -valued function  $f$ , defined in  $[a, b]$ , is “ $(R)$ -integrable” (see [1]) if and only if the corresponding “Mengoli-Cauchy” interval function

$$\eta([\alpha, \beta[) \equiv f(z)(\beta - \alpha),$$

where  $z$  is an arbitrary point of  $[\alpha, \beta]$ , is quasi additive (and hence  $(BC)$ -integrable), and *that in this case* the two involved integrals coincide.

In [1], we introduced a “monotone-type” integral for real-valued functions, defined on an arbitrary set  $X$ , and with respect to finitely additive  $R$ -valued means  $\mu$ .

In this paper, we shall prove that  $f$  is integrable (in the monotone sense) if and only if the “Mengoli-Cauchy” interval function associated with the map

$$u(t) \equiv \mu(\{x \in X : f(x) > t\}), t \in \mathbb{R}_0^+,$$

is quasi-additive, and therefore  $(BC)$ -integrable, and the two integrals coincide.

Our thanks to the referees for their helpful suggestions.

## 2. Preliminaries

A Riesz space  $R$  is called *Archimedean* if the following property holds: for every choice of  $a, b \in R$ ,  $na \leq b$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , implies that  $a \leq 0$ .

A Riesz space  $R$  is said to be *Dedekind complete* [resp.  *$\sigma$ -Dedekind complete*] if every nonempty [countable] subset of  $R$ , bounded from above, has least upper bound in  $R$ . Every  $\sigma$ -Dedekind complete Riesz space is Archimedean.

DEFINITION 2.1. A directed net  $(r_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Xi}$  is said to be  $(o)$ -convergent to  $r$ , if

$$(o) - \limsup_{\alpha} r_{\alpha} \equiv \inf_{\alpha} \sup_{\beta \geq \alpha} r_{\beta} = (o) - \liminf_{\alpha} r_{\alpha} \equiv \sup_{\alpha} \inf_{\beta \geq \alpha} r_{\beta}$$

and we will write  $(o) - \lim_{\alpha} r_{\alpha} = r$ .

DEFINITION 2.2. Given an element  $r \in R$ , we define  $r^+ \equiv r \vee 0$ ,  $r^- \equiv (-r) \vee 0$ ,  $|r| \equiv r \vee (-r)$ .

DEFINITION 2.3. A directed net  $(r_\alpha)_{\alpha}$  is said to be  $(o)$ -Cauchy if

$$(o) - \limsup_{(\alpha, \beta)} |r_{\alpha} - r_{\beta}| = 0$$

(see also [8]).

DEFINITION 2.4. Given a fixed element  $\xi \in \Xi$ , we indicate with the symbol  $(o) - \limsup_{\alpha \geq \xi} r_{\alpha}$  [resp.  $(o) - \liminf_{\alpha \geq \xi} r_{\alpha}$ ] the quantity

$$\inf_{\alpha \geq \xi} \sup_{\beta \geq \alpha} r_{\beta} \quad \left[ \sup_{\alpha \geq \xi} \inf_{\beta \geq \alpha} r_{\beta}. \right]$$

## 3. The Burkill-Cesari integral

We now introduce a Burkill-Cesari-type integral for set functions, with values in a Dedekind complete Riesz space  $R$ .

DEFINITION 3.1. Let  $X$  be any nonempty set,  $\mathcal{A}$  an arbitrary nonempty subset of  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ ,  $R$  a Dedekind complete Riesz space,  $\mathcal{D} \equiv \{D\}$  a directed net of collections of pairwise disjoint subsets of  $X$ , belonging to  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\eta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow R$  be a set function, and for all  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ , define

$S(\eta, D) \equiv \sum_{I \in D} \eta(I)$ . We say that  $\eta$  is *Burkill-Cesari integrable* ((BC)-integrable) if there exists in  $R$  the limit

$$(o) - \lim_{D \in \mathcal{D}} S(\eta, D).$$

When this limit exists, we denote it by the symbol  $(BC) - \int_X \eta$ .

