

A NOTE ON ELLIPTIC B.V.P. WITH JUMPING NONLINEARITIES (*)

by SERGIO SOLIMINI (in Trieste) (**)

SOMMARIO. - Viene studiato il problema al contorno con jumping nonlinearities su un dominio limitato regolare $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$:

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= \lambda_+ u^+ - \lambda_- u^- + g(u) + h && \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 && \text{su } \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

con g sublineare.

SUMMARY. - We consider the boundary value problem with jumping nonlinearities on a bounded regular domain $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$:

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= \lambda_+ u^+ - \lambda_- u^- + g(u) + h && \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

with g sublinear.

Introduction

The note treats the following b.v.p. with «jumping nonlinearities» on a bounded regular domain $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$:

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= \lambda_+ u^+ - \lambda_- u^- + g(u) + h && \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

with g sublinear in a sense which will be stated later on. This pro-

(*) Pervenuto in Redazione il 21 ottobre 1982.

(**) Indirizzo dell'Autore: Scuola Internazionale di Studi Avanzati (SISSA) - Strada Costiera, 11 - 34100 Trieste.

blem has been studied by many authors, see e.g. the references in this paper. We consider as in [4], [1], [5] h split into two parts

$$h = h_1 + t \varphi_k \quad (2)$$

where φ_k is an eigenfunction of $-\Delta$ corresponding to the k -th eigenvalue λ_k and take t as real parameter. In [4], [1], [5] k is such that $\lambda_k \in]\lambda_-, \lambda_+[$, while here we take $k = 1$ and suppose:

$$(I) \quad \lambda_1 < \lambda_- < \lambda_+ \quad \lambda_{\pm} \neq \lambda_j$$

(I) is assumed also in [5], asking moreover that $[\lambda_-, \lambda_+]$ contains exactly one eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ which is simple. Here we do not assume any condition of this kind. Fixed $h_1 \in L^2(\Omega)$, $h_1 \perp \varphi_1$, we take h as in (2) using t as a parameter and we will refer to the problem (1) as $(1)_t$. We study the problem reducing it to an asymptotically linear one (obtained substituting λ_+ with λ_-); this point of view has been used in [6] to prove a multiplicity result in the case: $\lambda_- < \lambda_1 < \lambda_+$. The paper is divided into 3 sections. In sect. 1 we state the notation and the results, in sect. 2 we evaluate the Leray-Schauder degree for some mappings, sect. 3 is devoted to the proofs of the theorems.

Section 1

Let E be the space $L^2(\Omega)$, where Ω is a given bounded open regular domain in \mathbf{R}^n , let g be a given function defined on $\Omega \times \mathbf{R}$ and valued on \mathbf{R} , which satisfies the Caratheodory condition i.e.

$$(g_1) \quad g(x, t) \text{ is continuous in } t \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega$$

and is measurable in x for any $t \in \mathbf{R}$

and

$$(g_2) \quad g \text{ is bounded}$$

As in [6], (g_2) can be weakened asking

$$(g'_2) \quad |g(x, t)| < c(a(x) + |u|^\alpha)$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $a \in E$. Here and throughout the following the letter c denotes a positive constant. We assume (g_2) only to simplify the computations, the idea of the proof is the same under (g'_2) . E is an ordered Hilbert space whose norm will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|$ and whose scalar product by (\cdot, \cdot) ; the positive cone P is, as usual, the set of the a.e. positive function. We set: $u^+ = \sup(u, 0)$ and $u^- = (-u)^+$. We denote by g_* the Nemytskii operator induced by g and by K the resolvent operator $(-\Delta)^{-1}$. It is well-known that, by $(g_1 - 2)$, g_* is a continuous bounded mapping on E and that K is a linear compact operator.

We will assume the non-resonance condition

(II) The problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta u &= \lambda_+ u^+ - \lambda_- u^- && \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

has only the trivial solution.

Unfortunately we do not know a complete characterization, in terms of the eigenvalues λ_j of the pairs (λ_+, λ_-) such that (II) holds. However we suspect that (II) is a generic property and we can prove it in several cases (see e.g. [3], [5]) in which our results apply. We prove:

THEOREM 1: *Let (I-II) and (g_{1-2}) hold. Then at least one of the following two cases is true:*

- (i) (1) has at least a solution for any h .
- (ii) For any given h , there is a real number τ such that $(1)_t$ has at least two solutions if $\tau < |t|$.