It is easy to prove that, if  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$  are (BC)-integrable, then  $\alpha \eta_1 + \beta \eta_2$  is (BC)-integrable too, and

$$\int_X \alpha \eta_1 + \beta \eta_2 = \alpha \int_X \eta_1 + \beta \int_X \eta_2 .$$

DEFINITION 3.2. We say that  $\eta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow R$  is *quasi-additive* if

$$(o) - \lim_{D_0 \in \mathcal{D}} (o) - \limsup_{D \geq D_0} \sum_{I \in D_0} \left| \sum_{J \in D, J \subset I} \eta(J) - \eta(I) \right| = 0$$

and

$$(o) - \lim_{D_0 \in \mathcal{D}} (o) - \limsup_{D \geq D_0} \sum_{J \in D; J \not\subset I, \forall I \in D_0} |\eta(J)| = 0.$$

The proof of the following proposition is straightforward.

PROPOSITION 3.3. *If  $\eta_1, \eta_2$  are quasi-additive and  $\alpha, \beta$  are two arbitrary real numbers, then  $\alpha \eta_1 + \beta \eta_2$  is quasi-additive.*

It is easy to check that, if  $R = \mathbb{R}$ , and there exists a “mesh”  $\delta : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ , such that, for every  $D_1, D_2 \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $[D_1 \geq D_2]$  iff  $[\delta(D_1) \leq \delta(D_2)]$ , then Definition 3.2 is essentially equivalent to the famous definition of quasi-additivity, proposed by Cesari in [5]:

$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \sigma = \sigma(\varepsilon) > 0$ , such that, for every  $D_0 \in \mathcal{D}$  with  $\delta(D_0) < \sigma$ , there exists  $\lambda(\varepsilon, D_0) > 0$  such that, for each  $D \in \mathcal{D}$  with  $\delta(D) < \lambda$ , we have:

$$\sum_{I \in D_0} \left| \sum_{J \in D, J \subset I} \eta(J) - \eta(I) \right| < \varepsilon$$

and

$$\sum_{J \in D; J \not\subset I, \forall I \in D_0} |\eta(J)| < \varepsilon.$$

The following result holds:

**THEOREM 3.4.** *If  $\eta$  is quasi-additive, then  $\eta$  is (BC)-integrable.*

*Proof.* We observe that there exists  $(p_D)_D$ ,  $p_D \downarrow 0$ , such that, for all  $D_0, D_1, D_2 \in \mathcal{D}$ , with  $D_1 \geq D_0$ ,  $D_2 \geq D_0$ , one has:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (o) - \limsup_{(D_1, D_2)} |S(\eta, D_1) - S(\eta, D_2)| \\
&= (o) - \limsup_{(D_1, D_2), D_1 \geq D_0, D_2 \geq D_0} |S(\eta, D_1) - S(\eta, D_2)| \\
&\leq (o) - \limsup_{D_1 \geq D_0} \sum_{I \in D_0} \left| \sum_{J \in D_1, J \subset I} \eta(J) - \eta(I) \right| + \\
&\quad + (o) - \limsup_{D_1 \geq D_0} \sum_{J \in D_1; J \not\subset I, \forall I \in D_0} |\eta(J)| + \\
&\quad + (o) - \limsup_{D_2 \geq D_0} \sum_{I \in D_0} \left| \sum_{J \in D_2, J \subset I} \eta(J) - \eta(I) \right| + \\
&\quad + (o) - \limsup_{D_2 \geq D_0} \sum_{J \in D_2; J \not\subset I, \forall I \in D_0} |\eta(J)| \\
&\leq p_{D_0}.
\end{aligned}$$

By arbitrariness of  $D_0 \in \mathcal{D}$ , we get:

$$(o) - \limsup_{(D_1, D_2)} |S(\eta, D_1) - S(\eta, D_2)| = 0.$$

So, the net  $\{S(\eta, D)\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}$  is Cauchy, and hence it is convergent, by virtue of Dedekind completeness of  $R$  (see also [8]).  $\diamond$

**DEFINITION 3.5.** We say that  $\eta$  is *quasi-subadditive* if

$$(o) - \lim_{D_0 \in \mathcal{D}} (o) - \limsup_{D \geq D_0} \sum_{I \in D_0} \left[ \sum_{J \in D, J \subset I} \eta(J) - \eta(I) \right]^- = 0.$$

It is readily seen that, if  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$  and  $\eta_1, \eta_2$  are quasi-subadditive, then  $\alpha\eta_1 + \beta\eta_2$  is quasi-subadditive too: indeed, it is enough to recall that

$$(a + b)^- \leq a^- + b^-; (\alpha a)^- = \alpha a^- ,$$

$\forall a, b \in R$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$  (see also [6]).

**THEOREM 3.6.** *Let  $\eta$  be positive, quasi-subadditive and such that*

$$(o) - \limsup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} S(\eta, D)$$

*exists in  $R$ . Then,  $\eta$  is quasi-additive.*

*Proof.* First of all, we prove (BC)-integrability of  $\eta$ . Let  $D \geq D_0 \in \mathcal{D}$ . We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& S(\eta, D) - S(\eta, D_0) \\
&= \sum_{J \in D} \eta(J) - \sum_{I \in D_0} \eta(I) \\
&= \sum_{I \in D_0} \left[ \sum_{J \in D, J \subset I} \eta(J) - \eta(I) \right] + \sum_{J \in D; J \not\subset I, \forall I \in D_0} \eta(J) \\
&\geq \sum_{I \in D_0} \left[ \sum_{J \in D, J \subset I} \eta(J) - \eta(I) \right] \\
&\geq - \sum_{I \in D_0} \left[ \sum_{J \in D, J \subset I} \eta(J) - \eta(I) \right]^- \\
&\geq - p_{D_0},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $p_{D_0} \downarrow 0$  (indeed,  $a \geq -a^-, \forall a \in R$ ), and hence

$$l^{(1)} \geq S(\eta, D_0) - p_{D_0}, \quad \forall D_0 \in \mathcal{D},$$

where  $l^{(1)} = (o) - \liminf_{D \in \mathcal{D}} S(\eta, D)$ . From this, it follows that

$$(o) - \limsup_{D_0 \in \mathcal{D}} S(\eta, D_0) \leq l^{(1)} + (o) - \limsup_{D_0 \in \mathcal{D}} p_{D_0} = l^{(1)}.$$

So, there exists in  $R$  the quantity  $l \equiv (o) - \lim_{D \in \mathcal{D}} S(\eta, D)$ , and thus  $\eta$  is (BC)-integrable.

Now we shall use the following equalities:  $|a| = a^+ + a^-$ ,  $a = a^+ - a^-$ , and hence  $|a| = a + 2 a^-$ .

Pick arbitrarily  $D, D_0 \in \mathcal{D}$ , with  $D \geq D_0$ . We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \sum_{I \in D_0} \left| \sum_{J \in D, J \subset I} \eta(J) - \eta(I) \right| + \sum_{J \in D; J \not\subset I, \forall I \in D_0} \eta(J) \\
&= \sum_{I \in D_0} \left[ \sum_{J \in D, J \subset I} \eta(J) - \eta(I) \right] + \\
&\quad + 2 \sum_{I \in D_0} \left[ \sum_{J \in D, J \subset I} \eta(J) - \eta(I) \right]^- + \\
&\quad + \sum_{J \in D; J \not\subset I, \forall I \in D_0} \eta(J) \\
&\leq \left| \sum_{J \in D} \eta(J) - l \right| + \left| \sum_{I \in D_0} \eta(I) - l \right| + \\
&\quad + 2 \sum_{I \in D_0} \left[ \sum_{J \in D, J \subset I} \eta(J) - \eta(I) \right]^- \\
&\leq 2 p_{D_0} + 2 q_{D_0},
\end{aligned}$$