THEOREM 2: *Let (I-II) and (g_{1-2}) hold, let also the total number of the eigenvalues λ_j (counted as many times as their multiplicity) in $[\lambda_-, \lambda_+]$ be odd. Then given h , there is a real number τ such that $(1)_t$ has at least two solutions, at least for all $t < \tau$ or for all $t > \tau$.*

Section 2

For $u \in E, s, t \in \mathbf{R}$, we set:

$$F_t(u) = u - K(\lambda_+ u^+ - \lambda_- u^- + g u + t \phi_1) \tag{4}$$

$$F_t^\pm(s, u) = u - K(\lambda_\pm u + s g_\pm(u + \frac{t}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_\pm} \phi_1)) \tag{5}$$

LEMMA 6: *There exist $r, \varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}_+$ such that if $u \in E$ verifies:*

$$\| F_t(s, u) \| < \varepsilon \| u \| \tag{7}$$

for some $s, t \in \mathbf{R}, 0 \leq s \leq 1$, it then: $\| u \| < r$.

Proof: Using assumption (I) we take ε such that $\forall u \in E$:

$$\| u - \lambda_\pm K u \| > 2 \varepsilon \| u \|^2$$

From (7) it follows: $\| g_\pm(u + \frac{t}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_\pm} \phi_1) \| \geq \varepsilon \| u \|^2$ and therefore since g_\pm is bounded we prove the statement. ■

We fix r as in the preceding lemma and set

$$i_t^\pm = \text{deg} (B(0, r), F_t^\pm(1, \cdot), 0)$$

Lemma 6 implies that i_t^\pm is well defined. Let k_\pm be the positive integer such that:

$$\lambda_{k_{\pm}} < \lambda_{\pm} < \lambda_{k_{\pm} + 1}$$

LEMMA 8: $\forall t \in \mathbf{R} : i_t^{\pm} = (-1)^{k_{\pm}}$

Proof: We must only remark that:

$$i_t^{\pm} = \deg(B(0, r), F_t^{\pm}(0, \cdot), 0).$$

This is true since lemma 6 insures the admissibility of the homotopy F_t^{\pm} . ■

We set: $B_t^{\pm} = B\left(\frac{t}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_{\pm}} \varphi_1, r\right)$ and denote by V_n the subspace of E spanned by the eigenfunctions of $-\Delta$ related to the first n eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$. From the strong positiveness of φ_1 we get, for any n two real numbers a_n^{\pm} such that

$$B_t^+ \cap V_n \subset P \text{ if } t < a_n^+ \text{ and } B_t^- \cap V_n \subset -P \text{ if } a_n^- < t.$$

LEMMA 9: *There exist a positive integer n such that*

$$\deg(B_t^{\pm}, F_t, 0) = i_t^{\pm} \quad (10)$$

if $t < a_n^+$ in the + case and if $a_n^- < t$ in the - case.

Proof. We only prove the + case. Let us define the homotopy:

$$\eta_t(s, u) = F_t(u) + s(\lambda_+ - \lambda_-) Ku^-$$

it is easy to see that

$$\eta_t(1, u) = F_t^+(1, u - \frac{t}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_+} \varphi_1) \quad (11)$$

therefore we have by translation:

$$\deg(B_t, \eta_t(1, \cdot), 0) = i_t^{\pm}.$$

We have only to prove that if $t < a_n^+$ then η_t is admissible. From lemma 6 and (11), we have $\forall t \in \mathbf{R}, \forall u \in \partial B_t$:

$$\|\eta_t(1, u)\| \geq \varepsilon \left\| u - \frac{t}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_+} \varphi_1 \right\| \quad (12)$$

Suppose $\eta_t(s, u) = 0$ for some $s \in [0, 1]$ and some $u \in \partial B_t$.

Write $u = v_n + w_n$, with $v_n \in V_n$ and $w_n \perp V_n$. From (12) we have:

$$\|u^-\| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{(\lambda_+ - \lambda_-) \|K\|} \left\| u - \frac{t}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_+} \varphi_1 \right\| = \frac{\varepsilon r}{(\lambda_+ - \lambda_-) \|K\|} \quad (13)$$

while, for $t < a_n^+$, $v_n \in B_t^+ \cap V_n \subset P$.

Therefore

$$\|u^-\| = \|(v_n + w_n)^-\| \leq \|w_n^-\| \leq \|w_n\|$$

and by (13):

$$\|w_n\| \geq \frac{\varepsilon r}{(\lambda_+ - \lambda_-) \|K\|}$$

$$\| -\Delta u - \lambda_+ u - t \varphi_1 \| \geq \| \Delta w_n - \lambda_+ w_n \| \geq \frac{(\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_+) \varepsilon r}{(\lambda_+ - \lambda_-) \| K \|} \quad (14)$$

The assumption $\eta_t(s, u) = 0$ is equivalent to

$$-\Delta u - \lambda_+ u - t \varphi_1 = (s - 1) (\lambda_+ - \lambda_-) u^- + g u \quad (15)$$

Using the above estimates one proves that the right-hand side of (15) is bounded and gets in contradiction with (14) taking n large enough. The —case is treated in a similar way. ■

Section 3

We state without proof, which is not difficult and uses a standard degree argument, the following

LEMMA 16: *If (1) has no solution for some $h \in E$, then $\exists \bar{R} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\forall R \geq \bar{R}$:*

$$\text{deg} (B(0, R), F_t, 0) = 0 \quad (17)$$

Proof of Theorem 1 - Suppose that (1) has no solution for some h . Fix n as in lemma 9 and take $\tau = \max$ ourselves to the case $t < 0$ i.e. $t < a_n^+$. Take $R > \bar{R}$ such that $B_t^+ \subset B(0, R)$. We suppose $h_1 = 0$, which is not restrictive since h_1 can be incorporated in g . (1) is equivalent to the equation $F_t = 0$, and therefore (10) and (17) give the existence of at least two solutions. ■

Proof of Theorem 2 - Take τ as before and suppose by contradiction that there exist $t_+ < -\tau$ and $t_- > \tau$ such that $(1)_{t_+}$ and $(1)_{t_-}$ have at most one solution. As before, we can suppose $h_1 = 0$ and by the excision property of the topological degree we get:

$$\text{deg} (B(0, R), F_{t_\pm}, 0) = \text{deg} (B_{t_\pm}^\pm, F_{t_\pm}, 0) = (-1)^{k_\pm}$$

if $B_{t_\pm} \subset B(0, R)$. Since $k_+ - k_-$ is odd, F_{t_+} and F_{t_-} cannot be homotopic on $B(0, R)$. Therefore we get the existence of an unbounded set Σ of pairs (t, u) such that $F_t(u) = 0$ and $t \in [t_+, t_-]$. Take $(t_n, u_n) \in \Sigma$ with $\lim_n \| u_n \| = +\infty$. $F_{t_n}(u_n) = 0$ is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u_n &= \lambda_+ u_n^+ - \lambda_- u_n^- + g(u_n) + t_n \varphi_1 && \text{in } \Omega \\ u_n &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

and setting $v_n = \| u_n \|^{-1} u_n$, by (18) we can suppose that $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a convergent subsequence to a limit $v \neq 0$. Taking the limit in (18) we have that v is nontrivial solution of (3) and we get a contradiction to the assumption (II). ■

REFERENCES

- [1] AMMAN, H. and HESS, P., *A multiplicity result for a class of elliptic boundary value problems*. Proc. Royal Ac. Soc. Ed. 84 A (1979) pp. 145-151.
- [2] AMBROSETTI, A. and PRODI, G., *On the inversion of some differentiable mappings with singularities between Banach spaces*. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. Ser. IV, 93 (1972) pp. 231-247.
- [3] DANCER, E. N., *On the Dirichlet problem for weakly nonlinear elliptic partial differential equations*. Proc. Royal. Soc. Edim. 76 A (1977) pp. 283-300.
- [4] KAZDAN, J. L. and WARNER, F. W., *Remarks on some quasilinear Elliptic Equations*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. Vol. 28 (1975) pp. 567-597.
- [5] RUF, B., *On nonlinear elliptic problems with jumping nonlinearities*. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. Ser. IV, 128 (1981) pp. 133-151.
- [6] SOLIMINI, S., *Existence of a third solution for a class of b.v.p. with jumping nonlinearities*. Non. An. T.M.A. Vol. 7, n. 8 (1983) pp. 917-927.