for some suitable nets  $(p_D)_D, (q_D)_D$  in  $R$ , with  $p_D \downarrow 0, q_D \downarrow 0$ . Taking the  $(o) - \lim \sup$ , we get:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (o) - \lim \sup_{D \geq D_0} \sum_{I \in D_0} \left| \sum_{J \in D, J \subset I} \eta(J) - \eta(I) \right|, \\ (o) - \lim \sup_{D \geq D_0} \sum_{J \in D; J \not\subset I, \forall I \in D_0} |\eta(J)| \\ &\leq (o) - \lim \sup_{D \geq D_0} \sum_{I \in D_0} \left| \sum_{J \in D, J \subset I} \eta(J) - \eta(I) \right| + \\ &\quad + (o) - \lim \sup_{D \geq D_0} \sum_{J \in D; J \not\subset I, \forall I \in D_0} |\eta(J)| \\ &\leq 2 p_{D_0} + 2 q_{D_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows that  $\eta$  is quasi-additive, that is the assertion.  $\diamond$

**DEFINITION 3.7.** Given a set function  $\eta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow R$ , define  $\eta^+, \eta^-, |\eta| : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow R$  as follows:

$$\eta^+(I) \equiv [\eta(I)]^+, \eta^-(I) \equiv [\eta(I)]^-, |\eta|(I) \equiv |\eta(I)|, \forall I \in \mathcal{A}.$$

**THEOREM 3.8.** *If  $\eta$  is quasi-additive, then  $\eta^+, \eta^-$  and  $|\eta|$  are quasi-subadditive.*

The proof is analogous to the one given in [5].

**DEFINITION 3.9.** Under the same notations as above, let  $M \subset X$ , and define  $S(\eta, M, D) \equiv \sum_{I \in D} s(I, M) \eta(I)$ , where:

$$s(I, M) \equiv \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } I \subset M \\ 0, & \text{if } I \not\subset M. \end{cases}$$

We say that  $\eta$  is *Burkill-Cesari integrable* ((BC)-integrable) on  $M$  if there exists in  $R$  the limit

$$(o) - \lim_{D \in \mathcal{D}} S(\eta, M, D).$$

When this limit exists, we denote it by the symbols  $(BC) - \int_X [\eta, M]$  or  $(BC) - \int_M \eta$ .

The set function  $\eta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow R$  is *quasi-additive on M* if

$$(o) - \lim_{D_0 \in \mathcal{D}} (o) - \lim \sup_{D \geq D_0} \sum_{I \in D_0} s(I, M) |\eta(I) - \sum_{J \in D} s(J, I) \eta(I)| = 0$$

and

$$(o) - \lim_{D_0 \in \mathcal{D}} (o) - \limsup_{D \geq D_0} \sum_{J \in D} s(J, M) [1 - \sum_{I \in D_0} s(J, I) s(I, M)] |\eta(J)| = 0 .$$

We say that  $\eta$  is *quasi-subadditive on M* if

$$(o) - \lim_{D_0 \in \mathcal{D}} (o) - \limsup_{D \geq D_0} \sum_{I \in D_0} s(I, M) [\sum_{J \in D} s(J, I) \eta(J) - \eta(I)]^- = 0 .$$

It is easy to check that, if  $\eta$  is quasi-subadditive, then it is quasi-subadditive on each set  $M \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**THEOREM 3.10.** *If  $\eta$  is quasi additive, and  $\int_X |\eta|$  exists in  $R$ , then  $\eta$  is quasi additive on every set  $M \in \mathcal{A}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M \in \mathcal{A}$ . By Theorem 3.8,  $|\eta|$ ,  $\eta^+$ ,  $\eta^-$  are positive and quasi subadditive, and so they are quasi subadditive on  $M$ . So,

$$0 \leq \int_M \eta^+ , \int_M \eta^- \leq \int_M |\eta| \leq \int_X |\eta|$$

exist in  $R$ , and hence  $|\eta|$ ,  $\eta^+$ ,  $\eta^-$  are quasi-additive on  $M$ , by reasoning as in Theorem 3.6.

Thus,  $\eta = \eta^+ - \eta^-$  is quasi-additive on  $M$ , that is the assertion.  $\diamond$

#### 4. Integrals of Riesz-space-valued functions with respect to real-valued measures

Now we compare the introduced Burkill-Cesari-type integral with other integrals, existing in the literature.

Let  $R$  be a Dedekind complete Riesz space,  $u : [a, b] \rightarrow R$  be a bounded map. In [1], we defined a Riemann - type integral, which can be defined equivalently as a ‘‘Mengoli-Cauchy’’ type integral.

**DEFINITION 4.1.** Given an interval  $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ , we call *division of  $[a, b]$*  any finite set  $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset [a, b]$ , where  $x_0 = a$ ,  $x_n = b$ , and  $x_i < x_{i+1}$ ,  $\forall i = 0, \dots, n$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{D}$  be the class of all divisions of  $[a, b]$ .

We call *mesh* of a division  $D$  the quantity  $\delta(D) \equiv \max_i (x_i - x_{i-1})$ , and say that  $D_1 \geq D_2$  if  $\delta(D_1) \leq \delta(D_2)$ .



A division  $D$  is identified with the collection of intervals  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$ , where

$$[\alpha, \beta] \equiv \begin{cases} [\alpha, \beta[ & \text{if } \beta \neq b \\ [\alpha, \beta] & \text{if } \beta = b. \end{cases}$$

We now recall some definitions of integral given in [1].

**DEFINITION 4.2.** Let  $R$  be a Dedekind complete Riesz space, and  $u : [a, b] \rightarrow R$  a bounded map. We say that a map  $g : [a, b] \rightarrow R$  is a *step function* with respect to  $\mathcal{D}$  if there exist  $n + 1$  points  $x_0 \equiv a < x_1 < \dots < x_n \equiv b$ , such that  $g$  is constant in each interval of the type  $]x_{i-1}, x_i[$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). If  $g$  is a step function, we put  $\int_a^b g(t) dt \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - x_{i-1}) \cdot g(\xi_i)$  where  $\xi_i$  is an arbitrary point of  $]x_{i-1}, x_i[$ . We call *upper integral* [resp. *lower integral*] of  $u$  the element of  $R$  given by

$$\inf_{v \in V_u} \int_a^b v(t) dt \quad [\sup_{s \in S_u} \int_a^b s(t) dt],$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_u &\equiv \{v : v \text{ is a step function, } v(t) \geq u(t), \forall t \in [a, b]\} \\ S_u &\equiv \{s : s \text{ is a step function, } s(t) \leq u(t), \forall t \in [a, b]\}. \end{aligned}$$

We say that a bounded function  $u : [a, b] \rightarrow R$  is *Riemann integrable* (or *(R)-integrable*), if its lower integral coincides with its upper integral, and, in this case, we call *integral of  $u$*  (and write  $\int_a^b u(t) dt$ ) their common value, and we indicate it by

$$(R) - \int_a^b u(t) dt.$$

**DEFINITION 4.3.** Let  $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $R$  be as above, and  $u : [a, b] \rightarrow R$  be a map. We say that  $u$  is *Mengoli-Cauchy integrable* (*(MC)-integrable*) if there exists an element  $I \in R$  such that

$$(o) - \lim_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n u(z_i)(x_i - x_{i-1}) - I \right| = 0,$$

uniformly with respect to  $z_i \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ), and we write  $(MC) - \int_a^b u(t) dt \equiv I$ .

Every Mengoli-Cauchy integrable function is bounded. The following results hold (see also [2]):

**THEOREM 4.4.** *Let  $u : [a, b] \rightarrow R$  be Mengoli-Cauchy integrable. Then,  $u$  is bounded and Riemann integrable, and*

$$(R) - \int_a^b u(t) dt = (MC) - \int_a^b u(t) dt.$$

**THEOREM 4.5.** *Let  $u : [a, b] \rightarrow R$  be Riemann integrable. Then,  $u$  is Mengoli-Cauchy integrable, and*

$$(MC) - \int_a^b u(t) dt = (R) - \int_a^b u(t) dt.$$

**DEFINITION 4.6.** A map  $u : [a, b] \rightarrow R$  is called *continuous* at the point  $x_0 \in [a, b]$  if

$$(o) - \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} u(x) = u(x_0).$$

A function  $u : [a, b] \rightarrow R$  is said to be *differentiable* at  $x_0$  if

$$(o) - \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{u(x) - u(x_0)}{x - x_0} \text{ exists in } R.$$

**REMARK 4.7.** We note that there exist Riemann integrable functions  $u : [a, b] \rightarrow R$ , which are discontinuous at every  $x \in ]a, b[$ .

Indeed, let  $[a, b] \equiv [0, 1]$ ,  $R \equiv \mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}$ ,  $u(s) \equiv \chi_{[0,s]}$ ,  $\forall s \in [0, 1]$ . For each  $x \in ]0, 1[$ , we have:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow x^+} u(t) = \chi_{[0,x]}, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow x^-} u(t) = \chi_{[0,x[},$$

and hence

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow x} u(t) - \liminf_{t \rightarrow x} u(t) = \chi_{\{x\}} \not\leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

However,  $u$  is Riemann integrable. Put  $I(s) \equiv (R) - \int_0^s u(t) dt$ . It is easy to check that

$$I(s)(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \geq s \\ s - x & \text{if } x < s \end{cases}$$

with  $\forall s, x \in [0, 1]$ , and that the “right derivative” of  $I(s)$  is  $u(s)$ ,  $\forall s \in [0, 1]$ .

Moreover, it is easy to prove that, if  $u : [a, b] \rightarrow R$  is an  $(R)$ -integrable function, then the map  $I(s) \equiv (R) - \int_0^s u(t) dt$  is differentiable at the points  $s$  for which  $u$  is continuous, and in such points  $I'(s) = u(s)$ .

Now, let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the collection of all subintervals of  $[a, b]$  of the type  $[\alpha, \beta]$ , and set  $\eta([\alpha, \beta]) \equiv u(z)(\beta - \alpha)$ , where  $z$  is an arbitrary point of  $[\alpha, \beta]$ . Obviously, a bounded function  $u \in R^{[a, b]}$  is  $(MC)$ -integrable if and only if  $\eta$  is  $(BC)$ -integrable.

We now prove the following:

**THEOREM 4.8.** *If  $u$  is  $(R)$ -integrable, then  $\eta$  is quasi-additive.*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $u$  is positive. Indeed, if  $u$  is  $(R)$ -integrable, then  $u^+$  and  $u^-$  are  $(R)$ -integrable too.

As  $u$  is bounded,  $(o) - \limsup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} S(\eta, D)$  exists in  $R$ . So, it will be enough to show that  $\eta$  is quasi-subadditive, in view of Theorem 3.6. Let  $D_0 \equiv \{[c_{i-1}, c_i] : i = 1, \dots, N-1\}$ ,  $D \equiv \{[x_{j-1}, x_j] : j = 1, \dots, n\}$ , where  $c_0 = a < c_1 < \dots < c_{N-1} = b$ ,  $x_0 = a < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$ ,  $\delta(D) \leq \delta(D_0)$ . Moreover, set

$$M \equiv \sup_{x \in [a, b]} u(x); \quad M_i \equiv \sup_{x \in [c_{i-1}, c_i]} u(x),$$

$$m_i \equiv \inf_{x \in [c_{i-1}, c_i]} u(x).$$

By virtue of  $(R)$ -integrability of  $u$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{I \in D_0} \left| \sum_{J \in D, J \subset I} \eta(J) - \eta(I) \right| &\leq \sum_{I \in D_0} (M_i - m_i)(c_i - c_{i-1}) + \\ &\quad + M \sum_{J \in D, J \not\subset I, \forall I \in D_0} (x_j - x_{j-1}) \\ &\leq p_D + N \delta(D) M, \end{aligned}$$

for some suitable directed net  $(p_D)_D$ ,  $p_D \downarrow 0$ . (We note that  $N =$

$N(D_0)$  depends on  $D_0$ .) So,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (o) - \limsup_{D \geq D_0} \sum_{I \in D_0} \left| \sum_{J \in D, J \subset I} \eta(J) - \eta(I) \right| \\ &\leq p_{D_0} + \inf_{D \geq D_0} N(D_0) \delta(D) M \\ &= p_{D_0}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(o) - \lim_{D_0 \in \mathcal{D}} (o) - \limsup_{D \geq D_0} \sum_{I \in D_0} \left| \sum_{J \in D, J \subset I} \eta(J) - \eta(I) \right| = 0.$$

◇

Next, we show that quasi-additivity can be applied in a different problem.

**DEFINITION 4.9.** A map  $g : [a, b] \rightarrow R$  is said to be of *bounded variation* if the set

$$\left\{ \sum_{I \in D} |q_g(I)| : D \in \mathcal{D} \right\}$$

is bounded in  $R$ , where

$$q_g([u, v]) \equiv g(v) - g(u).$$

In this case, we set

$$V(g, [a, b]) \equiv \sup \left\{ \sum_{I \in D} |q_g(I)| : D \in \mathcal{D} \right\}.$$

The following result holds.

**THEOREM 4.10.** *If  $g : [a, b] \rightarrow R$  is of bounded variation and continuous in  $[a, b]$ , then the function  $|q_g|$  is quasi-additive.*

*Proof.* We observe that, in order to prove Theorem 4.10, it is enough to prove quasi-subadditivity of  $|q_g|$ . Indeed, quasi-additivity will follow from Theorem 3.6.

Fix  $D_0 \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $D_0 \equiv \{[c_{i-1}, c_i] : i = 1, \dots, N-1\}$ . By the continuity of

$g$  at the points  $c_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N - 1$ , there exists a sequence  $(p_n(c_i))_n$ ,  $p_n \downarrow 0$ , such that:

$$|q_g([u, v])| \leq p_n, \text{ whenever } a \leq u \leq c_i \leq v \leq b, \ 0 \leq v - u \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

Let  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $D \equiv \{[x_{j-1}, x_j] : j = 1, \dots, k\}$  with  $\delta(D) \leq \frac{1}{n}$ . Put  $E \equiv \{I \in D_0 : \exists j, x_j \in I\}$  (Note that if  $[\alpha, \beta] \in D_0 \setminus E$ , then  $\beta - \alpha \leq \frac{1}{n}$ ). For  $I_i = [c_{i-1}, c_i] \in E$ , define  $d_i \equiv \min\{x_j : x_j \geq c_{i-1}\}$  and  $e_i \equiv \max\{x_j : x_j < c_i\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{I \in D_0} \left( \sum_{J \in D, J \subset I} |q_g(J)| - |q_g(I)| \right) \\ & \geq \sum_{I_i \in E} (|q_g([d_i, e_i])| - |q_g(I_i)|) + \sum_{I_i \in D_0 \setminus E} -|q_g(I_i)| \\ & \geq \sum_{I_i \in E} -(|g(d_i) - g(c_{i-1})| + |g(e_i) - g(c_i)|) + \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad + \sum_{I_i \in D_0 \setminus E} (-p_n(c_i)). \end{aligned}$$

So,  $\forall D_0 \in \mathcal{D}$ ,

$$(o) - \limsup_{D \geq D_0} \sum_{I \in D_0} [ \sum_{J \in D, J \subset I} |q_g(J)| - |q_g(I)| ]^- = 0.$$

Thus,  $|q_g|$  is quasi-subadditive.  $\diamond$

We note that  $\int_a^b |q_g| = V(g, [a, b])$  (see also [4]).

Now, we recall the integral for extended real-valued functions, with respect to  $R$ -valued means, defined in [1].

**DEFINITION 4.11.** Let  $X$  be any set,  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$  be an algebra,  $R$  be a Dedekind complete Riesz space,  $\mu : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow R$  be a finitely additive positive set function; assume that  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$  is a measurable function, and  $u(t) \equiv \mu(\{x \in X : f(x) > t\})$ . We say that  $f$  is *integrable* if there exists in  $R$  the quantity

$$\int_0^{+\infty} u(t) dt \equiv \sup_{a > 0} \int_0^a u(t) dt = (o) - \lim_{a \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^a u(t) dt, \quad (4.11.1)$$

where the integral in (4.11.1) is intended as in Definition 4.2. If  $f$  is integrable, we indicate the element in (4.11.1) by the symbol  $\int_X f d\mu$ . A measurable function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *integrable* if both  $f^+, f^-$  are integrable and, in this case, we set

$$\int_X f d\mu = \int_X f^+ d\mu - \int_X f^- d\mu.$$

REMARK 4.12. It is easy to check that, if  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is integrable (in the monotone sense), then

$$\int_X f d\mu = \sup_{\mathcal{D}} \sum_{i=1}^n u(x_i)(x_i - x_{i-1}) = \inf_{\mathcal{D}} \sum_{i=1}^n u(x_{i-1})(x_i - x_{i-1}),$$

where  $\mathcal{D}$  is the class of all finite subsets of  $[0, +\infty[$  of the type  $\{x_0 = 0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , by virtue of (decreasing) monotonicity of  $u$ .

Now, let  $\mathcal{A} \equiv \{[a, b]: a, b \in \mathbb{R}_0^+, a < b\}$ ;  $\eta(I) \equiv u(x_{i-1})(x_i - x_{i-1})$ ,  $\delta(D) \equiv \max_{i=1}^{n-1} (x_i - x_{i-1}) + \frac{1}{x_n}$ ,  $\forall D \in \mathcal{D}$ . By proceeding analogously as in the previous case, and by virtue of the properties of the function  $u$ , one can prove that a nonnegative function  $f \in \mathbb{R}^X$  is integrable (in the monotone sense) if and only if  $\eta$  is quasi-additive, and the (BC)-integral of  $\eta$  coincides with  $\int_X f d\mu$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] BOCCUTO A. and SAMBUCINI A. R., *On the De Giorgi-Letta integral with respect to means with values in Riesz spaces*, to appear on Real Analysis Exchange **21** (1) (1995-96), 1-21.
- [2] BOCCUTO A. and SAMBUCINI A. R., *Comparison between different types of abstract integrals in Riesz spaces*, to appear on Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (1996).
- [3] BRECKENRIDGE J. C., *Burkill-Cesari integrals of quasi additive interval functions*, Pacific J. Math. **37** (1971), 635-654.
- [4] CANDELORO D., *Riemann-Stieltjes integration in Riesz spaces*, to appear on Rend. Mat. Roma.
- [5] CESARI L., *Quasi-additive set functions and the concept of integral over a variety*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **102** (1962), 94-113.

- [6] LUXEMBURG W. A. J. and ZAAENEN A. C., *Riesz Spaces I*, North-Holland Publishing Co., 1971.
- [7] MARTELOTTI A., *On integration with respect to  $\text{lcvs}$ -valued finitely additive measures*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, Serie II, **43** (1994), 181-214.
- [8] MCGILL P., *Integration in vector lattices*, J. Lond. Math. Soc., **11** (1975), 347-360.
- [9] VINTI C., *L' integrale di Weierstrass*, Ist. Lombardo Accad. Sci. Lett. (A), **92** (1958), 423-434.
- [10] VINTI C., *L' integrale di Weierstrass-Burkill*, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena, **18** (1969), 295-316.
- [11] WARNER G., *The Burkill-Cesari integral*, Duke Math. J. **35** (1968), 61-78.

Pervenuto in Redazione il 10 Aprile 1996.